

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

*In re AdaptHealth Corp.*  
*Securities Litigation*

⋮  
⋮  
⋮  
⋮

Case No. 2:23-cv-04104-MRP

**ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION OF NET SETTLEMENT FUND**

This matter came on for hearing on May 13, 2026 (the “Settlement Hearing”) on Lead Plaintiffs’ Motion for Final Approval of Settlement and Plan of Allocation (ECF No. 98). The Court having considered all matters submitted to it at the Settlement Hearing and otherwise; it appearing that: (i) the Notice of the Settlement Hearing (which included a summary of the Settlement as well as the full text of the proposed Plan of Allocation) (the “Notice”) was mailed to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort substantially in the form approved by the Court pursuant to the Order Preliminarily Approving Settlement and Providing for Notice entered on February 2, 2026 (ECF No. 96), and (ii) a summary notice of the hearing substantially in the form approved by the Court was published in *The Wall Street Journal* and over *PR Newswire* pursuant to the specifications of the Court; and the Court having considered and determined the fairness and reasonableness of the proposed Plan of Allocation,

**NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**


1. This Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated December 18, 2025 (ECF No. 94-2) (the “Stipulation”), and all terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation.

2. The Court has jurisdiction to enter this Order approving the proposed Plan of Allocation, and over the subject matter of the Action and all Parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.
3. Notice of Lead Plaintiffs' request for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation was given to all Settlement Class Members who or which could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Settlement Class of the request for approval of the proposed Plan of Allocation satisfied the requirements of Rule 23, the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, 15 U.S.C. § 78u-4, as amended, and all other applicable laws and rules, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.
4. Copies of the Notice, which included the Plan of Allocation, were mailed to over 63,000 potential Settlement Class Members and nominees, and no objections to the Plan of Allocation were received.
5. The Court finds that the formula for the calculation of claims as set forth in the Plan of Allocation provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund among Settlement Class Members with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.
6. The Court finds that the Plan of Allocation is, in all respects, fair and reasonable to the Settlement Class. Accordingly, the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation proposed by Lead Plaintiffs.
7. Any appeal or any challenge affecting this Order approving the Plan of Allocation shall in no way disturb or affect the finality of the Judgment.

8. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order, and immediate entry by the Clerk of Court is expressly directed.

**SO ORDERED this 10<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2026.**

BY THE COURT:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mi D", written above a horizontal line.

Hon. Mia R. Perez