

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

RETAIL WHOLESALE DEPARTMENT
STORE UNION LOCAL 338 RETIREMENT
FUND, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STITCH FIX, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:22-cv-04893-PCP

CLASS ACTION

~~PROPOSED~~ **ORDER APPROVING
PLAN OF ALLOCATION OF NET
SETTLEMENT FUND**

Courtroom: 8 - 4th Floor
Judge: Hon. P. Casey Pitts

WHEREAS, this matter came on for hearing on September 24, 2026 (the “Settlement Hearing”) on Lead Plaintiffs’ motion to determine whether the proposed plan of allocation of the Net Settlement Fund (“Plan of Allocation”) created by the Settlement achieved in the above-captioned class action (the “Action”) should be approved. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was mailed to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and transmitted over the *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated February 6, 2026 (Dkt. No. 126-1) (the

1 “Stipulation”) and all terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the
2 Stipulation.

3 2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation,
4 and over the subject matter of the Action and all parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class
5 Members.

6 3. Notice of Lead Plaintiffs’ motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation and of the
7 date for the hearing on such motion was given to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified
8 with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of the motion for approval
9 of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil
10 Procedure, the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7)), due process,
11 and all other applicable law and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and
12 constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

13 4. Copies of the Notice, which included the Plan of Allocation, were mailed or emailed to over
14 351,000 potential Settlement Class Members and nominees and no objections to the proposed Plan of
15 Allocation were received.


16 5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of
17 Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation mailed to Settlement Class Members provides a fair and
18 reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund among Settlement Class
19 Members with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.

20 6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in all respects, fair and
21 reasonable to the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation
22 proposed by Lead Plaintiffs.

23 7. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court’s approval of the Plan of Allocation shall
24 in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

25 8. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk
26 of the Court is expressly directed.

SO ORDERED this 28th day of September, 2026.



The Honorable P. Casey Pitts
United States District Judge