BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP
Jonathan D. Uslaner (Bar No. 256898)
(jonathanu@blbglaw.com)
2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2575
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Tel: (310) 819-3481

Salvatore J. Graziano (admitted *pro hac vice*)
(salvatore@blbglaw.com)
Katherine M. Sinderson (admitted *pro hac vice*)
(katiem@blbglaw.com)
John Esmay (admitted *pro hac vice*)
(john.esmay@blbglaw.com)
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10020
Tel: 212-554-1400
Fax: 212-554-1444

Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiff Indiana Public Retirement System and the Class

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

JONNIE HOMYK, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CHEMOCENTRYX, INC. et al.,

Defendants.

Master File No. 4:21-cv-03343-JST *and related case*, No. 4:21-cv-04357

[~~PROPOSED~~] ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND LITIGATION EXPENSES *AS MODIFIED*

WHEREAS, this matter came on for hearing on October 29, 2026 (the "Settlement Hearing") on Lead Counsel's motion for an award of attorneys' fees and payment of Litigation Expenses. The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; and it appearing that notice of the Settlement Hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was mailed to all Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort, and that a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in the *Wall Street Journal* and was transmitted over the *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the award of attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses requested,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. This Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Revised Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement, dated May 15, 2026 (Dkt. No. 366-1) (the "Stipulation") and all terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order and over the subject matter of the Action and all parties to the Action, including all Class Members.

3. Notice of Lead Counsel's motion for an award of attorneys' fees and payment of Litigation Expenses was given to all Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Class of the motion for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(a)(7)), due process, and all other applicable law and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

4. Lead Counsel is hereby awarded attorneys' fees in the amount of 22% of the Settlement Fund (including interest earned on this amount at the same rate as the Settlement Fund). Lead Counsel is also hereby awarded $4,898,136.90 for payment of its Litigation Expenses. These attorneys' fees and expenses shall be paid from the Settlement Fund and the Court finds these sums to be fair and reasonable.

5. Lead Counsel shall be paid the approved Litigation Expenses and 90% of the attorneys' fees upon entry of the Judgment approving the Settlement and this Order. The remaining 10% of the attorneys' fees awarded (and any interest earned thereon) will be paid after Lead Plaintiff conducts the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to eligible Claimants and files the Post-Distribution Accounting, as set forth below.

6. In making this award of attorneys' fees and Litigation Expenses to be paid from the Settlement Fund, the Court has considered and found that:

a. The Settlement has created a fund of $69,000,000 in cash that has been funded into escrow pursuant to the terms of the Stipulation, and that numerous Class Members who submit acceptable Claim Forms will benefit from the Settlement that occurred because of the efforts of Lead Counsel;

b. Lead Counsel litigated this case on a purely contingent basis, and has not received any compensation for its work on this matter over the last five years;

c. The fee sought is below the Ninth Circuit's benchmark fee award in percentage fee cases, *see In re Online DVD-Rental Antitrust Litig.*, 779 F.3d 934, 949 (9th Cir. 2015);

d. The requested fee is consistent with a retainer agreement entered into with a sophisticated institutional investor Lead Plaintiff at the outset of the litigation and was again reviewed and approved as reasonable by Lead Plaintiff after the Settlement was reached;

e. Over 77,000 Postcard Notices and 1,500 Settlement Notice Packets (*i.e.*, the Settlement Notice and Claim Form) were mailed to potential Class Members and nominees stating that Lead Counsel would apply for attorneys' fees in an amount not to exceed 22% of the Settlement Fund and payment of Litigation Expenses in an amount not to exceed $5 million and no objections to the requested award of attorneys' fees or Litigation Expenses were submitted;

f. Lead Counsel conducted the litigation and achieved the Settlement with skill, perseverance and diligent advocacy;

g. Had Lead Counsel not achieved the Settlement there would remain a significant risk that Lead Plaintiff and the other members of the Class may have recovered less or nothing from Defendants;

h. Lead Counsel devoted over 61,000 hours, with a lodestar value of approximately $40.4 million, to achieve the Settlement, and will continue to perform work on behalf of the Class in overseeing the Claims Administrator's processing of claims received and the distribution of the Net Settlement Fund; and

i. The amount of attorneys' fees awarded and expenses to be paid from the Settlement Fund are fair and reasonable and consistent with awards in similar cases.

7. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Court's approval regarding any attorneys' fees and expense application shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

8. Exclusive jurisdiction is hereby retained over the Parties and the Class Members for all matters relating to this Action, including the administration, interpretation, effectuation or enforcement of the Stipulation and this Order.

9. In the event that the Settlement is terminated or the Effective Date of the Settlement otherwise fails to occur, this Order shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by the Stipulation.


10. Class counsel shall file a post-distribution accounting within 21 days after all funds have been paid under the settlement agreement and any checks that were issued have become stale. In addition to the information contained in the Northern District of California's Procedural Guidance for Class Action Settlements, available at https://cand.uscourts.gov/rules-forms-fees/northern-district-guidelines/procedural-guidance-class-action-settlements, the post-distribution accounting shall discuss any significant or recurring concerns communicated by class

members to the settlement administrator or counsel since final approval, any other issues in settlement administration since final approval, and how any concerns or issues were resolved.

11. The Court will withhold 10% of the attorney's fees granted in this order until the post-distribution accounting has been filed. Class counsel shall file a proposed order releasing the remainder of the fees when they file their post-distribution accounting.

12. This matter is set for a further case management conference on April 30, 2027 at 1:30 p.m., with a case management statement due by April 23, 2027. The parties may request that the case management conference be continued if additional time is needed to complete the distribution. The conference will be vacated if the post-distribution accounting has been filed and the Court has released the remaining attorney's fees.

SO ORDERED this 29th day of October, 2026.



The Honorable Jon S. Tigar
United States District Judge