

Exhibit A to Proposed Order Regarding Preliminary Injunction

Item 8.01. Other Events.

On December 17, 2012, the Court (the “Court”) in *In re: Ancestry.com Inc. Shareholder Litigation* (Consolidated C.A. No. 7988) (the “litigation”) heard argument on plaintiffs’ motion to preliminarily enjoin the proposed merger between Ancestry.com Inc. (the “Company” or “Ancestry”) and Global Generations Merger Sub Inc. and the upcoming special meeting of the Company’s stockholders. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Court enjoined the currently scheduled December 27, 2012 special stockholders’ meeting unless Ancestry stockholders were provided the information that appears below.

SUPPLEMENT TO PROXY STATEMENT

The following information supplements the proxy statement filed by the Company dated November 30, 2012 (the “proxy statement”) and should be read in conjunction with the proxy statement, which should be read in its entirety. Any page references in the information below are to pages in the proxy statement, and terms used below have the meanings set forth in the proxy statement, unless otherwise defined below.

Special Factors – Background of the Merger

The following disclosure supplements the discussion beginning on page 16 of the proxy statement concerning the Background of the Merger:

- In May 2012, Ancestry management developed the Company projections (the “Company Projections”) in accordance with the Board’s instructions for use in the sale process. During May and June, at the Board’s instruction, Qatalyst contacted potential bidders based on discussions among Qatalyst, the Board, and management. The Company Projections were provided to the parties who had executed confidentiality agreements.

Qatalyst indicated in late September to Ancestry management that it was unlikely to be able to provide a fairness opinion as to a merger at the prices being discussed with Permira on the basis of the Company Projections, if those projections represented management’s best estimate of the Company’s future performance. Ancestry management then worked on developing scenarios reflecting their current best estimate of Ancestry’s future performance for use by Qatalyst in considering whether to provide a fairness opinion. Some time in early October, Qatalyst told the Board that it would be difficult for Qatalyst to provide a fairness opinion at \$32 a share. The Board instructed management to continue developing the scenarios with their current best estimates of Ancestry’s future performance. These scenarios, which are referred to as the “Sensitivities,” were presented to Qatalyst in final form on October 16, two days before Qatalyst delivered its oral fairness opinion as to the merger agreement with Permira.

- During the course of the strategic process, Ancestry had, on the advice of its counsel, entered into confidentiality agreements with potential bidders. Each confidentiality

agreement contained a standstill provision (a “standstill”) preventing the potential bidder from offering to purchase shares of Ancestry for twelve or eighteen months, without the written invitation of the Ancestry Board or Chief Executive Officer. In addition, each confidentiality agreement contained a provision stating that a potential bidder was not permitted to ask for a waiver of the standstill (a “no-ask, no-waiver” provision). Thus, absent Ancestry’s decision affirmatively to waive the no-ask, no-waiver provision of the confidentiality agreement, none of the parties to the confidentiality agreements could approach Ancestry to request a waiver of the standstill in order to present an offer to purchase shares of Ancestry in a consensual merger or other form that might constitute a superior proposal under Section 5.3 of the Merger Agreement with Permira.

After the plaintiffs in the litigation filed suit challenging, among other things, the propriety of the no-ask, no-waiver provisions of the confidentiality agreements, the general counsel of Ancestry sent each of the parties to the confidentiality agreements a letter affirmatively waiving the no-ask, no-waiver provisions, thus permitting those parties to request a waiver of the standstill in order to make a superior proposal consistent with Section 5.3 of the Merger Agreement. Those letters were sent by email and Federal Express on December 11, 2012.

Forward-looking Statements

.....