IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MIDLAND/ODESSA DIVISION

NYKREDIT PORTEFØLJE ADMINISTRATION § A/S, OKLAHOMA FIREFIGHTERS PENSION AND § § RETIREMENT SYSTEM, OKLAHOMA LAW ENFORCEMENT RETIREMENT SYSTEM, OKLAHOMA POLICE PENSION AND § § RETIREMENT SYSTEM, OKLAHOMA CITY § EMPLOYEE RETIREMENT SYSTEM, POLICE AND FIRE RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE CITY § § OF DETROIT, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, § § § §

No. MO:19-CV-217-DC

Plaintiffs,

v.

PROPETRO HOLDING CORP., DALE REDMAN, JEFFREY SMITH, IAN DENHOLM, and SPENCER D. ARMOUR III,

§ § § §

Defendants.

ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION OF NET SETTLEMENT FUND

BEFORE THE COURT is Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Settlement and Plan of Allocation. (Doc. 170). After due consideration, Plaintiffs' Motion is hereby GRANTED (Doc. 170).

This matter came on for hearing on May 11, 2023 (the "Settlement Hearing") on Plaintiffs' motion to approve the proposed plan of allocation ("Plan of Allocation") of the Net Settlement Fund created under the Settlement in the above-captioned class action (the "Action"). The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; it appearing that: (i) the Notice of the Settlement Hearing (which included a summary of the Settlement as well as the full text of the proposed Plan of Allocation) (the "Notice") was mailed to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort substantially in the form approved by the Court and (ii) a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in Investor's Business Daily and released over PR Newswire pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation,

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. This Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated September 22, 2022 (Doc. 168-1) (the "Stipulation") and all terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.
- 2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation, and over the subject matter of the Action and all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.
- 3. Notice of Plaintiffs' motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation was given to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of the motion for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. §§ 77z-1, 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable laws and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.
- 4. Copies of the Notice, which included the Plan of Allocation, were mailed to over 72,000 potential Settlement Class Members and nominees, and no objections to the Plan of Allocation have been received.

5. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the

claims of Claimants as set forth in the Plan of Allocation mailed to Settlement Class Members

provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement

Fund among Settlement Class Members with due consideration having been given to

administrative convenience and necessity.

6. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation is, in all

respects, fair and reasonable to the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the

Plan of Allocation proposed by Plaintiffs.

7. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Order approving the Plan of Allocation

shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

8. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by

the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED this 11th day of May, 2023.

DAVID COUNTS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE