Page 316

IN THE COURT OF CHANCERY OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

IN RE COLUMBIA PIPELINE : CONSOLIDATED GROUP, INC. MERGER LITIGATION : Civil Action No. 2018-0484-JTL

Chancery Courtroom 12A Leonard L. Williams Justice Center 500 North King Street Wilmington, Delaware Tuesday, July 19, 2022 9:15 a.m.

BEFORE: HON. J. TRAVIS LASTER, Vice Chancellor

TRIAL TRANSCRIPT - VOLUME II

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS
Leonard L. Williams Justice Center
500 North King Street - Suite 11400
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
(302) 255-0526

R. Smith - Direct

Page 318

- 1 THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone.
- 2 Thank you for being here. Thank you,
- 3 the witness, for being ready to go. Let's resume.
 - ATTORNEY HARRELL: Thank you, Your
- 5 Honor.

4

12

- 6 ROBERT SMITH, having been previously
- 7 affirmed, resumed and testified as follows:
- 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Cont'd)
- 9 BY ATTORNEY HARRELL: -
- Q. Good morning.
- 11 A. Good morning.
 - Q. When we finished yesterday, we were
- 13 talking about the January 7 meeting and leading up to
- 14 the January 25 discussions.
- 15 Let's look at Exhibit No. 564, please.
- 16 This is an email from Mr. Skaggs to the board, dated
- 17 January 11, 2016. And in the email, Mr. Skaggs says,
- 18 "Since our recent discussions, TransCanada sent a data
- 19 request to Steve on Friday evening (1/8) -- in
- 20 contemplation of 'developing a preliminary proposal'
- 21 that apparently, [TransCanada's] CEO would communicate
- 22 to me 'early in the week of 1/25'."
- 23 I want to first ask you about this
- 24 reference to recent discussions. And I want to ask it

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

Page 317

R. Smith - Direct

Page 319

- APPEARANCES:

 1 in connection with the annual strategic board meeting

 NED C. WEINBERGER, ESQ.

 2 that occurred at the end of January.
 - 3 Leading up to that meeting, were there
 - 4 one-on-one meetings with each of the board members?
 - 5 A. There were.
 - 6 Q. And what was the purpose of that?
 - 7 A. So Mr. Skaggs -- I've seen many CEOs
 - 8 communicate with boards before and manage boards, and
 - 9 Mr. Skaggs was by far the best board manager, as I'd
 - 10 say, as you manage up, that I've experienced.
 - 11 And so he would have regular
 - 12 one-on-ones, certainly prior to the strategic meeting
 - 13 in January. Every year he would have one-on-ones with
 - 14 each director and make sure he understood what their
 - 15 expectations were, get their feedback on the substance
 - 16 of the meetings. And so he certainly did that in this
 - 17 meeting as well, and he would have updated them on our
 - 18 financial position and just what we were experiencing
 - 19 post-equity issuance, I'm sure.
 - Q. So when he sent out this email, which
 - 21 is Exhibit No. 564, on 1/11/16, and said, "Since our
 - 22 recent discussions ...," he's referring to the fact
 - 23 that he had already had one-on-one meetings with some
 - 24 of the board members. Right?

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

2 NED C. WEINBERGER, ESQ. BRENDAN W. SULLIVAN, ESQ. Labaton Sucharow LLP 3 and--and-GREGORY V. VARALLO, ESQ. Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP 4 Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP -andJEROEN van KWAWEGEN, ESQ. CHRISTOPHER J. ORRICO, ESQ. THOMAS G. JAMES, ESQ. MARGARET SANBORN-LOWING, ESQ. of the New York Bar Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP -and-5 6 7 8 Bernstein Litowitz Berge -andSTEPHEN E. JENKINS, ESQ. MARIE M. DEGNAN, ESQ. Ashby & Geddes, P.A. for Plaintiffs 9 10 11 MARTIN S. LESSNER, ESQ. JAMES M. YOCH, JR., ESQ. KEVIN P. RICKERT, ESQ. Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP 12 13 onaway Stargatt & -and--and-MICHAEL A. OLSEN, ESQ. BRIAN J. MASSENGILL, ESQ. LINDA X. SHI, ESQ. of the Illinois Bar Mayer Brown LLP 14 15 16 for Defendant TC Energy Corporation 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 320 Page 322 1 A. That's correct. 1 that were indicative in nature, we were told that that was not improper under the standstill agreement, did 2 Q. Let's go, please, to Joint Exhibit 2 3 No. 4. And let's look at page 46. 3 not breach that provision. And, by the way, to identify the 4 Was a range of 25 to \$28 an offer that 4 Q. 5 exhibit, this is the Schedule 14A from the 5 could have been accepted, in your mind? 6 transaction. Right? 6 A. 7 7 A. I'm sorry. Is there a reference Q. Now, at this time, could Dominion or number for a tab? 8 NextEra or Berkshire also have provided indicative 8 Yes. This is Exhibit 1291. 9 Q. 9 proposals? A. It's the big one. I see it. Thank 10 A. Yes. 10 11 you. 11 Q. One moment, please. Why don't you identify what the 12 And, by the way, is that what happened 12 Q. 13 exhibit is, and then we'll talk about just a paragraph in November of 2015; that is, indicative proposals 14 in it. 14 were made by the parties? A. This appears to be the proxy statement 15 A. Yes. 15 that we filed -- I'm looking for a date -- in 16 Q. 16 On November 24th, 2015 -- sorry. connection with the approval of the merger. I don't 17 Yes, let's look at one other thing in 17 see the date. 18 the proxy, and that's on page 45. So just go back a 18 page. And you see where it says here, "On 19 Q. Let's go to Exhibit No. 46 -- I'm 19 sorry, page number 46, please, and the second to last November 24th, 2015, TransCanada and Party D each made 20 20 21 paragraph. 21 verbal indications of interest to Mr. Skaggs." 22 A. 22 And if you skip down, you see in that Okay. 23 Q. paragraph, "The Chief Executive Officer of Party D And you see it says, "On January 25, 23 2016, Mr. Girling contacted Mr. Skaggs and indicated indicated that Party D was interested in acquiring CPG 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 321 Page 323 that TransCanada would be interested in pursuing an at a price per share of CPG common stock of \$23.50 in 2 all-cash acquisition of CPG at a price per share of cash." 2 3 CPG common stock in the range of \$25 to \$28. Do you see that? 3 4 A. I do. Mr. Skaggs expressed the view that any transaction 4 5 would need to be at the top end of that range." 5 Q. And so is that an indication or an 6 I want to talk to you about the 6 example of one of the indicative proposals made by 7 discussions that led up to -- the internal discussions 7 another one of the parties? that led up to this meeting. Before TransCanada made 8 A. It is. 8 9 this indication of interest on January 25, did you 9 Q. And Party D, do you recall that that have additional discussions with Sullivan about 10 was Berkshire? 10 whether TransCanada providing a proposed range was 11 A. I do not recall. 11 permissible under the standstill provision? 12 12 Q. Okay. 13 A. I did. 13 A. I'm sorry. Q. And did you seek Mr. Frumkin's advice 14 Q 14 It's been a while. 15 on that? 15 A. That would make sense, though. 16 A. I did. 16 So leading up to this January 25 17 0 And did you have an understanding, meeting, did you have a conversation and exchange having talked to him, about why a range of 25 to \$28 emails with Chris Johnston? 18 18 19 did not violate the standstill? 19 A. I did. I did. From the advice that we Q. 20 20 Let's look at Exhibit No. 620, please. Is Exhibit 620 an exchange of emails that you had with 21 received, we were allowed to have discussions without 22 violating the terms of the standstill to the point of Ms. Johnston and then later with Mr. Frumkin? 22 entertaining whether or not an actual offer would be 23 It is 23 A. made. And so the discussions that were informal and 24 Q. 24 Let's start with the email at the

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 324 Page 326 1 bottom. This is an email from Chris Johnston to you. 1 when Ms. Johnston reached out for confirmation that 2 This is January 25, 2016. And it says, "Good Morning 2 the standstill did not preclude the talks that were 3 Bob " 3 getting ready to happen, in your mind, did that waive 4 And then in the second paragraph it 4 or breach the "don't ask, don't waive" provision? 5 says, "As I expect you may be aware, your CFO has been 5 A. No. Based on advice of counsel, it did not. 6 involved in arranging a call between Taurus' CEO and 6 7 7 Capricorn's CEO which is to take place at the end of Okay. And you had discussions with the day today. My understanding is that while there 8 Sullivan about that? 8 may be some broad discussion regarding valuation of 9 A. 9 Capricorn, this conversation will not constitute an 10 Q. And why is it that you believed it did 10 11 offer nor a proposal to acquire the securities of 11 not waive that "don't ask, don't waive" provision? Capricorn nor constitute any other action that would 12 I think I noted it earlier. But, 12 again, the discussions were in an attempt to decide 13 be precluded by the standstill provisions" 13 14 Do you recall her asking that? 14 whether there would be pursuit of an offer or whether A. there would be an actual offer being made. And so the 15 15 indicative discussions leading up to that, we were --And then if you look up at the email 16 Q. in the middle of the page, you sent that to 17 we were informed and counseled that that would not be 17 Mr. Frumkin. Right? 18 a breach. 18 A. 19 19 I did. Q. And she was not asking for a waiver. 20 Q. And in your email, you said -- you 20 Right? 21 A. That's correct. 21 referred to what Ms. Johnston was asking, and 22 Mr. Frumkin said, "[I] agree." 22 Q. Just a confirmation. 23 A. That's correct. 23 Now, let's look at -- correct? 24 Q. That is, he agreed with her 24 A. Yes. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 325 Page 327 supposition or her thesis of the fact that the 1 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 621, please. 2 2 standstill agreement did not preclude the These are some more emails that occurred on the same conversations that were going to happen. Right? 3 day. And if we look at the second page of the 3 Yes. I think his "Agree" is he's exhibit, we see that same email from Ms. Johnston to 4 4 5 agreeing with my statement that I will call Chris back 5 you that starts, "Good Morning Bob." And it has what and I will acknowledge that it is not an offer in 6 6 we just discussed. Right? 7 contradiction of the standstill. 7 A. Correct. 8 Now, if we go back to the bottom of 8 Now, if we read up from that, your 0 O 9 the first page of this exhibit, the third paragraph of 9 response back to Ms. Johnston was, "Thanks Chris. I 10 Ms. Johnston's email, I want to focus on that for just 10 confirm by this email that receipt of an offer to 11 a minute. 11 purchase our securities in this context would not violate or be in contravention with the terms of the 12 She says, "If however, after that 12 13 meeting and after discussions with your board, your 13 NDA, including the standstill provision." board is receptive to continuing the discussions, we 14 Right? 14 15 would like assurance that in the event a verbal or 15 A. That's correct. written offer or proposal is made by Taurus to the 16 Q. And that was the advice that you got 16 17 Capricorn CEO or board, Taurus would not be in 17 from Sullivan --A. 18 contravention or breach of its obligations under the 18 Yes. 19 Standstill." 19 Q. -- correct? 20 So she did ask that. Right? 20 And then she writes back, "I am That's correct. comfortable with the conversation planned to take 21 A. 21 22 Q. Let's go to Joint Exhibit No. 621, 22 place this afternoon." 23 23 please. So the conversation hadn't taken place 24 Before we talk about 621, by the way, yet. Right? CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 328 Page 330 1 A. That's correct. 1 consistent in giving management and the board at Q. 2 2 "As indicated, if we were to move Columbia? 3 forward, the words in the standstill that we agreed 3 A. That's correct. would appear to require more explicit Board direction 4 Q. Let's go to Joint Exhibit 627, please. 4 5 for an offer (even if conditioned). Perhaps we can 5 The first page of this exhibit is an email from 6 discuss tomorrow after the conversation between CEOs 6 Mr. Frumkin to you. And it says, "Bob, Here is the 7 7 takes place ' material, reformatted, with just a couple of points And you sent that to Mr. Frumkin also. 8 added. We can discuss [it]" 8 9 9 Right? And if we turn to the attachment, do 10 A. That's correct. you recall that this is a PowerPoint that Sullivan 10 11 And Mr. Frumkin then sent back an 11 prepared on directors' duties? email to you saying, "I think a formal proposal they 12 A. I do. 12 Q. 13 are right, but what we're doing now is fine. Just 13 And how did it come about that emphasize that what we approve them doing is making a 14 Sullivan was preparing this and was it -- for what 14 private, non-public indication for discussion of a 15 15 purpose? 16 negotiated transaction and discussion of whether It was to advise our board -- I'm 16 A. aboard wants to initiate negotiations." 17 looking for the date. And I don't see a date in the 17 email. I'm sorry. 18 So what was Mr. Frumkin saying, in 18 19 your mind? 19 Q. If you look at the date on the That discussions that were preliminary 20 email --20 A. in order to determine they wanted to make an offer 21 A. Yes. 21 were permissible under the clause. 22 Q. -- it's 1/26. 22 23 Q. And we will save for another day a 23 A. So it would have been to advise the discussion about whether and to what extent a formal board at that strategic meeting when we were doing our 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 329 Page 331 1 proposal might fall into a different category. Yes? executive session to have the discussion regarding the 2 2 potential discussions that were occurring. A. Yes. 3 3 Q. And when Mr. Frumkin sent that back to Q. And that was a big board meeting, an you, was that consistent with your understanding? 4 annual meeting. Right? 4 5 A. It was consistent with our previous 5 A. It was 6 conversations, yes. Q. 6 And it lasted two days? 7 By the way, throughout these 7 A. That's correct. Maybe three. negotiations with TransCanada, did Sullivan ever 8 Q. Pardon me? 8 9 advise you or the board that TransCanada violated the 9 A. Yeah, maybe three. I don't recall. 10 standstill? 10 But two to three days. 11 A. They did not. 11 Q. And was this presentation actually given at that meeting? 12 Q. Did you ever advise Columbia's 12 13 management or the board that TransCanada violated the 13 A. It would have been. standstill? 14 Let's go to Exhibit 587, please. This 14 15 A. I did not. 15 is an email, and it's dated 1/20/2016, which is also 16 Q. Why not? right before that annual meeting, from Florence Zhang 17 A. Because we were watching the activity 17 to you, with copies to the Sullivan & Cromwell team. and gauging it very carefully and were within the 18 18 And if we go to the second page, you 19 bounds of the advice that we had been given. 19 will see on Sullivan & Cromwell letterhead it's a And did you believe that TransCanada memorandum to the board of directors of Columbia, and 20 20 21 or Columbia in any way violated the standstill at any 21 it's regarding fiduciary duties of directors under 22 Delaware law. Correct? 22 time? 23 A. I did not. 23 That's correct. A. 24 Q. 24 Q. And was that the advice that you were Do you recall that Sullivan also

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 332 Page 334 1 prepared this memorandum for the board? 1 Q. Let's go to the minutes again, please, 2 A. I do. 2 on page 5. And that's Exhibit 191. And this top 3 0 And it was prepared to be handed out 3 paragraph is long, and I'm going to try to break it 4 at that annual meeting. Right? 4 down into three parts here so we can digest it. The 5 A. That's correct. 5 first part starts on about the eighth line with the 6 Q. Was it handed out at that meeting? 6 sentence "Mr. Skaggs." 7 7 A. Yes. A. Okay. 8 Q. 8 Q. And it says. "Mr. Skaggs reported that What was the purpose of this memo? A. The purpose of the memo was to make 9 Mr. Girling had indicated that TransCanada would not 9 sure that our board had all of the information 10 be willing to undertake the additional time and 10 11 necessary in order to understand what their fiduciary expense required to do further due diligence and duties were and what the information would be that negotiate definitive transaction documentation unless 12 13 they should be getting and just the whole context of 13 the Company were to agree to provide TransCanada with 14 their decision-making. So we wanted them to be fully 14 exclusivity." informed. 15 Do you recall that discussion at the 15 16 board meeting? 16 Q. Did this memorandum provide a lot more detailed information as opposed to the PowerPoint that 17 A. l do 17 was given during the meeting? 18 Q. And then if we go down to the very end 18 A. Yes, it did. 19 19 of that paragraph, you can see the third line from the 20 Q. Turn to page 8 of the exhibit. It's top -- I'm sorry, the third line from the bottom the 20 21 actually page 7 of the memorandum but page 8 of the 21 words "advantages and disadvantages of granting 22 exhibit, the top paragraph. Tell me when you're 22 TransCanada exclusivity" 23 23 there. Were those advantages and 24 A. I'm there. disadvantages debated by the board? CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 333 Page 335 1 A. 1 Q. It reads, "A third form of deal They were. 2 Q. 2 protection device is a standstill (though standstills Did the board just -- or did the board 3 are typically put in place before a deal is signed)." just rubber-stamp what management had recommended? 3 And then it goes on to discuss standstills. 4 No. There was -- there was extensive 4 5 Do you see that? 5 discussion. We understood the importance of A. I do. 6 exclusivity and the ramifications of that. And so we 6 7 0 Were standstills actually discussed 7 wanted to make sure that the board was very informed 8 with the board at that annual meeting? 8 as we moved into that important step. And not only 9 I don't recall if there was a specific 9 would we have discussed it extensively, but their 10 discussion, but certainly they would have seen it here 10 advisors would also have talked about it in the 11 in this memo. Although I have to believe that in the 11 context of valuation analysis and competition and all context of our discussion we would have been talking 12 12 of those factors. 13 about potential process that would take place. And so 13 Q. And Sullivan was there as well at the the standstill and the waiver of the standstill or the board meeting. Right? 14 14 15 invitation by the board would have been discussed in 15 A. That's correct. And they would have 16 that context. 16 weighed in as well. 17 0 We're going to now turn to this issue 17 So I want to just focus on a couple of of exclusivity, which you dealt with as a general 18 the topics that were actually a point of discussion 18 19 counsel. Right? 19 when the exclusivity issue came up. 20 A. 20 If we can go up about six or seven 21 Q. At some point, did the board authorize 21 lines from "advantages and disadvantages," maybe it's entering into an exclusivity agreement with 22 22 eight or nine, there's a sentence that says, "The TransCanada? 23 board then discussed with management and the Company's 23 24 A. They did. advisors TransCanada's indicative offer [] Goldman

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 336 Page 338 Sachs' financial analyses, the Company's strategic 1 A. Yes. I believe so. 1 2 Q 2 positioning in the industry and whether the Company And tell us what the purpose of this 3 should solicit interest from, or re-engage with, other 3 was, in your mind. And if you need a minute -- have potentially interested counterparties, including 4 you found it there? 4 5 Spectra, Dominion and others." 5 A. I think I have. Let me read it again, 6 That's a mouthful, but does that 6 please. 7 7 refresh your recollection of some of the issues that Q. It's on your screen also. were debated by the board in this effort to decide 8 My screen is not working, so I turned 8 A. whether to agree to exclusivity? 9 it off. But it's highlighted. 9 10 A. It does. 10 Q. It might be easier to see on your 11 And then at the very bottom of the 11 screen. paragraph, it says that the board agreed to enter into 12 A. It keeps blinking on and off, or it 12 exclusivity, which they did. Right? 13 13 was. That's correct. 14 A. 14 THE COURT: Hold on a second. At the Q. At the time that Columbia entered into next break, can we figure out what's going on with the 15 15 witness's screen. Thank you. exclusivity, was any other party showing interest in a 16 16 potential transaction, other than TransCanada? 17 THE COURT CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. 17 A. Not at that time. 18 18 THE COURT: Okay. Q. You negotiated the exclusivity 19 THE WITNESS: Seems to be fine now. 19 agreement. Right? 20 ATTORNEY HARRELL: It's fine now? 20 A. I did. 21 THE WITNESS: I think. 21 22 Q. But that was with Sullivan? 22 THE COURT: We will keep our fingers 23 A. Sullivan would have drafted that crossed. But if it acts up, please let us know so we 23 24 agreement, and then I would have given comments, and can look into it. Thank you. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 337 Page 339 BY ATTORNEY HARRELL: 1 then we would have negotiated it with the other side. 1 2 2 Q. Look at Exhibit 681, please. This is Because it's such a long paragraph, Q. an email that you sent to Ms. Johnston with the signed 3 looking at what we have highlighted on the screen 3 exclusivity agreement. Right? might make it a little easier. So just take your time 4 4 5 A. That's correct. 5 and look at that, please. 6 Q. And if we go to the second page of the Yes. So this -- this provision is the 6 7 exhibit, that's the first page of the actual 7 written response saying that the board has authorized 8 exclusivity agreement. Right? 8 them to now move forward. 9 A. 9 Right. And this says that if -- so we 10 Q. And I want you to look near the bottom 10 have an exclusivity agreement here. But if a bona of the first page. And you will see at the beginning 11 fide written unsolicited transaction proposal should 11 of the line "Transaction Proposal;" and then, come in from somebody else, the board can consider it 12 12 13 "provided that in response to a bona fide written 13 at Columbia. Right? unsolicited Transaction Proposal that did not result 14 A. That's correct. 14 15 from a breach of this letter [] (an 'Unsolicited 15 Q. And that was -- that was an extra Proposal') Capricorn may, after providing notice to 16 protection for Columbia. Correct? 16 17 Taurus as required by this letter agreement, [] enter 17 A. Yes, that's correct. I misstated into or participate in [] discussions " And then earlier, and that's correct. 18 18 19 it goes on. 19 Q. By the way, did Spectra ever have an NDA with Columbia? 20 Are you familiar with this provision 20 of the exclusivity agreement? 21 21 A. Not to my recollection. 22 A. 22 O Regardless, was there anything to keep And is this what has been referred to 23 Q. Spectra from reaching out to Columbia at any time, 23 including around this time? the "fiduciary out"? 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

	R. Smith - Direct Page 340		R. Smith - Direct Page 342
1	A. No. In fact, there was a soft	1	you to Joseph Frumkin. Right?
2	outreach later in the process.	2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. Which we'll get to in just a moment.	3	Q. And the rest the Sullivan team?
4	Let's go to Exhibit No. 827, please.	4	A. Yes.
5	A. Okay.	5	Q. "See below. Please call me [at] the
6	Q. 827 is a set of emails, the top one	6	office to discuss."
7	being from you to the lawyers at Sullivan, dated	7	And so did you have discussions with
8	3/3/2016. And then below that, there is	8	the Sullivan team about this email?
9	correspondence between you and Chris Johnston. Right?	9	A. I did. I this was an obvious
10	A. That's correct.	10	crucial point for us, and I wanted the advice of this
11	Q. So I want to start with the very	11	team, which had trillions of dollars of deal
12	bottom of the first page. And do you see an email	12	experience, to help me through it.
13	from you, on March 3, to Chris Johnston?	13	Q. Okay. I believe the record will show
14	Take a second to look at that email,	14	that you talked directly with Mr. Sampas on this. And
15	which goes to the second page of the exhibit.	15	what were you advised by Mr. Sampas?
16	A. Okay.	16	And if you want to refresh your
17	Q. What were you asking Ms. Johnston in	17	recollection, we can go to the next exhibit.
18	that email?	18	THE COURT: Why don't we see if he
19	A. We were trying to coordinate and	19	needs his recollection refreshed first. He might be
20	ensure that both parties were comfortable with how the	20	able to answer your question.
21	process should take place based on our respective	21	A. I don't recall the specific
22	outside counsels' input and moving forward on that.	22	conversation as I sit here, but I appreciate the
23	Q. Okay. And this was with the	23	refreshment.
24	expectation that Columbia and TransCanada were going	24	Q. I kind of saw that look on your face.
24		24	*
	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS		CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS
	R. Smith - Direct Page 341		R. Smith - Direct Page 343
1	R. Smith - Direct Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more	1	R. Smith - Direct Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836,
1 2	Page 341	1 2	Page 343
	to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more		Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836,
2	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers?	2	Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please.
2	to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct.	2 3	Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you.
2 3 4	rage 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted	2 3 4	Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to
2 3 4 5	to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say,	2 3 4 5	Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a
2 3 4 5 6	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in	2 3 4 5	Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January"	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And then in the middle of page 1, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the meeting that informed them that the board had, in fact, given them authorization.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And then in the middle of page 1, we have Ms. Johnston's response to you. And I would like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the meeting that informed them that the board had, in fact, given them authorization. Q. Okay. And does that refresh your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And then in the middle of page 1, we have Ms. Johnston's response to you. And I would like to refer you to the second paragraph of her response. A. I've read it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the meeting that informed them that the board had, in fact, given them authorization. Q. Okay. And does that refresh your recollection that you would have had a conversation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And then in the middle of page 1, we have Ms. Johnston's response to you. And I would like to refer you to the second paragraph of her response. A. I've read it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the meeting that informed them that the board had, in fact, given them authorization. Q. Okay. And does that refresh your recollection that you would have had a conversation with Mr. Sampas or somebody at Sullivan who told you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And then in the middle of page 1, we have Ms. Johnston's response to you. And I would like to refer you to the second paragraph of her response. A. I've read it. Q. Okay. And she says, "Accordingly, please confirm by reply e-mail, in advance of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 343 Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the meeting that informed them that the board had, in fact, given them authorization. Q. Okay. And does that refresh your recollection that you would have had a conversation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And then in the middle of page 1, we have Ms. Johnston's response to you. And I would like to refer you to the second paragraph of her response. A. I've read it. Q. Okay. And she says, "Accordingly,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the meeting that informed them that the board had, in fact, given them authorization. Q. Okay. And does that refresh your recollection that you would have had a conversation with Mr. Sampas or somebody at Sullivan who told you that at this point now a board authorization was in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And then in the middle of page 1, we have Ms. Johnston's response to you. And I would like to refer you to the second paragraph of her response. A. I've read it. Q. Okay. And she says, "Accordingly, please confirm by reply e-mail, in advance of the March 5 discussions, that the Capricorn board of directors has specifically requested in writing in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the meeting that informed them that the board had, in fact, given them authorization. Q. Okay. And does that refresh your recollection that you would have had a conversation with Mr. Sampas or somebody at Sullivan who told you that at this point now a board authorization was in order? A. It does. And just to be clear, my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And then in the middle of page 1, we have Ms. Johnston's response to you. And I would like to refer you to the second paragraph of her response. A. I've read it. Q. Okay. And she says, "Accordingly, please confirm by reply e-mail, in advance of the March 5 discussions, that the Capricorn board of directors has specifically requested in writing in advance that Taurus make an offer to acquire, or seek	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the meeting that informed them that the board had, in fact, given them authorization. Q. Okay. And does that refresh your recollection that you would have had a conversation with Mr. Sampas or somebody at Sullivan who told you that at this point now a board authorization was in order? A. It does. And just to be clear, my memory was clear on the ultimate result. It was the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And then in the middle of page 1, we have Ms. Johnston's response to you. And I would like to refer you to the second paragraph of her response. A. I've read it. Q. Okay. And she says, "Accordingly, please confirm by reply e-mail, in advance of the March 5 discussions, that the Capricorn board of directors has specifically requested in writing in advance that Taurus make an offer to acquire, or seek or propose to acquire, securities of Capricorn at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the meeting that informed them that the board had, in fact, given them authorization. Q. Okay. And does that refresh your recollection that you would have had a conversation with Mr. Sampas or somebody at Sullivan who told you that at this point now a board authorization was in order? A. It does. And just to be clear, my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And then in the middle of page 1, we have Ms. Johnston's response to you. And I would like to refer you to the second paragraph of her response. A. I've read it. Q. Okay. And she says, "Accordingly, please confirm by reply e-mail, in advance of the March 5 discussions, that the Capricorn board of directors has specifically requested in writing in advance that Taurus make an offer to acquire, or seek or propose to acquire, securities of Capricorn at the meeting between [] Taurus and Capricorn"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the meeting that informed them that the board had, in fact, given them authorization. Q. Okay. And does that refresh your recollection that you would have had a conversation with Mr. Sampas or somebody at Sullivan who told you that at this point now a board authorization was in order? A. It does. And just to be clear, my memory was clear on the ultimate result. It was the timing, that I just wasn't sure of the specific conversation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	read 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And then in the middle of page 1, we have Ms. Johnston's response to you. And I would like to refer you to the second paragraph of her response. A. I've read it. Q. Okay. And she says, "Accordingly, please confirm by reply e-mail, in advance of the March 5 discussions, that the Capricorn board of directors has specifically requested in writing in advance that Taurus make an offer to acquire, or seek or propose to acquire, securities of Capricorn at the meeting between [] Taurus and Capricorn" That's what she had to say. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the meeting that informed them that the board had, in fact, given them authorization. Q. Okay. And does that refresh your recollection that you would have had a conversation with Mr. Sampas or somebody at Sullivan who told you that at this point now a board authorization was in order? A. It does. And just to be clear, my memory was clear on the ultimate result. It was the timing, that I just wasn't sure of the specific conversation. Q. It's been six years ago. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And then in the middle of page 1, we have Ms. Johnston's response to you. And I would like to refer you to the second paragraph of her response. A. I've read it. Q. Okay. And she says, "Accordingly, please confirm by reply e-mail, in advance of the March 5 discussions, that the Capricorn board of directors has specifically requested in writing in advance that Taurus make an offer to acquire, or seek or propose to acquire, securities of Capricorn at the meeting between [] Taurus and Capricorn" That's what she had to say. Right? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the meeting that informed them that the board had, in fact, given them authorization. Q. Okay. And does that refresh your recollection that you would have had a conversation with Mr. Sampas or somebody at Sullivan who told you that at this point now a board authorization was in order? A. It does. And just to be clear, my memory was clear on the ultimate result. It was the timing, that I just wasn't sure of the specific conversation. Q. It's been six years ago. So A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	read 341 to be meeting around that time to discuss perhaps more definitive offers? A. That's correct. Q. And so I note in your email, you say, "In expectation of your making an offer, I wanted to touch base regarding a discussion [] we had in January" So in your email, you're referring back to her questions that she asked earlier. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And then in the middle of page 1, we have Ms. Johnston's response to you. And I would like to refer you to the second paragraph of her response. A. I've read it. Q. Okay. And she says, "Accordingly, please confirm by reply e-mail, in advance of the March 5 discussions, that the Capricorn board of directors has specifically requested in writing in advance that Taurus make an offer to acquire, or seek or propose to acquire, securities of Capricorn at the meeting between [] Taurus and Capricorn" That's what she had to say. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Let's look at Joint Exhibit 836, please. A. Thank you. Q. And 836 is an email from Mr. Sampas to you, dated March the 4th. And why don't you take a moment to read what is in the email. A. I've read it. Q. And tell us what it is. A. It is the language for the email response that I would send back to Chris regarding the meeting that informed them that the board had, in fact, given them authorization. Q. Okay. And does that refresh your recollection that you would have had a conversation with Mr. Sampas or somebody at Sullivan who told you that at this point now a board authorization was in order? A. It does. And just to be clear, my memory was clear on the ultimate result. It was the timing, that I just wasn't sure of the specific conversation. Q. It's been six years ago. So

R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 344 Page 346 1 If we go to Exhibit No. 844, please. 1 management to reject TransCanada's offer." 2 And the lower part of this exhibit -- well, there's Do you recall that happening? 2 3 two emails. And the lower one is an email from you to 3 A. I do. Chris Johnston. 4 Q. And so do you recall Columbia at that 4 5 And does that email contain that 5 time, then, pushing back against that \$25.25 offer to 6 authorization that Mr. Sampas sent you? 6 TransCanada? 7 7 A. It does. A. I do. We rejected that offer. And so at this point, you then sent Q. 8 Q. At that point, what was the state of 8 this email that you had sent to Ms. Johnston back to 9 the discussions between Columbia and TransCanada? 9 the Sullivan team. We see that at the top. Right? 10 We ceased discussions. And I'm fuzzy 10 11 A I do. on the timing, but the exclusivity arrangement was expiring around that time as well. 12 Q. From Bob Smith to Sampas, Frumkin, and 12 13 Heyden? 13 Q. Okay. Why don't we refresh your 14 A. That's correct. 14 recollection with another exhibit. This would be Q. Okay. "And so it begins...." Joint Exhibit 1918. And this exhibit is, I believe, 15 15 A. Yeah, that's a reference to Lord of three -- it's three or four emails dated March 6 --16 the Rings. Just saying we're getting serious now. March 5 and 6, excuse me, 2016, between you and 17 17 Probably no one else got that joke in the email either Ms. Johnston. Is that right? 18 18 at the time, by the way. 19 A. That's correct. 19 20 Q. You might be dating yourself. 20 And if you look at the very bottom of O 21 A. Probably. 21 the page, there's an email from you to Ms. Johnston 22 Q. 22 that carries over to the second page. And you see it One moment, please. Let's go to Joint Exhibit 1918, please. Actually, first, let's go to says, "Hi Chris. Based on the value discussions 23 23 24 Exhibit 191. occurring earlier this afternoon. We are standing CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 345 Page 347 1 And let's look at page 10. So we 1 down." 2 2 talked about the fact that TransCanada was going to Is that what you conveyed to her? 3 A. That's correct. make an offer, and we talked about the authorization. 3 Now we're going to talk about the actual offer that 4 And then look at the email in the Q. 4 5 was made. 5 middle of the page to her. And why don't you just 6 6 read what you said to her. This was at 6:31 p.m. If you look at the minutes for 7

7 March 5, 2016, that's after the offer was made. And 8 you will see here that -- you might not recollect 9 this, but the first offer was \$24, which was rejected, 10 and then it was followed up by an offer of \$25.25. 11 And that's discussed here. 12 Do you remember this at all? 13 A. I do. 14 Okay. And if you look at these 15 minutes, you can see the \$25.25 per share following up on the \$24 number that was rejected. 16 17 And why don't we just read what this says. "As a result of these discussions, TransCanada 18 19 subsequently raised its offer to \$25.25 per share, 20 which TransCanada characterized as its best and final

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

offer. Mr. Skaggs conveyed to the Board management's

After discussion with management and the Company's

recommendation that the \$25.25 offer be rejected.

legal and financial advisors, the Board directed

21

22

23

24

"Hi Chris - Just a heads-up that I am having the 'return or destroy' letter prepared. It will likely not be sent until Monday morning because I am letting the team rest for the remainder of the 10 11 weekend."

12 So, indeed, were you having a return-or-destroy letter prepared? 13

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And that would be to cause TransCanada

to return or destroy the confidential information that

17 had been given to it?

18 A.

19 Q. And did you actually start working on

such a letter? 20

8

9

21 A. We did.

22 O And what did this signal to

TransCanada about the position of Columbia? 23

24 A. That we were -- we weren't negotiating

R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 348 Page 350 at that point. If that was their best and final, we 1 the leak was announced in the Wall Street Journal? 1 were done. 2 A. I do recall. 2 3 Q. And Columbia was ready to walk away? 3 O So those two things, the expiration of 4 A. That's correct. 4 the exclusivity agreement and the Wall Street Journal 5 Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 885. 5 leak, were happening almost simultaneously, weren't 6 please. 6 they? 7 Look at the middle email to refresh 7 A. Yes. your recollection, please, because I suspect you 8 Q. How did Columbia view the expiration 8 haven't looked at this in a long time. 9 of exclusivity, as far as negotiating tactics with 9 10 This is an email from Tim Ingrassia to 10 TransCanada? 11 the management at Columbia. And it says, "Very short 11 We were surprised that they did not call with Eric." 12 ask for an extension of the exclusivity, but it also 12 reinforced the view that discussions were -- that 13 That would be Eric Fornell. Are you 13 14 familiar with that name? 14 there was a delta between the two that may not be A. I believe he was at Wells Fargo. bridgeable. 15 15 Right. And does this refresh -- and 16 They were struggling with valuation 16 Q. if you look at the date, it's Sunday, March the 6th. 17 and not -- trying to not get downgraded, and we were 17 Does this refresh your recollection that after you 18 struggling with trying to maximize shareholder value 18 in a declining market, rapidly declining market. 19 sent that email, that we're preparing the 19 return-or-destroy letter, that -- like right after 20 So did this situation of the 20 21 that, the bankers got together and had a discussion, 21 expiration of the exclusivity agreement provide an 22 and now Goldman is reporting on that discussion? 22 opportunity to reach out to and talk to Dominion, 23 A. NextEra, Berkshire, others? 23 24 Q. And if you look in the middle of this 24 A. It did. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 349 Page 351 email from Tim Ingrassia, number 3, it says, "Bottom 1 Q. So did you-all take advantage of line, they'll do 26. Not a penny less. Straight from 2 TransCanada's oversight? 2 3 A. We did. 3 [the] Board." 4 And were there actual reach-outs to Do you recall that was the message Q. 4 5 5 Dominion, Berkshire, and NextEra? back --A. 6 6 I do. Yes. I sent a letter to each of the 7 0 -- to TransCanada? 7 potential counterparties that were subject to the NDAs 8 A. I do. 8 and subject to the standstill agreements with a clear 9 Q. So at that point, were discussions 9 message of discussions that were going on. I mean, 10 between \$25.25 and \$26? 10 clear -- as clear as you could be without saying, 11 A. Those were the boundaries. 11 please come and get back into this competition. And Let's look at Exhibit 191, please. then I also called each one directly and left a 12 Q. 12 13 And go to page 13. There's a long paragraph there 13 voicemail. under what's going on on March 10. And we want to 14 14 Q. And what reaction or response did you 15 just look at the last sentence. 15 get to your reach-outs? 16 "At the [end] of [the] presentation, 16 A. There was no response. 17 Mr. Skaggs informed the Board that the exclusivity 17 Q. Let's look at Exhibit 954, please. A. period had expired at 11:59 p.m., Central Time, on 18 Okay. 18 19 March 8, 2016 and that TransCanada had not yet 19 So if you look at the bottom of the 20 requested to renew exclusivity." 20 second page, this is March 10th still. You sent an 21 Do you recall that happening? 21 email to the Sullivan people, and you say, "Taurus 22 A. I do. 22 just asked for an additional week of exclusivity. 23 Q. 23 Joe/George - can you call me quickly to discuss?" And do you recall on that same day --

So you were reaching out to them for

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

24

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

and we'll go to the very top of that March 10 email --

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

24

R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 352 Page 354 1 advice on that. Right? 1 those parties. A. 2 2 I was. Q. Now, if you scroll down to part B, it 3 Q. So the exclusivity agreement had 3 says, "Release of Standstill Provision in other NDAs expired. They're asking for an additional week or 4 ([for] [example], Dominion). 4 5 two. And, actually, I think the record is that they 5 "- To align with our Fiduciary duties, 6 wanted two weeks. But regardless, they were asking 6 prior to executing a new Exclusivity Agreement with for more time. Right? 7 Taurus, we will release the standstill provision in 7 That's correct. 8 the other NDAs." 8 A. 9 9 0 And so did you then have a discussion Now, do you recall that discussion? or exchange emails with Sullivan about what do we do 10 A. I do. 10 11 about this? 11 Q. And why was the plan to waive the A. I did. 12 standstills at that time, prior to executing a new 12 13 Q. If you look at the email at the top of 13 exclusivity agreement with TransCanada? the first page, that's Mr. Frumkin's email to his 14 14 It was to make it abundantly clear to team. And he refers to a conversation he had with those parties that they could reengage. And, again, 15 15 you. And it says, "Just talked to Bob. [Saying] we the goal in this whole process was to increase 16 16 should say told board they hadn't asked for extension, 17 shareholder value. And so it was for that purpose. 17 so need to go back to board. That gives us tomorrow 18 O And did the lapse of -- tell us, what 18 to see if we get inbound calls." 19 19 did the lapse of exclusivity have to do, that and the 20 A. Correct. leak, as far as the ability to waive the standstills 20 21 Q. And so what was that all about? 21 without having to discuss it with TransCanada? 22 The natural gas transmission business 22 A. Can you repeat the question? and the midstream business was rapidly declining, and 23 Q. 23 Yeah. So just to set the table again, so we were trying to stir up competition. We were in we've had the lapse of exclusivity. We've had the 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 353 Page 355 a position where we felt that it was in the best leak. And now there's a discussion of waiving the 2 2 interest of shareholders to sell the company and to standstills. Did the lapse of exclusivity give extract the value that way. And so we were trying to 3 Columbia the opportunity to waive the standstills, 3 introduce competition in order to push the price up 4 along with the leak? 4 5 again. 5 A. It did. 6 6 Okay. And so was the advice to try to Q. Do you recall that Mr. Sampas advised 7 buy some time when it says here, "That gives us 7 that the standstills with these other companies needed 8 tomorrow to see if we get inbound calls"? 8 to be waived before the signing of a merger agreement 9 That's correct. From inbound calls 9 with TransCanada? Do you recall that discussion at 10 after the reach-outs that were occurring through the 10 all? 11 letter or the phone calls. 11 I do. My recollection is that it was in the context of best practice and that it would be 12 Okay. So these are your reach-outs, 12 13 and you're buying more time? 13 best to do that, not required, but certainly a good A. That's correct. thing, and that in the context of competition, it was 14 14 15 Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 970, 15 absolutely a good thing. please. And this is an email dated March 11 from 16 And was it easier to get those waived 16 17 Mr. Skaggs to the board and to advisors. Right? 17 after -- or easier to waive those after the A. exclusivity had expired? 18 That's correct. 18 19 And he says here, "For this evening's 19 A. Absolutely. 20 20 meeting, I would suggest that we use the following Q. Let's look at Exhibit 1024, please. 21 discussion outline:" 21 This is an email, at the top, from you to the Sullivan 22 team, entitled "NDA - Standstill Waiver." And it's And what do you take from that when it 22 says, "For this evening's meeting ..."? a -- this is a transmittal letter, is it not, 23 23 24 A. That we were having a meeting among enclosing a letter to the Sullivan team? CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

(10) Pages 352 - 355

R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 356 Page 358 1 A. That's correct. 1 call. Again, I may have the date wrong. But when we 2 0 And this letter, if we turn to the 2 went through that one, I thought that was a date after 3 third page of the exhibit, is addressed to NextEra 3 you sent it out. So it wasn't the minutes that was Energy, and it's dated March 11th. Right? 4 creating the issue for me; it's the JX 970. So why 4 5 A. That's correct. 5 don't we take a look at that one, and you can help me 6 Q. And what do you understand that this 6 parse through it. 7 7 letter was designed to do? If you need to take a THE WITNESS: Sure. minute to look at it. feel free. 8 THE COURT: So it looks like this is 8 This is the letter that waives the 9 9 March 11th. Thank you. standstill. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. There was a lot 10 11 Q. Okay. And who prepared the draft of 11 going on on that day this letter? BY ATTORNEY HARRELL: 12 13 A. Sullivan & Cromwell. 13 So let's go to Joint Exhibit 1920, 14 Q. Did you as general counsel work with 14 please. And you see these are emails that involve you them on this letter? on March 10th. And --15 15 Yes. And can I correct one of my 16 Excuse me. I don't have 1983 in my 16 A. A. statements earlier? I had said that Sullivan & 17 book. 17 Cromwell would have prepared the exclusivity 18 Q. Oh, sorry. I might have --18 agreement. But the stamp at the bottom indicates that A. 1923, or whatever you said. 19 19 it came from TransCanada. And TransCanada's counsel 20 Q. It's 1920. 20 21 would have prepared it, I'm sure. 21 A. I have '20. Okay. I'm there. 22 Q. But Sullivan & Cromwell --22 So Exhibit 1920 is emails between you and Alison Heyden of Sullivan. And there's also an 23 A. Would have reviewed it. 23 24 Q. -- would have been involved in email with a David Phillips. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 357 Page 359 reviewing it, giving comments or whatever, and 1 Who is David Phillips; do you recall, advising on the execution. Right? 2 with Bennett Jones? 2 A. Absolutely. Absolutely. 3 A. I believe he was our Toronto counsel. 3 THE COURT: So I just want to clarify 4 And he says -- let's look at the 4 Q. 5 something from a timing perspective. It looks to me 5 second page of the letter, please. And it's basically like, from the documents that we have just looked at, 6 in the middle of the page before the links. And it's 6 7 that your letter waiving the standstills goes out 7 easy to miss this sentence: "Taurus trading is halted 8 before the board meeting where Skaggs gives these 8 on the TSX." 9 things as action items to the board. Is that 9 Do you see that? It might be easier 10 accurate, or is this just a chronology weirdness? 10 on the screen, if your screen is working. 11 THE WITNESS: I need to refresh the 11 A. I do see it. dates. Which exhibit? 12 So does that refresh your recollection 12 Q. 13 BY ATTORNEY HARRELL: 13 that because of the leak, the TransCanada trading of its stock was halted on the TSX? 14 Let's go back to Exhibit No. 191. 14 15 THE COURT: Exhibit 970, that was the 15 A. I do recall our stock was halted as one that for me was creating the disconnect. 16 well for trading. 16 17 THE WITNESS: I believe the March 11 17 Do you recall that TransCanada issued minutes, on page 15, indicate that on March 11th we a press release after the trading was halted? 18 19 had a call with the board that would have discussed 19 A. I do. 20 Q. 20 the standstill and the waiving of the standstill. Let's look at Exhibit 1919, please. 21 THE COURT: Yes, but this wasn't the And if you go to the bottom of the first page, there is an email to you from Ms. Johnston. 22 document that was creating the disconnect for me. The 22 document that was creating the disconnect for me was 23 23 Do you see that? 24 A. I do. 970, which is where Skaggs was setting up the board 24

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 360 Page 362 refresh your recollection --1 0 "Bob -- here is the draft release. 1 2 A. 2 The TSX is looking to halt our stock so we will be Oh, please do. 3 issuing imminently once we have appropriate internal 3 O -- with a document, if that would sign off." 4 help. 4 And so do you recall that TransCanada 5 5 A. Thank you. That would be great. 6 did issue a press release? 6 Let's go to Exhibit 971, please. And 7 7 A. I do. this is dated March 11th. And it's an email from 8 Q. And did you get a chance actually to 8 Mr. Skaggs to the board and to advisors and other look at it before it went out? 9 9 people. 10 A. I did. 10 And let's look at the first sentence. 11 Q. And I take it you signed off on it? 11 A. Oh, thank you. Yes. 12 A. 12 Q. "Working with GS and S&C, we have 13 Q. Did Columbia get legal advice on 13 developed a balanced approach to manage inbound whether TransCanada might be required to issue this 14 14 overtures; comply with a new Exclusivity Agreement; press release? In other words, were you talking with [and] apply pressure for expedited deal closure; and 15 15 the Sullivan people about this? maintain a constructive relationship with Taurus." 16 16 A. I don't recall a specific 17 Do you recall that discussion? 17 18 A. I do. conversation. But absolutely. I mean, every major 18 thing that was happening, we were in contact. 19 O. 19 And what do you recall about that 20 Later on in the negotiations, do you 20 discussion? Let me just ask you that. 21 A. So I recall that we were wanting to 21 recall that TransCanada indicated that if no deal was 22 reached, it would have to issue another press release? 22 push the envelope in our response in a way that if 23 A. I do. someone did want to join the negotiation party, so to 23 24 Q. And what do you recall about that? speak, that we could send them a very direct signal, CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 361 Page 363 A. I recall that we were agnostic to the but we wanted to be careful not to violate the newly 1 2 entered exclusivity agreement. So we carefully 2 information going out. While I was upset because there was a leak and we had to deal with the stock crafted language in a way that someone would know what 3 3 we were trying to communicate with them without being exchange regarding it, you know, we were not feeling 4 4 5 any pressure or anything like that to do a deal, 5 explicit. except for our own financial situation and our own 6 6 Okay. And this Exhibit 971 actually 0 7 ability to move forward. 7 has that language in it, doesn't it? 8 Q. 8 A. That's correct. So they issue a press release with the 9 leak; and then there is this discussion about if no 9 Q. And I see here under cc's that a copy 10 deal was reached, TransCanada is saying we've got to 10 of this went to Joe Frumkin and to others. But was Mr. Frumkin involved in drafting this language? 11 issue another press release. 11 12 12 Did that surprise you? A. Yes, he was. 13 A. No. We were both thinking through our 13 Q. This also went to the -- excuse me, to respective responsibilities to the exchange that we 14 the Goldman people. Were they involved? 14 15 were listed on. And so we were having similar 15 A. Yes, they were. discussions with New York Stock Exchange about how the 16 And we see in the middle of the page 16 17 deal would resolve as well. So, you know, I don't 17 of this exhibit the language, "We will not comment on recall the specific conversation, but it doesn't 18 18 market speculation or rumors. With respect to 19 strike me as something that I would have been 19 indications of interest in pursuing a transaction, we surprised by. will not respond to anything other than serious 20 20 21 Q. Did you consider that a threat? 21 written proposals." A. 22 I did not. 22 A. That's correct. 23 23 Q. After the leak, did Columbia Q. So that's what you came up with. Right? 24 anticipate possible inbound inquiries? And I can 24

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 364 Page 366 1 A. It is. 1 A. I do recall. Q. 2 2 There's not many words in that. Does 0 Let's go to Exhibit 1059, please. And 3 the relative lack of words reflect the amount of time 3 you see at the top, this is an email from Will that went into drafting this? 4 Bousquette with Goldman. And down below that, there's 4 5 A. It does not. There was much time and 5 an email from Robert Skaggs. And then below that, much thought put into this. And the -- if I had 6 6 there's an email from Mr. Bousquette. 7 7 received a response like this, it would be very clear Do you see that? to me that the responding party could not respond more 8 A. 8 I do. fully or could not talk because they were locked up in 9 Q. 9 And let's focus on the one from an agreement. Mr. Bousquette there in the middle of the page. And I 10 10 11 Okay. So if -- let's look very note the time. It's 9:49 a.m. And he says, "Just quickly at the bottom of this email under "Rationale." spoke with spectra cfo. 12 13 And you see that Mr. Skaggs is 13 "Short conversation. relaying three different points of the rationale for 14 "Let me know if you want me to call 14 you for a quick post or email." 15 what's going on. 15 16 16 Do you see that? Does that refresh your recollection A. I do. that it was Goldman that reached out to Spectra at 17 17 Q. this time? 18 And without reading all this, can you 18 19 A. That's correct. 19 just summarize what the approach was here. Read it to yourself. 20 Q. Okay. And that was -- and we see 20 9:49 a.m. there. Right? A. So it was as I was describing earlier, 21 21 that the response would make it clear to a party that 22 A. 22 That's correct. there was discussions going on which would put 23 Q. All right. Let's go to Exhibit 1055, 23 pressure on TransCanada to come back to the table and please. And this is an email from you at the top and, 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 365 Page 367 negotiate in good faith and hopefully get the actually, an email from you at the bottom also. 2 price up. 2 Let's focus first on the one at the 3 bottom. Is this an email to Chris Johnston? 3 Q. Okay. Now, if you recall, at this 4 It is point, on March 11th, was there an exclusivity A. 4 agreement in place between TransCanada and Columbia? 5 Q. And why don't you take a minute to 5 6 We know that it had expired on the 8th, but do you read to yourself what you said to Chris, to 6 7 recall if a new exclusivity agreement was in place 7 Ms. Johnston. 8 yet? 8 A. So this was my email forwarding the 9 A. My recollection was not. 9 draft response to inbounds to her so that we could get comfortable that they would not say we were violating 10 Q. 10 Okay. 11 A. It was beginning the 12th that it went 11 the exclusivity agreement. 12 into effect. 12 Okay. And then right above that, 13 Q. So at this time, were there 13 there's an email from you to the Goldman people, negotiations on whether to renew exclusivity? Sullivan & Cromwell, and management at Columbia. 14 14 15 A. There were. 15 Right? 16 By the way, so we talked about the 16 A. That's correct. 17 leak, and we talked about the lack of exclusivity and 17 Q. And so what are you saying there when your reaching out to these other parties. you're reporting back to the company? 18 19 Did any of them ever come back? 19 A. After sending the email, Chris and I A. They did not. had a call. And this was a summary of that call, 20 20 which indicated that they -- that she would circulate 21 Q. So, again, to refresh your 21 22 it and then get back to us with their concurrence. 22 recollection -- it's been a long time -- do you recall 23 that Spectra did make a call, but it was a very soft Q. Okay. So I want to just focus on the 23 reach-out? 24 timing of this. Your email to Chris Smith [sic] at

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

Page 368

R. Smith - Direct

credible acquisition party on our company, and we

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

24

the bottom is 11:24. Is that right? 1 1 didn't want them to go through that same analysis and 2 A. discount it. And so we wanted them to feel Yes 2 3 O And if we look back quickly at 3 competition in the price negotiation and know that 4 Exhibit 1059, in the middle of the page --4 there was another party that was in play, but not, 5 A. Yes. 5 again, not let them evaluate who it was. 6 Q. -- Will Bousquette's reach-out to 6 Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1732. And Spectra was at 9:49, before your call and your email 7 7 we need to go to page 10. to Ms. Johnston, Right? 8 ATTORNEY HARRELL: And, Your Honor, 8 A. That's correct. 9 this is one of those that's really difficult to read. 9 Q. And was that important to Columbia, 10 So the screen is very helpful here. 10 11 that the reach-out to Spectra happened before 11 So at the top of page 10, we see a communicating with TransCanada on this? 12 text at 3/12/2016. And at the very top it says, 12 A. 13 I don't recall if we had choreographed 13 "From: | Robert Smith ..." 14 it that tightly, but I do know that when I forwarded 14 That would be you. Right? 15 it to her, it really wasn't for comment and it wasn't A. Correct. 15 asking as much as it was saying for information. And 16 16 Q. And it says, "Just texted then if they had a real problem with it, we would back-and-forth with Matt. Was getting ready to update 17 17 expect to hear from them. So that was the tone of our you. He spoke with Wells. Said everything went fine. 18 18 sending it to them. 19 19 Said they seemed to be ok with the language. He said 20 Q. Look at the bottom of the email on 20 it felt like Francois sent them to sniff out any 21 Exhibit 1055, please. This is your email to Chris 21 issues, none were found." Johnston. And the last sentence is, "One last note --22 22 This is a long time ago, and this is just a text, but do you understand the context of --23 as we discussed, this language was heavily vetted and 23 reviewed/approved at the board level, so we are not 24 A. I do. 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Direct R. Smith - Direct Page 369 Page 371 1 -- of this? Q. 2 in the record that this would not be interpreted to 2 Tell me what was going on, please. violate a renewed EA." 3 A. So after we sent over the -- the 3 A. That's correct. 4 script for the response, Wells Fargo reached out to 4 5 Q. That was your message to her. Right? 5 Goldman to have a conversation about it. And in that discussion, they -- you know, they talked about the A. 6 6 Absolutely. 7 0 By the way, did you tell her that 7 context. Goldman had already delivered the script to Spectra? 8 And it was our understanding, as I 8 9 And if you don't recall, that's fine. 9 relayed here, that Francois was trying to make sure I spoke with her about -- I just don't 10 A. 10 that we weren't playing games with them. And yet we 11 remember the timing. But I know I had a conversation 11 wanted to make sure that we had the optionality. So regarding the receipt of a credible large player. 12 12 they did not sense that we were playing games and 13 Q. And, by the way, why did you provide 13 believed that we were fine to move forward as the script to TransCanada as you did here in this proposed. 14 14 15 email to Chris Johnston? 15 ATTORNEY HARRELL: Could I have just 16 So we provided it to, just to document 16 one moment, Your Honor? 17 that there was no -- that they would not view it as a 17 (Brief pause.) violation of the exclusivity agreement. BY ATTORNEY HARRELL: 18 18 19 And why is it that you did not name 19 So I'm told I have seven more minutes 20 Spectra to her, but instead, as you said, spoke in 20 with you. So if I talk fast, please forgive me. A. 21 terms of a big player in the industry, or whatever 21 That's okay. 22 22 O words you used? Let's look very briefly at the next 23 A. Because we did not view Spectra as a text right below it. And this is a text from -- let's 23

(14) Pages 368 - 371

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

see if we can get it here. Yes, from you to the

R. Smith - Direct

Page 370

	R. Smith - Direct Page 372		R. Smith - Direct Page 374
1	group. It says, "Did you talk to Francois?"	1	A. It was.
2	And then let's go to the next one	2	Q. Let's go to Exhibit 1271, please. And
3	below that. And this is from Steve Smith. "I think	3	in the interest of time, I'm going to make this very
4	we are done. Francois wanted to know the	4	short. 1271 is an email exchange that you had with
5	rationale - I explained it and pointed out how	5	Alison Heyden at Sullivan. Right?
6	important the Fiduciary protections were for our	6	A. Correct.
7	Board. Told him we wanted to get this deal done with	7	Q. And if you look at her email at the
8	them and this would help us achieve that goal. They	8	top, this is "Updates to Forecasts Section of Proxy."
9	were circling the wagons one last time and Francois	9	You're saying, "I'm good with these changes (including
10	said he would have Chris reach out to Bob to get it	10	the standstill language if we deem it necessary or
11	signed up once their meeting was concluded."	11	prudent). Let me know if this is in shape enough to
12	So do you recall that Steve Smith was	12	circulate to Bob, Steve and Glen."
13	involved in this exchange of emails as well?	13	So does this refresh your recollection
14	A. I do.	14	that Sullivan & Cromwell ran the drafting of the
15	 Q. And was there anything about his email 	15	prospectus?
16	that caused you any concern when you saw that?	16	A. They did. The proxy.
17	A. No.	17	Q. I'm sorry, the proxy.
18	Q. Was it the plan to have him discuss	18	And then later, when changes had to be
19	the script with Francois?	19	made, do you recall they came in and were responsible
20	A. I don't recall.	20	for helping make those changes?
21	Q. Well, the board was aware of this	21	A. That's correct.
22	plan. Right?	22	Q. And did you rely on them for that?
23	A. Yes.	23	A. I did.
24	Q. Bottom line, reaching out to	24	Q. Because I'm out of time, I want to ask
	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS		CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS
	R. Smith - Direct Page 373		R. Smith - Direct Page 375
1	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a	1	you just a couple more questions.
1 2	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It	2	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of
2	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right?	2	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these
2 3 4	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct.	2 3 4	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right?
2	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072,	2	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was.
2 3 4 5 6	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to	2 3 4 5 6	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers.	2 3 4 5 6 7	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound responses. Correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing field toward TransCanada in pursuit of a cash deal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound responses. Correct? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing field toward TransCanada in pursuit of a cash deal that would maximize the value of their retirement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound responses. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we go to the third page of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing field toward TransCanada in pursuit of a cash deal that would maximize the value of their retirement benefits?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound responses. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we go to the third page of the exhibit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing field toward TransCanada in pursuit of a cash deal that would maximize the value of their retirement benefits? A. Absolutely not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound responses. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we go to the third page of the exhibit. A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing field toward TransCanada in pursuit of a cash deal that would maximize the value of their retirement benefits? A. Absolutely not. Q. Did you at any time observe or become
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound responses. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we go to the third page of the exhibit. A. Yes. Q. Since we're running out of time, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing field toward TransCanada in pursuit of a cash deal that would maximize the value of their retirement benefits? A. Absolutely not. Q. Did you at any time observe or become aware of any other act or omission by Mr. Skaggs or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound responses. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we go to the third page of the exhibit. A. Yes. Q. Since we're running out of time, we won't go into any detail. But No. 6 says, "If	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing field toward TransCanada in pursuit of a cash deal that would maximize the value of their retirement benefits? A. Absolutely not. Q. Did you at any time observe or become aware of any other act or omission by Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith that at the time or in hindsight could be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound responses. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we go to the third page of the exhibit. A. Yes. Q. Since we're running out of time, we won't go into any detail. But No. 6 says, "If proposal is serious, Board to be convened to consider	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing field toward TransCanada in pursuit of a cash deal that would maximize the value of their retirement benefits? A. Absolutely not. Q. Did you at any time observe or become aware of any other act or omission by Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith that at the time or in hindsight could be considered a breach of fiduciary duty?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound responses. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we go to the third page of the exhibit. A. Yes. Q. Since we're running out of time, we won't go into any detail. But No. 6 says, "If proposal is serious, Board to be convened to consider proposal and next steps." Right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing field toward TransCanada in pursuit of a cash deal that would maximize the value of their retirement benefits? A. Absolutely not. Q. Did you at any time observe or become aware of any other act or omission by Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith that at the time or in hindsight could be considered a breach of fiduciary duty? A. Absolutely not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 373 TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound responses. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we go to the third page of the exhibit. A. Yes. Q. Since we're running out of time, we won't go into any detail. But No. 6 says, "If proposal is serious, Board to be convened to consider proposal and next steps." Right? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing field toward TransCanada in pursuit of a cash deal that would maximize the value of their retirement benefits? A. Absolutely not. Q. Did you at any time observe or become aware of any other act or omission by Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith that at the time or in hindsight could be considered a breach of fiduciary duty? A. Absolutely not. Q. If you at any time during this process
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound responses. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we go to the third page of the exhibit. A. Yes. Q. Since we're running out of time, we won't go into any detail. But No. 6 says, "If proposal is serious, Board to be convened to consider proposal and next steps." Right? A. Correct. Q. So was this something else that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing field toward TransCanada in pursuit of a cash deal that would maximize the value of their retirement benefits? A. Absolutely not. Q. Did you at any time observe or become aware of any other act or omission by Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith that at the time or in hindsight could be considered a breach of fiduciary duty? A. Absolutely not. Q. If you at any time during this process had been aware of any breach of fiduciary duty by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound responses. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we go to the third page of the exhibit. A. Yes. Q. Since we're running out of time, we won't go into any detail. But No. 6 says, "If proposal is serious, Board to be convened to consider proposal and next steps." Right? A. Correct. Q. So was this something else that was thought out between you and Goldman and the bankers on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing field toward TransCanada in pursuit of a cash deal that would maximize the value of their retirement benefits? A. Absolutely not. Q. Did you at any time observe or become aware of any other act or omission by Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith that at the time or in hindsight could be considered a breach of fiduciary duty? A. Absolutely not. Q. If you at any time during this process had been aware of any breach of fiduciary duty by either Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith, what would you have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	TransCanada to discuss the inbound script, this was a team effort. Right? It involved Goldman. It involved you. And it involved Steve Smith. Right? A. That's correct. Q. Let's look at Joint Exhibit 1072, please. And this is an email that you sent to management and to Goldman, with a copy to the lawyers. Right? A. That's correct. Q. And it is a protocol for inbound responses. Correct? A. Correct. Q. And if we go to the third page of the exhibit. A. Yes. Q. Since we're running out of time, we won't go into any detail. But No. 6 says, "If proposal is serious, Board to be convened to consider proposal and next steps." Right? A. Correct. Q. So was this something else that was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you just a couple more questions. So you were general counsel of Columbia during all of these discussions, all of these negotiations. Right? A. I was. Q. Did you take your job seriously? A. I did. Q. Did you at any time during this negotiation process observe or become aware of any effort of Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith to tilt the playing field toward TransCanada in pursuit of a cash deal that would maximize the value of their retirement benefits? A. Absolutely not. Q. Did you at any time observe or become aware of any other act or omission by Mr. Skaggs or Mr. Smith that at the time or in hindsight could be considered a breach of fiduciary duty? A. Absolutely not. Q. If you at any time during this process had been aware of any breach of fiduciary duty by

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

	R. Smith - Direct	R. Smith - Cross
028	Page 3/6	Page 3/8
1	session of the board, without them being present, and	1 Mr. Smith, you testified that you were admitted to
2	I would have advised the board.	2 practice in Arizona and Michigan. Do I have that
3	Q. And you would have addressed whatever	3 right?
4	issue there was?	4 A. That's correct.
5	A. Absolutely.	5 Q. And do I also have it right that
6	ATTORNEY HARRELL: I will pass the	6 you've never been admitted to practice in Delaware?
7	witness.	7 A. That's correct.
8	THE COURT: All right. Let's break	8 Q. During your direct examination, you
9	there for the morning. We will resumed at 11:00.	9 described the process around board executive session
10	(A recess was taken at 10:44 a.m.)	10 meetings; is that right?
11		11 A. That's correct.
12		12 Q. And as a careful general counsel, you
13		13 would have wanted to create an accurate and complete
14		14 record of those meetings; is that fair to say?
15		15 A. That's correct.
16		 Q. And in connection with that process,
17		17 if I understand you correctly, you had Sullivan &
18		18 Cromwell taking minutes at those meetings; right?
19		19 A. That's correct.
20		20 Q. And Sullivan & Cromwell, I believe,
21		21 sent you a complete set of those minutes for your
22		22 review before they were sent to the board; isn't that
23		23 right?
24		24 A. That's correct.
	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS
	R. Smith - Cross	R. Smith - Cross
-	R. Smith - Cross Page 377	R. Smith - Cross Page 379
1	(Resumed at 11:00 a.m.)	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes
2	(Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone.	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval?
2	(Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go.	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct.
2 3 4	(Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have
2 3 4 5	(Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor.	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you
2 3 4 5 6	(Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you 6 knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 377 (Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES:	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you 6 knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? 7 A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 377 (Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you 6 knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you 6 knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's 9 true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 377 (Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action.	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes before you sent them to the board for final approval? A. That's correct. Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have sent minutes to the board for final approval that you knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve those minutes?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 377 (Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you 6 knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's 9 true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve 10 those minutes? 11 A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 377 (Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in front of you. I am going to refer from time to time	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you 6 knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's 9 true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve 10 those minutes? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Now, we heard a lot on — in your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 377 (Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in front of you. I am going to refer from time to time to JTX tabs, Joint Exhibit tabs in that binder. You	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes before you sent them to the board for final approval? A. That's correct. Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have sent minutes to the board for final approval that you knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve those minutes? A. That's correct. Q. Now, we heard a lot on in your direct testimony about the nondisclosure agreement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 377 (Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in front of you. I am going to refer from time to time	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes before you sent them to the board for final approval? A. That's correct. Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have sent minutes to the board for final approval that you knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve those minutes? A. That's correct. Q. Now, we heard a lot on — in your direct testimony about the nondisclosure agreement with TransCanada. Fair to say that that was an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 377 (Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in front of you. I am going to refer from time to time to JTX tabs, Joint Exhibit tabs in that binder. You will also note that that binder the first two tabs should be a deposition transcript of your deposition	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you 6 knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's 9 true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve 10 those minutes? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Now, we heard a lot on in your 13 direct testimony about the nondisclosure agreement 14 with TransCanada. Fair to say that that was an 15 important document in the sale process?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 377 (Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in front of you. I am going to refer from time to time to JTX tabs, Joint Exhibit tabs in that binder. You will also note that that binder the first two tabs	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes before you sent them to the board for final approval? A. That's correct. Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have sent minutes to the board for final approval that you knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve those minutes? A. That's correct. Q. Now, we heard a lot on — in your direct testimony about the nondisclosure agreement with TransCanada. Fair to say that that was an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 377 (Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in front of you. I am going to refer from time to time to JTX tabs, Joint Exhibit tabs in that binder. You will also note that that binder the first two tabs should be a deposition transcript of your deposition	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you 6 knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's 9 true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve 10 those minutes? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Now, we heard a lot on in your 13 direct testimony about the nondisclosure agreement 14 with TransCanada. Fair to say that that was an 15 important document in the sale process?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 377 (Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in front of you. I am going to refer from time to time to JTX tabs, Joint Exhibit tabs in that binder. You will also note that that binder the first two tabs should be a deposition transcript of your deposition in this action, as well as the pretrial order.	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you 6 knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's 9 true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve 10 those minutes? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Now, we heard a lot on — in your 13 direct testimony about the nondisclosure agreement 14 with TransCanada. Fair to say that that was an 15 important document in the sale process? 16 A. It was.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in front of you. I am going to refer from time to time to JTX tabs, Joint Exhibit tabs in that binder. You will also note that that binder the first two tabs should be a deposition transcript of your deposition in this action, as well as the pretrial order. THE COURT: You should also know that	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes before you sent them to the board for final approval? A. That's correct. Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have sent minutes to the board for final approval that you knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve those minutes? A. That's correct. Q. Now, we heard a lot on — in your direct testimony about the nondisclosure agreement with TransCanada. Fair to say that that was an important document in the sale process? A. It was. Q. And if I understood you correctly,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in front of you. I am going to refer from time to time to JTX tabs, Joint Exhibit tabs in that binder. You will also note that that binder the first two tabs should be a deposition transcript of your deposition in this action, as well as the pretrial order. THE COURT: You should also know that Mr. James is one of my former clerks, so he's going to	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you 6 knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's 9 true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve 10 those minutes? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Now, we heard a lot on in your 13 direct testimony about the nondisclosure agreement 14 with TransCanada. Fair to say that that was an 15 important document in the sale process? 16 A. It was. 17 Q. And if I understood you correctly, 18 Sullivan & Cromwell prepared all of the NDAs with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in front of you. I am going to refer from time to time to JTX tabs, Joint Exhibit tabs in that binder. You will also note that that binder the first two tabs should be a deposition transcript of your deposition in this action, as well as the pretrial order. THE COURT: You should also know that Mr. James is one of my former clerks, so he's going to get a lot of leeway on his questioning.	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's 9 true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve 10 those minutes? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Now, we heard a lot on in your 13 direct testimony about the nondisclosure agreement 14 with TransCanada. Fair to say that that was an 15 important document in the sale process? 16 A. It was. 17 Q. And if I understood you correctly, 18 Sullivan & Cromwell prepared all of the NDAs with the 19 various bidders at your direction; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in front of you. I am going to refer from time to time to JTX tabs, Joint Exhibit tabs in that binder. You will also note that that binder the first two tabs should be a deposition transcript of your deposition in this action, as well as the pretrial order. THE COURT: You should also know that Mr. James is one of my former clerks, so he's going to get a lot of leeway on his questioning. THE WITNESS: It's a pleasure to meet	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you 6 knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's 9 true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve 10 those minutes? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Now, we heard a lot on in your 13 direct testimony about the nondisclosure agreement 14 with TransCanada. Fair to say that that was an 15 important document in the sale process? 16 A. It was. 17 Q. And if I understood you correctly, 18 Sullivan & Cromwell prepared all of the NDAs with the 19 various bidders at your direction; correct? 20 A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in front of you. I am going to refer from time to time to JTX tabs, Joint Exhibit tabs in that binder. You will also note that that binder — the first two tabs should be a deposition transcript of your deposition in this action, as well as the pretrial order. THE COURT: You should also know that Mr. James is one of my former clerks, so he's going to get a lot of leeway on his questioning. THE WITNESS: It's a pleasure to meet you.	Page 3/9 1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you 6 knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's 9 true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve 10 those minutes? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Now, we heard a lot on in your 13 direct testimony about the nondisclosure agreement 14 with TransCanada. Fair to say that that was an 15 important document in the sale process? 16 A. It was. 17 Q. And if I understood you correctly, 18 Sullivan & Cromwell prepared all of the NDAs with the 19 various bidders at your direction; correct? 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q. And you would have given comments on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Resumed at 11:00 a.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. ATTORNEY JAMES: Good morning, Your Honor. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith. My name is Tom James. We haven't met. I represent plaintiffs in this action. You should have a witness binder in front of you. I am going to refer from time to time to JTX tabs, Joint Exhibit tabs in that binder. You will also note that that binder the first two tabs should be a deposition transcript of your deposition in this action, as well as the pretrial order. THE COURT: You should also know that Mr. James is one of my former clerks, so he's going to get a lot of leeway on his questioning. THE WITNESS: It's a pleasure to meet you. ATTORNEY JAMES: Likewise. Thank you.	1 Q. Okay. And you reviewed those minutes 2 before you sent them to the board for final approval? 3 A. That's correct. 4 Q. Fair to say that you wouldn't have 5 sent minutes to the board for final approval that you 6 knew or believed were inaccurate in any respect? 7 A. That's correct. 8 Q. Okay. And just to wrap that up, it's 9 true, isn't it, that the board ultimately did approve 10 those minutes? 11 A. That's correct. 12 Q. Now, we heard a lot on in your 13 direct testimony about the nondisclosure agreement 14 with TransCanada. Fair to say that that was an 15 important document in the sale process? 16 A. It was. 17 Q. And if I understood you correctly, 18 Sullivan & Cromwell prepared all of the NDAs with the 19 various bidders at your direction; correct? 20 A. That's correct. 21 Q. And you would have given comments on 22 the nondisclosure agreements to Sullivan & Cromwell;

R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 380 Page 382 1 Q. You were the point person at Columbia 1 0 You reviewed the nondisclosure 2 for negotiating the NDAs with the various bidders, 2 agreement with TransCanada before it was actually 3 including TransCanada; right? 3 executed; right? A. 4 A. I did. 4 I was. 5 Q. Okay. Now, the board didn't negotiate 5 Q. And you knew that nondisclosure 6 or oversee the negotiation of the nondisclosure 6 agreement contained a standstill provision, and you agreements directly; is that right? 7 7 generally understood what it said; right? They did not directly, but they were 8 I did. 8 A. A. informed as we were in the process. 9 Q. 9 Now, as I recall your testimony from 10 Q. Mr. Smith, who is Sigmund Cornelius? your deposition in this action, you admitted that you 10 11 A. Can you repeat the question? 11 were not a standstill expert and you would have looked 12 Q. Sure. Who is Sigmund Cornelius? to Sullivan & Cromwell for advice about the He was the chairman of our board. 13 A. 13 standstill; is that right? 14 Q. And as the -- I may be mistaken. I 14 A. That's true. thought he was the lead independent director for the 15 Q. I want to turn quickly to an exhibit 15 in your book. It should be Exhibit 568. Let me know 16 board. 16 A. Lead independent director. I'm sorry, when you are there. 17 17 18 you're correct. A. I'm there. 18 19 19 Q. As Columbia's general counsel, did you Okay. And if look at the cover email have occasion to work with Mr. Cornelius? 20 and then the subsequent page, this appears to be a 20 21 A. I did. 21 memorandum that Sullivan & Cromwell prepared on 22 Q. Did you find Mr. Cornelius to be a 22 January 12th, 2016, summarizing the standstills and generally honest person? the various NDAs, including with TransCanada; correct? 23 23 24 A. Absolutely. 24 A. That's correct. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 381 Page 383 1 Q. One of my colleagues asked 1 Q. This was a memo that you asked 2 Sullivan & Cromwell to prepare; right? Mr. Cornelius about the board's involvement in 2 3 A. Correct. negotiating the nondisclosure agreements. 3 ATTORNEY JAMES: Joe, can you play 4 Q. Okay. And based on this advice, this 4 5 Cornelius clip 3, which, for reference, is page 47, 5 memorandum itself that Sullivan & Cromwell prepared, 6 line 11 through 18, of his transcript. 6 you understood that the standstills were binding 7 (A video clip was played as follows:) 7 unless the other parties' board of directors so 8 Question: Mr. Cornelius, just to be 8 specifically requests in writing in advance; right? 9 clear, it's true that the Columbia NDA and standstill 9 A. That's correct. provisions were negotiated and entered into with 10 Q. In other words, it was the board's 10 11 counterparties, including TransCanada, without the 11 power whether or not to waive the standstill with respect to any other NDA counterparty; right? 12 board's oversight, right? You guys weren't 12 13 negotiating the terms of the NDA. Fair to say? 13 A. That's correct. 14 So let's actually talk about the 14 Answer: Yes. 15 (End of video clip.) 15 standstill itself. You can find that language in 16 BY ATTORNEY JAMES: Exhibit 307 in your binder. That language starts at 17 Sitting here today, do you have any 17 page 4 of that. So let me know when you are there. basis to disagree with Mr. Cornelius's sworn testimony 18 A. I'm there. 18 19 in this action? 19 Okay. So having had a chance to take I think it was two questions in one. 20 20 a look at this language, it's true, isn't it, that one And so with regard to the first question regarding the 21 21 of the things that the standstill forbids, as in prior board's oversight, they certainly were exerting their 22 22 written board authorization, is for TransCanada, or

oversight. With regard to were they negotiating the

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

standstill, absolutely. I agree with his response.

23

24

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

(17) Pages 380 - 383

acquire beneficial ownership or constructive economic

any counterparty, for that matter, to propose to

23

R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 384 Page 386 ownership of Columbia; right? 1 Do you recall that? 1 2 A. 2 A. That's correct. I do. 3 Q. So focusing on the term "proposed," do 3 Q. Okay. And I believe you had testified I understand your testimony correctly that you viewed 4 during your deposition that you read every page of the 4 5 the term "proposed" in this context to mean a proposal 5 proxy before it was filed; right? 6 that would be binding, rather than discussing whether 6 A. That's correct. 7 7 to make an offer in a formal binding proposal; is that Q. Fair to say that you would have 8 corrected anything in the proxy that you knew to be 8 fair? That's correct, based on advice of inaccurate? 9 A 9 counsel. 10 A. That's correct. 10 11 Okay. You interpreted the term 11 Q. So I'd like to take a very quick look "proposed" to mean a formal proposal; right? at the proxy. That is -- in your book, it's Joint 12 12 13 A. Correct. 13 Exhibit 1291. And I would specifically like to take a 14 Q. And in your view, an informal 14 look at page -- at the Joint Exhibit page 50, which I proposal, by contrast, was not a true proposal, but it believe is the internal page 43. 15 15 I'm there. was, rather, trying to figure out if TransCanada was 16 A. 16 going to make a proposal to acquire Columbia that 17 Q. And you'll see here, around the middle 17 Columbia would accept; right? 18 of this page, there is a paragraph that starts, "Later 18 A. That's correct. on March 4, 2016, the Board held a telephonic 19 19 20 Q. 20 meeting" You will agree with me, won't you, 21 that when you look at the language in this standstill, Do you see that? 21 the terms "formal," "informal," "binding," they don't 22 A. I do. 22 qualify that term "proposal" in this language, do 23 Q. 23 And if you look at the penultimate they? sentence of that paragraph, you'll see written the 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 385 Page 387 A. 1 That's correct. following: "Because the non-disclosure agreement 2 between TransCanada and CPG prohibit[s] TransCanada 2 Q. Okay. They don't appear anywhere in this paragraph or in this standstill, do they? 3 from making a proposal absent an invitation to do so 3 A. They do not. 4 from the Board, the Board authorized management to 4 5 Q. Now, I think you testified previously, 5 send a written communication to TransCanada requesting and I know that you testified in your deposition, that 6 on behalf of the board that TransCanada make a 6 7 Sullivan & Cromwell gave you advice that the 7 proposal to acquire CPG." standstill allowed a proposal and a range and that the 8 Did I read that correctly? 8 9 board would have to extend permission to go beyond 9 A. You did. 10 that to a firm offer; is that right? 10 Q. Okay. And you would agree with me, 11 A. That's correct. 11 wouldn't you, that this language is correct; right? 12 Q. But looking at the standstill that 12 A. I do. 13 Sullivan & Cromwell drafted, and you had an 13 Q. You were also asked on your direct opportunity to review, it literally prohibited examination about the executive session minutes that 14 14 15 TransCanada from proposing to acquire Columbia absent 15 we were just discussing. prior board authorization; isn't that right? 16 Do you recall that? 16 17 So it depends on the interpretation of 17 A. I do. what that language means. But I agree with your 18 Q. 18 I'd like to look quickly at those 19 premise that the word "propose" is there and it says 19 executive session minutes. Those are at Joint you will not propose. Exhibit 191 in your binder. 20 20 21 Q. Thank you. 21 Are you with me? 22 22 A. Now, on direct examination, you were I am. asked about the proxy filed in connection with this 23 Q. 23 Thank you. 24 merger. 24 It's true, isn't it, the word CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

R. Smith - Cross Page 388 "standstill" doesn't appear in the executive session 1 2 minutes until the March 4th meeting; right? 3 A. I'm at a disadvantage. I haven't read these minutes for a very long time. So I can't speak 4 5 to that. 6 Okay. Are you aware of any other 7 written reference in these minutes, sitting here today, to the term "standstill" that appears on its 8 face in the minutes? 9 10 A. I'm not. But I'm not aware that it 11 says that on March 4th either. Q. Well, let's take a look at --12 A. 13 I believe you, and stipulate that it 14 does, but I just have not read through these minutes to know how to answer your question. I'm sorry. 15 Sure. Well, then let's actually look 16 Q. at the March 4th minutes. That's on page 009 of this 17 document. It actually starts on 008 and carries on 18 19 over to 009. 20 A. To be clear, I wasn't disputing that it says it on March 4th. I just don't know how to 21 respond to the rest of the dates. I believe you that

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

Okay. And I'm not playing games. I

22

23

24

20

21

22

23

24

A.

Q.

A.

it does.

O

R. Smith - Cross

Page 389

want to be completely transparent with you. I 1 actually wanted to ask you about these minutes anyway. 2 A. Okay. Sounds good. 3 Q. They are all from the same handbook. 4 5 Okay? 6 A. Okav. 7 0 So looking at the paragraph, it is three paragraphs up from the bottom, starting with, 8 9 "The representative from Sullivan & Cromwell" 10 Do you see that paragraph? 11 A. I do. And the first sentence of that 12 0 13 paragraph reads, in full, "A representative from Sullivan & Cromwell then explained that, as a result 14 15 of a 'standstill' provision in the confidentiality agreement between the Company and TransCanada, 16 17 TransCanada was prohibited from making a proposal absent an invitation to do so from the Board." 18 Did I read that correctly? 19

Yes.

firm or formal or binding proposal, do they?

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

that we had been having discussions with them since

Okay. These minutes don't refer to a

They do not. But the board was aware

R. Smith - Cross

Page 390

- 1 early January. And so the context here would support
- our position, what we believed. Whether or not in the 2
- 3 current day that's the right view, I mean, that is
- 4 certainly what we believed at the time.
- 5 Q. Understood. But I believe, as you
- 6 testified earlier, these were minutes that Sullivan &
- 7 Cromwell drafted, you reviewed, sent to the board for
- 8 approval, and the board approved. And I believe your
- 9 testimony was that there were no inaccuracies in these
- 10 minutes.

12

- 11 A. That's correct.
 - Q. Right. So --
- A. And I still believe that. 13
- 14 Q. Okay. Great.
- 15 Now, when you, with the assistance of
- Sullivan & Cromwell, prepared these minutes, you knew 16
- that the board needed to establish a clear record that 17
- 18 it consciously and carefully employed the standstill
- provision to maximize stockholder value; isn't that 19
- 20 right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Okay. Now, on your direct
- 23 examination, you were asked about a memorandum from
- January that Sullivan & Cromwell prepared. Let's take

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

R. Smith - Cross

Page 391

- 1 a look at that. That is JTX 587 in your book.
- 2 A. I'm there.
- 3 O So based on the cover email, fair to
- 4 say that this is a memo that Sullivan & Cromwell sent
- 5 you on January 20th, 2016? Right?
- 6 A. That's correct.
 - Q. And the memorandum itself, if you flip
- 8 to the next page, is dated January 2016. Right?
- 9 A. Correct.
- Q. 10 Let's turn to page 7 of the memo,
- 11 which is .008 in the JTX numbers. Let me know when
- you are there. 12

7

- 13 A. I'm there.
- 14 Q. And so I believe your counsel --
- excuse me -- counsel for TransCanada pointed you
- towards the top full paragraph. It starts with "A
- third form of deal protection ...," and read from the
- 18 first full sentence of that.
- 19 Do you recall?
- 20 A. I do.
- 21 Q. Okay. Now, I actually want to look at
- 22 the last sentence of this paragraph. And the last
- sentence of this paragraph, starting with "Because," 23
- states, "Because of the potency of these provisions,

R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 392 Page 394 the court has emphasized that a target board will need 1 presentation, does it? 1 2 A. It does not. But it was clearly in 2 to establish a clear record that it consciously and 3 carefully employed the standstill provision to 3 the memo that went along with it. maximize stockholder value" 4 Q. Well, we'll get there. 4 5 Did I read that correctly? 5 A. Okay. 6 A. 6 Q. But on the face of this 7 7 Q. So putting this in context, as of presentation --January 20th, both you and Sullivan & Cromwell knew 8 The word "standstill" is not in the 8 A. the standstill provisions that were present in the 9 presentation that I saw. 9 NDAs, including the NDA with TransCanada, were potent; 10 Q. Neither is the word "nondisclosure 10 11 right? 11 agreement" or "NDA"; right? 12 A. Correct. 12 A. Correct. Q. Q. 13 Okay. And you and Sullivan & Cromwell 13 Now, flipping back to the executive 14 knew the board would need to establish a clear record 14 session minutes, I believe it was your testimony that that it consciously and carefully employed that this presentation and this memo were discussed at the 15 15 standstill provision to maximize stockholder value; January 28th executive session; is that right? 16 16 right? 17 Correct. I don't recall the date, but 17 That's correct. 18 it would have been at that strategic offsite. 18 A. 0 19 19 And while you have not had a chance to Got it. So let's just take a look. peruse the minutes, the executive session minutes that 20 This is Joint Exhibit 191. And it's at page 4, 20 carrying over to 5, of that document. 21 we've been discussing, accepting my representation 21 that the word "standstill" doesn't appear in these 22 A. Okav. 22 23 minutes until March 4th; isn't that right? 23 Q. And take a second to peruse these 24 A. I'm not disputing what you are saying, minutes. But there's no mention in these minutes CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 393 Page 395 about any discussion about the standstill, is there? but I just don't have, you know, current knowledge of 1 2 that. 2 A. There is not. 3 3 Okay. On your direct exam, you were Q. There's actually no indication in Q. shown a presentation, PowerPoint presentation, that 4 these minutes that Sullivan & Cromwell gave a 4 presentation, is there? 5 Sullivan & Cromwell had prepared from January 26th. 5 6 A. Do you recall that? There is not. 6 7 A. I do. 7 Q. So returning our attention to this 8 Okay. Let's quickly take a look at 8 memorandum on the board's fiduciary duties that we've 0 9 that presentation. It's not in your binder, but it 9 been discussing, it's true, isn't it, that the 10 was used on your direct. I think we can put it up on 10 memorandum itself did not actually appear in the board 11 vour screen. It's Joint Exhibit 627. 11 package that was given to the board before a meeting until March 16th? Is that right? 12 A. I have a 21 and a 28. 12 13 Q. And I apologize. This was a 13 A. I don't believe that that's true, no. presentation you were shown in your direct 14 Q 14 Okay. Let's take a look, if you 15 examination. I'm putting it up on the screen now. 15 wouldn't mind, at Joint Exhibit 1107 --16 A. It's on the screen. 16 But, again, it was six years ago. I don't recall. But I know we prepared it, and I'm sure 17 ATTORNEY JAMES: Joe, if you wouldn't 17 that we would have provided it. mind flipping through it for the witness. 18 18 19 Q. This is a three-page presentation; 19 And I completely understand. That's why I'm trying to help you along with documents. 20 right? 20 That's correct. A. Thank you. 21 A. 21 22 Q. And having had a chance to take a look 22 0 So take a minute to turn to Joint Exhibit 1107 in your binder. at it, but we can go back through again, the word 23 23 A. "standstill" never appears in any -- in this 24 Yes. 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 396 Page 398 Q. And do you have in front of you a 1 order that includes facts that the parties have 1 2 board package for a board meeting scheduled for stipulated to? 2 3 March 16th, 2016? 3 A. Sure. A. 4 Q. 4 And if you turn to paragraph 428 of 5 Q. And in your experience as general 5 the pretrial order. 6 counsel of Columbia, this is typically the way that 6 A. It was indeed announced March 17th, the board would receive information prior to a 7 2016. 7 meeting: is that right? 8 Q. Thank you. You can put that to the 8 A. So it depends if it was a formal 9 side for now. 9 meeting or if it was more of an ad hoc meeting. 10 I want to actually shift gears, and I 10 11 Q. Okav. 11 want to talk a little bit about the sale process for A. So at the time where we were having Columbia. I think you testified previously that in 12 12 very frequent meetings, they were not always done this 13 13 connection with a potential sale process, Columbia had 14 formal with the material provided in this manner. But 14 signed NDAs with Dominion, NextEra, Berkshire, and they would have generally been provided through TransCanada; is that right? 15 15 Boardvantage. That was our delivery mechanism. 16 A. That's correct. 16 For clarity, the January 28 and 29 17 Q. And on, I believe it is, 17 meeting that we were talking about, that was a formal November 25th, 2015, the board decided that it was 18 18 going to terminate merger discussions and proceed with 19 board meeting; right? 19 20 A. 20 an equity offering; right? Q. 21 A. Correct. 21 It was not ad hoc? It was a two-day offsite thing that was planned; right? 22 Q. 22 And at the board's direction, Columbia 23 That's correct. But there also are 23 sent out what we've heard mention of return or destroy some meetings where you just hand out materials, and letters to those four bidders; is that right? 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 397 Page 399 you don't provide them in advance because -- just for A. 1 That's correct. 2 Q. 2 best practices, you hand them out and receive them And it's true, isn't it, that the back. I don't recall the fiduciary memo falling into 3 purpose of sending those letters was to shut down 3 that. I'm just explaining that not everything gets discussions with bidders and to officially terminate 4 4 5 sent out in a formal package like this. 5 the M&A process that was going on at the time; right? 6 A. I completely understand, and I Correct. 6 0 7 appreciate the context. 7 Q. I think you testified on direct, but I 8 8 just want to make sure I understand this: Standstills But looking with me at this document, 9 you will see, on page 3 of this document, the same 9 in those various NDAs continued to be effective even Sullivan & Cromwell memo that we were just discussing; 10 after Columbia officially shut down the process; 10 11 correct? 11 right? 12 A. 12 A. That's correct. That's correct. 13 Q. It's now dated March 15th, 2016. 13 Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that That's the only difference; right? Francois Poirier called Steve Smith in around 14 14 15 A. I see that. 15 mid-December 2015 to request a January 7th, 2016, Q. Okay. For context, the merger with 16 meeting; right? 16 17 TransCanada was announced on March 17th; right? 17 A. I don't recall the timing of the I don't recall the date. I'm not reach-out, but I know that there was a January 7th-ish 18 A. 18 19 disputing. I just -- the dates blend together. 19 meeting. 20 20 I completely understand. Okay. Just to make sure we're on the 21 Let's just quickly, so we can have a 21 same page about timing, let's look back at the pretrial order. And let's look in particular at 22 record of it, look at the second tab in your binder 22 that says "PTO." 23 paragraph 279. 23 A. 24 24 I do see that. So you are aware this is a pretrial

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

	R. Smith - Cross	R. Smith - Cross
1	Q. Does that refresh your recollection?	1 Q. It's true, isn't it, that the board
2	A. It does. I mean, I see it and don't	2 did not give prior written authorization for Poirier
3	dispute it, at the very least.	3 to actually inform Smith that TransCanada was
4	Q. Thank you. I appreciate that.	4 interested in acquiring Columbia; right?
5	It's also true at that time that	5 A. That's correct.
6	TransCanada had indicated that they could be at around	6 Q. Now, on direct, do you recall having
7	\$28 a share; is that correct?	7 testified about the call between Mr. Girling from
8	A. That's correct.	8 TransCanada and Mr. Skaggs on January 25th, 2016?
9	Q. And, you know, we established earlier	9 A. I do.
10	that you are, concededly, not a standstill expert and	10 Q. And in that call, Mr. Girling
11	you looked to Sullivan & Cromwell for advice about the	11 indicated that TransCanada was interested in pursuing
12	standstill; right?	12 an all-cash deal for Columbia from \$25 to \$28 a share?
13	A. That's correct.	13 A. That's correct.
14		
	Q. And it's also true, isn't it, that you had not previously been in a situation where a party	
15	A SECTION AND THE CONTROL OF THE CON	15 written authorization for Mr. Girling to tell
16	had been cut off and came back into deal discussions;	16 Mr. Skaggs that TransCanada was interested in
17	right?	17 acquiring Columbia for \$25 to \$28 a share; right?
18	A. I am not aware of a public company	18 A. That's correct. But they were
19	deal where that had occurred, to me.	19 informed. And we had been having discussions with
20	Q. Understood. Thank you.	20 them, so they knew where we were in the process.
21	And that's what had happened here;	21 Q. Fair to say that you're also aware
22	right? TransCanada had been cut off when the board	22 that the let me ask a better question.
23	terminated merger discussions on November 25th, and it	23 You are aware, aren't you, that the
24	came back in December; right?	24 Court found in the post-trial decision in the
	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS
	R. Smith - Cross	R. Smith - Cross
1	R. Smith - Cross Page 401 A. They did approach us in December to	R. Smith - Cross Page 403 appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling
1 2	Page 401	Page 403
	Page 401 A. They did approach us in December to	Page 403 1 appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling
2	Page 401 A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes.	Page 403 1 appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling 2 breached the standstill; right?
2	Page 401 A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there	Page 403 1 appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling 2 breached the standstill; right? 3 A. Same as before.
2 3 4	Page 401 A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for	Page 403 1 appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling 2 breached the standstill; right? 3 A. Same as before. 4 Q. Okay. Now, during your direct
2 3 4 5	Page 401 A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about	Page 403 1 appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling 2 breached the standstill; right? 3 A. Same as before. 4 Q. Okay. Now, during your direct 5 examination, you testified about email correspondence 6 that you had had with Christine Johnston of
2 3 4 5 6	Page 401 A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right?	Page 403 1 appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling 2 breached the standstill; right? 3 A. Same as before. 4 Q. Okay. Now, during your direct 5 examination, you testified about email correspondence 6 that you had had with Christine Johnston of
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 401 A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct.	Page 403 1 appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling 2 breached the standstill; right? 3 A. Same as before. 4 Q. Okay. Now, during your direct 5 examination, you testified about email correspondence 6 that you had had with Christine Johnston of 7 TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 401 A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 401 A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 401 A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier breached the standstill; right?	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier breached the standstill; right? A. So I have not read that opinion, but I was informed of that by your able co-counsel back in	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620 in your binder. Let me know when you are there. A. I'm there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier breached the standstill; right? A. So I have not read that opinion, but I was informed of that by your able co-counsel back in the deposition.	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620 in your binder. Let me know when you are there. A. I'm there. Q. So looking at the second full
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier breached the standstill; right? A. So I have not read that opinion, but I was informed of that by your able co-counsel back in the deposition. Q. Co-counsel is many things, and able is	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620 in your binder. Let me know when you are there. A. I'm there. Q. So looking at the second full paragraph, starting with "I expect" sorry "As I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier breached the standstill; right? A. So I have not read that opinion, but I was informed of that by your able co-counsel back in the deposition. Q. Co-counsel is many things, and able is definitely one of them, more than able.	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620 in your binder. Let me know when you are there. A. I'm there. Q. So looking at the second full paragraph, starting with "I expect" sorry "As I expect"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier breached the standstill; right? A. So I have not read that opinion, but I was informed of that by your able co-counsel back in the deposition. Q. Co-counsel is many things, and able is definitely one of them, more than able. Now, Poirier and Mr. Smith did, in	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620 in your binder. Let me know when you are there. A. I'm there. Q. So looking at the second full paragraph, starting with "I expect" sorry "As I expect" Christine Johnston is asking you to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier breached the standstill; right? A. So I have not read that opinion, but I was informed of that by your able co-counsel back in the deposition. Q. Co-counsel is many things, and able is definitely one of them, more than able.	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620 in your binder. Let me know when you are there. A. I'm there. Q. So looking at the second full paragraph, starting with "I expect" sorry "As I expect" Christine Johnston is asking you to confirm that this conversation between Mr. Skaggs and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier breached the standstill; right? A. So I have not read that opinion, but I was informed of that by your able co-counsel back in the deposition. Q. Co-counsel is many things, and able is definitely one of them, more than able. Now, Poirier and Mr. Smith did, in fact, meet on January 7th, 2016; right? A. Yes.	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620 in your binder. Let me know when you are there. A. I'm there. Q. So looking at the second full paragraph, starting with "I expect" sorry "As I expect" Christine Johnston is asking you to confirm that this conversation between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling "will not constitute an offer nor a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier breached the standstill; right? A. So I have not read that opinion, but I was informed of that by your able co-counsel back in the deposition. Q. Co-counsel is many things, and able is definitely one of them, more than able. Now, Poirier and Mr. Smith did, in fact, meet on January 7th, 2016; right? A. Yes. Q. And during that meeting, Mr. Poirier	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620 in your binder. Let me know when you are there. A. I'm there. Q. So looking at the second full paragraph, starting with "I expect" sorry "As I expect" Christine Johnston is asking you to confirm that this conversation between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling "will not constitute an offer nor a proposal to acquire the securities of Capricorn nor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier breached the standstill; right? A. So I have not read that opinion, but I was informed of that by your able co-counsel back in the deposition. Q. Co-counsel is many things, and able is definitely one of them, more than able. Now, Poirier and Mr. Smith did, in fact, meet on January 7th, 2016; right? A. Yes. Q. And during that meeting, Mr. Poirier told Mr. Smith that TransCanada was interested in	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620 in your binder. Let me know when you are there. A. I'm there. Q. So looking at the second full paragraph, starting with "I expect" sorry "As I expect" Christine Johnston is asking you to confirm that this conversation between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling "will not constitute an offer nor a proposal to acquire the securities of Capricorn nor constitute any other action that would be precluded by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier breached the standstill; right? A. So I have not read that opinion, but I was informed of that by your able co-counsel back in the deposition. Q. Co-counsel is many things, and able is definitely one of them, more than able. Now, Poirier and Mr. Smith did, in fact, meet on January 7th, 2016; right? A. Yes. Q. And during that meeting, Mr. Poirier told Mr. Smith that TransCanada was interested in acquiring Columbia; right?	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620 in your binder. Let me know when you are there. A. I'm there. Q. So looking at the second full paragraph, starting with "I expect" sorry "As I expect" Christine Johnston is asking you to confirm that this conversation between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling "will not constitute an offer nor a proposal to acquire the securities of Capricorn nor constitute any other action that would be precluded by the standstill"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier breached the standstill; right? A. So I have not read that opinion, but I was informed of that by your able co-counsel back in the deposition. Q. Co-counsel is many things, and able is definitely one of them, more than able. Now, Poirier and Mr. Smith did, in fact, meet on January 7th, 2016; right? A. Yes. Q. And during that meeting, Mr. Poirier told Mr. Smith that TransCanada was interested in acquiring Columbia; right? A. They indicated that they were	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620 in your binder. Let me know when you are there. A. I'm there. Q. So looking at the second full paragraph, starting with "I expect" sorry - "As I expect" Christine Johnston is asking you to confirm that this conversation between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling "will not constitute an offer nor a proposal to acquire the securities of Capricorn nor constitute any other action that would be precluded by the standstill"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. They did approach us in December to begin discussions, yes. Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that there was no prior written board authorization for TransCanada to indicate that they could be at about \$28 a share; right? A. That's correct. Q. And you are aware, aren't you, that the Court has already found in the post-trial opinion in the appraisal action that this call from Poirier breached the standstill; right? A. So I have not read that opinion, but I was informed of that by your able co-counsel back in the deposition. Q. Co-counsel is many things, and able is definitely one of them, more than able. Now, Poirier and Mr. Smith did, in fact, meet on January 7th, 2016; right? A. Yes. Q. And during that meeting, Mr. Poirier told Mr. Smith that TransCanada was interested in acquiring Columbia; right?	appraisal action that this call from Mr. Girling breached the standstill; right? A. Same as before. Q. Okay. Now, during your direct examination, you testified about email correspondence that you had had with Christine Johnston of TransCanada earlier that day, on January 25th, about this call between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling; right? A. Yes. Q. Let's look at that email correspondence, if we can. It's at Joint Exhibit 620 in your binder. Let me know when you are there. A. I'm there. Q. So looking at the second full paragraph, starting with "I expect" sorry - "As I expect" Christine Johnston is asking you to confirm that this conversation between Mr. Skaggs and Mr. Girling "will not constitute an offer nor a proposal to acquire the securities of Capricorn nor constitute any other action that would be precluded by the standstill"

	R. Smith - Cross Page 404		R. Smith - Cross
1	Q. And so you forwarded the email to	1	Q. That response should be the next tab
2	Mr. Frumkin at Sullivan & Cromwell; right?	2 in v	your binder. That's Joint Exhibit 621. You write,
3	A. Agreed.	000	confirm by this email that receipt of an offer to
4	Q. And what you said to Mr. Frumkin was		rchase our securities in this context would not
5	that you would "call Chris back shortly acknowledging		plate or be in contravention with the terms of the
6	that an offer is not in contravention with the	6 NE	DA, including the standstill provision."
7	standstill agreement."	7	Right?
8	A. Correct.	8	A. Correct.
9	Q. Did I read that correctly?	9	Q. But receipt of an offer to purchase
10	A. Correct.	10 Co	olumbia securities would literally violate the
11	Q. Now, I'm happy to go back and look at	No. 40	andstill, would it not?
12	the standstill with you again, the language of the	12	A. So this was not a real offer. This
13	standstill with you again. But under the terms of the	13 wa	s a discussion about whether we were in the range or
14	standstill, TransCanada could not acquire or offer to	7.4-97-9	t. As the question and answer from earlier in the
15	acquire Columbia without prior written board		ect testimony stated, we could not have said, "I
16	authorization; right?	73.4.2.	cept," to their offer. It was merely us talking to
17	A. That's correct.		e if there was impetus to move forward and then get
18	Q. And we had established previously that	200.00	a place where there would be an actual offer that
19	there was no prior written board authorization?		uld take place.
20	A. That's correct.	20	Q. That's helpful. And I understood and
21	Q. And Mr. Frumkin responds to you, if	21 list	ened to your testimony.
22	you look at the top email.	22	It's true, isn't it, that the
23	Do you see that?	23 sta	andstill does not qualify the word "offer" with real
24	A. I do.	-01 004 FACNOZ	er; right?
	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS		CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS
		i i	
	P Smith - Cross		P Smith - Cross
,	R. Smith - Cross	4	R. Smith - Cross Page 407
1	Q. His response is one word. He says,	1	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the
2	Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree."	2 de	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of
2	Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly?	2 de 3 an	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is.
2 3 4	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had	2 de 3 an 4	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right.
2 3 4 5	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I	2 de 3 an 4 5	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that
2 3 4 5 6	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes.	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at as point moving forward. But at the time, that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at is point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at as point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word,	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at as point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word, "Agree"; right?	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10 11 wa 12 do	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at is point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word, "Agree"; right? A. I don't agree that that's not legal	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10 11 wa 12 do 13	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at is point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to that. The standstill also prevents
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word, "Agree"; right? A. I don't agree that that's not legal advice.	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10 11 wa 12 do 13 14 Tra	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at is point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to that. The standstill also prevents ans Canada from seeking to acquire Columbia; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word, "Agree"; right? A. I don't agree that that's not legal advice. Q. That was a poor question. Let me	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10 11 wa 12 do 13 14 Tra 15	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at is point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to that. The standstill also prevents ansCanada from seeking to acquire Columbia; right? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word, "Agree"; right? A. I don't agree that that's not legal advice. Q. That was a poor question. Let me reask the question.	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10 11 wa 12 do 13 14 Tra 15 16	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at is point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to that. The standstill also prevents ansCanada from seeking to acquire Columbia; right? A. That's correct. Q. And it's your testimony that when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word, "Agree"; right? A. I don't agree that that's not legal advice. Q. That was a poor question. Let me reask the question. A. Sure.	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10 11 wa 12 do 13 14 Tra 15 16 17 Mr	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at is point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to that. The standstill also prevents ans Canada from seeking to acquire Columbia; right? A. That's correct. Q. And it's your testimony that when a first of the stands of the says that she is seen as a syst that she is seen as a sy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word, "Agree"; right? A. I don't agree that that's not legal advice. Q. That was a poor question. Let me reask the question. A. Sure. Q. Other than the word "agree," there is	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10 11 wa 12 do 13 14 Tra 15 16 17 Mr 18 un	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at is point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to that. The standstill also prevents ansCanada from seeking to acquire Columbia; right? A. That's correct. Q. And it's your testimony that when a derstands that Mr. Girling wants to have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word, "Agree"; right? A. I don't agree that that's not legal advice. Q. That was a poor question. Let me reask the question. A. Sure. Q. Other than the word "agree," there is no citation to any authority that Mr. Frumkin is	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10 11 wa 12 do 13 14 Tra 15 16 17 Mr 18 und 19 con	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at as point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to that. The standstill also prevents ans Canada from seeking to acquire Columbia; right? A. That's correct. Q. And it's your testimony that when a cliring says — or when Ms. Johnston says that she derstands that Mr. Girling wants to have a neversation with Mr. Skaggs about putting a value on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word, "Agree"; right? A. I don't agree that that's not legal advice. Q. That was a poor question. Let me reask the question. A. Sure. Q. Other than the word "agree," there is no citation to any authority that Mr. Frumkin is providing; right?	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10 11 wa 12 do 13 14 Tra 15 16 17 Mr 18 un 19 coi 20 Co	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at as point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to that. The standstill also prevents ansCanada from seeking to acquire Columbia; right? A. That's correct. Q. And it's your testimony that when a circling says — or when Ms. Johnston says that she derstands that Mr. Girling wants to have a niversation with Mr. Skaggs about putting a value on slumbia, that's not seeking to acquire Columbia?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word, "Agree"; right? A. I don't agree that that's not legal advice. Q. That was a poor question. Let me reask the question. A. Sure. Q. Other than the word "agree," there is no citation to any authority that Mr. Frumkin is providing; right? A. That's correct.	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10 11 wa 12 do 13 14 Tra 15 16 17 Mr 18 und 19 col 20 Co 21	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at is point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to that. The standstill also prevents ansCanada from seeking to acquire Columbia; right? A. That's correct. Q. And it's your testimony that when it Girling says — or when Ms. Johnston says that she derstands that Mr. Girling wants to have a inversation with Mr. Skaggs about putting a value on olumbia, that's not seeking to acquire Columbia? A. That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word, "Agree"; right? A. I don't agree that that's not legal advice. Q. That was a poor question. Let me reask the question. A. Sure. Q. Other than the word "agree," there is no citation to any authority that Mr. Frumkin is providing; right? A. That's correct. Q. Now, you responded to Ms. Johnston	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10 11 wa 12 do 13 14 Tra 15 16 17 Mr 18 un 19 coi 20 Co 21 22	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at is point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to that. The standstill also prevents ansCanada from seeking to acquire Columbia; right? A. That's correct. Q. And it's your testimony that when and derstands that Mr. Girling wants to have a prevention with Mr. Skaggs about putting a value on solumbia, that's not seeking to acquire Columbia? A. That's correct. Q. So looking at Ms. Johnston's email, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word, "Agree"; right? A. I don't agree that that's not legal advice. Q. That was a poor question. Let me reask the question. A. Sure. Q. Other than the word "agree," there is no citation to any authority that Mr. Frumkin is providing; right? A. That's correct. Q. Now, you responded to Ms. Johnston later that same day, didn't you?	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10 11 wa 12 do 13 14 Tra 15 16 17 Mr 18 un 19 cor 20 Co 21 22 23 res	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at as point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to that. The standstill also prevents ans Canada from seeking to acquire Columbia; right? A. That's correct. Q. And it's your testimony that when a columbia that Mr. Girling wants to have a surversation with Mr. Skaggs about putting a value on solumbia, that's not seeking to acquire Columbia? A. That's correct. Q. So looking at Ms. Johnston's email, in sponse to you, she says — even she seems to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 405 Q. His response is one word. He says, "Agree." Did I read that correctly? A. That's correct. Because we had discussed this in detail prior. I mean, that's why I was informed about what I was suggesting my comment would be, and his response was yes. Q. Sure. But there's no putting aside your prior discussions with Mr. Frumkin, there's no sort of legal advice in this email. There's no authorities or substances. It's just the one word, "Agree"; right? A. I don't agree that that's not legal advice. Q. That was a poor question. Let me reask the question. A. Sure. Q. Other than the word "agree," there is no citation to any authority that Mr. Frumkin is providing; right? A. That's correct. Q. Now, you responded to Ms. Johnston	2 de 3 an 4 5 6 tha 7 un 8 thi 9 wh 10 11 wa 12 do 13 14 Tra 15 16 17 Mr 18 un 19 cor 20 Co 21 22 23 res	A. It doesn't. And if you look up the finition of an offer, that is what the definition of offer is. Q. Right. A. But I'm also not trying to argue that at's the current state of the law, because I do derstand this Court's and Your Honor's decision at is point moving forward. But at the time, that's nat we understood the state of law to be. Q. That's fair. And I apologize, by the sy, for cutting you off previously. I didn't mean to that. The standstill also prevents ansCanada from seeking to acquire Columbia; right? A. That's correct. Q. And it's your testimony that when and derstands that Mr. Girling wants to have a prevention with Mr. Skaggs about putting a value on solumbia, that's not seeking to acquire Columbia? A. That's correct. Q. So looking at Ms. Johnston's email, in

R. Smith - Cross Page 408 1 forward, the words in the standstill that we agreed to 2 would appear to require more explicit Board direction 3 for an offer (even if conditioned)." 4 Right? 5 A. That's correct. But she's saying it in the context of she has received advice as well and 6 it's fine to have that meeting. And so I agree with 7 the words that you are reading, but I don't agree with 8 how you are interpreting it. 9 10 Q. That's fine. 11 You forwarded Ms. Johnston's email to Mr. Frumkin for advice again; right? 12 A. 13 Correct. 14 Q. And this time Mr. Frumkin had more to say. And what he says is, "I think a formal proposal 15 they are right, but what we're doing now is fine. 16 Just emphasize that what we approve them doing is 17 making a private, non-public indication for discussion 18 of a negotiated transaction and discussion of whether 19 20 aboard wants to initiate negotiations." 21 Did I read that correctly? 22 A. You did. 23 Q. Look, I understand that you looked to 24 Mr. Frumkin for advice about the standstill. But it's

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

R. Smith - Cross

true, isn't it, that he never described to you where

4 5

6 7

8

9

16 17

Page 409

2 he came up with this idea that the standstill required something different for a formal proposal as opposed 3 to any other proposal, did he? A. He did not in this email. And I don't recall the specific conversations that we would have had. But I know that we had lengthy conversations around this early on in the process. Not everything was distilled to writing, as it is in this email. 10 This was the quick, this is happening; we need to make 11 sure we're on the same page based on your earlier 12 advice. 13 Q. Right. And despite agreeing with Mr. Frumkin's reading of the standstill generally, you 14 15 didn't know where he got the concept that a private,

18 authorization, did you? 19 A. They indicated that it was market practice and that this was how the standstills worked 20 in the M&A context. And again, they -- between George 21 and Joe, I mean, they have trillions of dollars of M&A 22 experience. And so I relied on their comments about 23 market practice. 24

nonpublic indication of interest was not required or,

rather, did not require prior written board

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

R. Smith - Cross

Page 410

- 1 Q. And it's true, isn't it, that the
- 2 standstill doesn't speak in terms of formal proposals,
- 3 does it?
- 4 A. Does not.
- 5 Q. The standstill doesn't have a
- 6 carve-out for a private, nonpublic indication for a
- 7 discussion of a negotiated transaction; right?
- 8 It does not. A.
 - Q. You also understood the nondisclosure
- agreement contained a "don't ask, don't waive" 10
- 11 provision. Right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. 13 And you understood that if either
- 14 party made a request for a waiver of the standstill,
- the request itself would violate the standstill; 15
- 16 right?

9

12

17

- A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And so sticking with JTX 621 in front
- 19 of you, and going to the second page, the last full
- 20 paragraph of Ms. Johnston's email, starting with "If
- 21 however"
- 22 And I believe counsel for TransCanada
- 23 directed your attention to this previously. She asks,
- "If however, after that meeting and after discussions

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

R. Smith - Cross

Page 411

- with your board, your board is receptive to continuing
- 2 the discussions, we would like assurances that in the
- 3 event a verbal or written offer or proposal is made by
- Taurus to the Capricorn CEO or Board, Taurus would not 4
- 5 be in contravention or breach of its obligations under
- 6 the Standstill."
- 7 Did I read that correctly?
- 8 A. You did.
- 9 Q. The standstill, the "don't ask, don't
- 10 waive" provision of the standstill forbade TransCanada
- 11 from making a request to amend or waive this
- provision; right? 12
- 13 A. That's correct.
- And it's your testimony that this 14 Q.
- 15 request from Ms. Johnston did not violate the "don't
- 16 ask, don't waive"?
- 17 I did not read this and do not read
- this as an actual request. It's us getting on the 18
- 19 same page on process moving forward.
- 20 So when Ms. Johnston asks, "we would
- 21 like assurance ...," you don't view that as a request?
- 22 No. She said in order to move forward
- 23 more than this, is how she interprets what they would

24 need.

R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 412 Page 414 1 Q. That's helpful. 1 would expire by a date certain; right? 2 2 A. That's correct. You are aware, aren't you, that this And in this case, that date certain 3 Court has already found in the appraisal decision in 3 O 4 this action that Ms. Johnston's email effectively 4 was March 2nd, 2016; right? 5 5 sought a waiver of the "don't ask, don't waive"? A. That's correct. 6 Yes, based on -- again, I've tried to 6 Q. Now, do you recall that on March 1, not read the material regarding the case until it's 7 TransCanada reached out and asked for an extension of 7 over. And so -- but based on the earlier comments 8 exclusivity? 8 that I made with your counsel, I do understand that. 9 A. I do not recall. 9 10 Q. Great. So continuing forward in time, 10 Q. Let's take a look at a document that 11 I believe it is the January 28th/29th, 2016, time TransCanada's counsel showed you. It's not in your period, the board authorized Columbia management and book. It will be up on the screen. This is that 12 13 Sullivan & Cromwell to negotiate an exclusivity series of text messages that we looked at. This is 14 agreement with TransCanada; right? JTX 1732. So it will be up on the screen for you, A. Correct. Mr. Smith. 15 15 16 16 Q. And I believe it was your testimony in And I want to look at page 3 of this the deposition in this action that after considering 17 document. I want to focus in on the series of texts 17 the firmness of this offer Columbia received on from March 1st, 2016. Are you with me? 18 18 19 A. I am. 19 January 25th, you felt that it was a firm enough proposal to grant exclusivity to TransCanada, right? 20 Q. And they are a little hard to read, 20 21 A. That's correct. 21 but I understand this is sort of a group chat between 22 Q. So I understand your testimony, the you and Bob Smith, Glen Kettering, Steve Smith --22 sorry. Sorry, you are Bob Smith. proposal that Mr. Girling had made on January 25th was 23 23 firm enough to grant exclusivity to TransCanada, but 24 A. Bob Skaggs. 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 413 Page 415 1 insufficiently firm to trigger the standstill Q. Bob Skaggs. Is that right? 2 obligations; is that your testimony? A. 2 Yes. So firm enough in the context of, you 3 3 And the first text on this chain from know, how I interpret your question, is that it was 4 10:15 on March 1st, 2016, is from you. And it says, 4 5 meaningful enough and that there was enough potential 5 "They just asked for an extension of the exclusivity to it that it was in the company's and shareholders' 6 agreement. They asked for it through 3/14. We are 6 7 best interest to move forward to the next step, but it 7 inclined to give it through next Wed[nesday]. 8 was not a firm enough offer that we could have said, I 8 Thoughts?" 9 accept. 9 Yes. So the context of this is in the 10 Q. Sort of like midpoint of firm? 10 negotiations that were going on realtime. And if you 11 It was an indicative offer that had 11 recall, they had proposed 25.25 and -- yeah, that's enough credibility. I mean, a "certainty to close" is correct. And we -- and I don't have the exact 12 12 13 a term that we use a lot. And as we were looking at 13 timeline, but we would have pulled up -- as you it, there was enough substance behind the discussion recall, we had the cease-and-destroy letter -- I'm 14 14 that it warranted. 15 sorry, return-or-destroy letter that I sent out in 16 Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that this same time frame, as I recall. And so there were 17 TransCanada and Columbia did end up executing an parallel tracks of things happening where if we were exclusivity agreement; right? continuing in negotiations, then this is where the 18 18 19 A. Correct. 19 exclusivity extension would have come into play. But 20 when we terminated and pulled out because they were at Q. That happened on February 1st, 2016; 20 a best and final at the time, then that would explain, 21 right? 21 22 A. I stipulate that it did. you know, both sets of emails and texts. 22 23 23 Q. Well, I guess the more important Q. Thank you for that. My question was a 24 question is, it's true, isn't it, that exclusivity little bit simpler. It was just simply to refresh --CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

(25) Pages 412 - 415

		R. Smith - Cross	416			R. Smith - Cross	Page 418
1	A.	I'm sorry.	7 17. 04000.	1	A.	That's correct.	
2	Q.	No. That's okay. Simply to refresh		2	Q.	So you could have and, cor	sistent with
3	your recollection	n that on March 1st, as exclusivity		3	your prior testing	nony, should have waived the	
4	was about to ex	pire on March 2nd, TransCanada reache	t	4	standstills on th	ne morning of March 9th; right?	? That
5	out and asked f	or an extension; right?		5	was the earlies	t possible time you could have	waived
6	A.	Yes.		6	the standstills;	correct?	
7	Q.	And you knew that the reason they were	e	7	A.	That's correct.	
8	asking for an ex	tension was because TransCanada was		8	Q.	But you didn't actually waive	e the
9	afraid that Colu	mbia would shop the deal in the		9	standstills for o	ther bidders until three days la	ter,
10	meantime; right	?		10	on a Friday nig	ht, on March 11th, between 11	:00 p.m.
11	A.	Correct.		11	and midnight, C	Central Time; right?	
12	Q.	I want to move on to a new topic. You		12	A.	That's correct.	
13	spoke on your o	lirect examination about waiving the		13	Q.	Anyone on the East Coast v	vould have
14	standstill with of	her bidders.		14	gotten that ema	ail after midnight on Saturday r	morning;
15		Do you recall?		15	right?		
16	A.	l do.		16	A.	That's correct.	
17	Q.	I just want to make sure I understand		17	Q.	I want to turn back to the	
18	that, the timeline	e on that sequence of events.		18	nondisclosure a	agreement, and I want to focus	s on a
19		So true, isn't it, that on March 2,		19	different provisi	ion of it. The nondisclosure	
20	2016, Sullivan 8	Cromwell had advised you that		20	agreement is J	oint Exhibit 307 in your binder.	And
21	Columbia shoul	d release the other bidders from their		21	the provision I	want to focus on is on page 2.	It's
22	standstills; right	?		22	paragraph 1(b)		
23	A.	Correct.		23	A.	I'm there.	
24	Q.	And Sullivan & Cromwell advised you		24	Q.	This is a paragraph about w	hether or
		CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS				CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS	
		R. Smith - Cross	417			R. Smith - Cross	Page 419
1	that if Columbia	Page	417	1	not a party can		Page 419
1 2		got to a place where contractually it	417	1 2		disclose the fact that discussion	ons
2	could release th	got to a place where contractually it e standstill, then that's what	417	2	during negotiat	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking	ons g place,
2	could release the Columbia should	got to a place where contractually it e standstill, then that's what	417	2	during negotiat	disclose the fact that discussion	ons g place,
2	could release the Columbia shoul A.	got to a place where contractually it se standstill, then that's what do; right? That's correct.	417	2	during negotiat or have taken p A .	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes.	ons g place, on; right?
2 3 4 5	could release the Columbia should A . Q.	got to a place where contractually it le standstill, then that's what d do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate	417	2 3 4 5	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q.	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Trans	ons g place, on; right? sCanada,
2 3 4	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to re-	got to a place where contractually it le standstill, then that's what d do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their	417	2 3 4	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia,	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transcould make such disclosure wi	ons g place, on; right? sCanada,
2 3 4 5 6	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was to	got to a place where contractually it se standstill, then that's what do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with	417	2 3 4 5 6	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia,	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Trans	ons g place, on; right? sCanada,
2 3 4 5 6 7	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to re-	got to a place where contractually it se standstill, then that's what do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with	417	2 3 4 5 6 7	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia, o Columbia's prio	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transaction Tr	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was a TransCanada e	got to a place where contractually it the standstill, then that's what did do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct.	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia, c Columbia's pric A. Q.	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transcould make such disclosure with a written consent; correct? Correct. There's an exception to this	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was well TransCanada e. A. Q.	got to a place where contractually it as standstill, then that's what d do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with xpired; right?	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia, c Columbia's pric A. Q. prohibition, whi	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transcould make such disclosure with or written consent; correct? Correct. There's an exception to this ch was, if TransCanada, or Co	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was a transCanada e A. Q. that, if feasible,	got to a place where contractually it to standstill, then that's what do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia, o Columbia's pric A. Q. prohibition, whi for that matter,	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transecould make such disclosure without written consent; correct? Correct. There's an exception to this child was, if TransCanada, or Colline in the consent of the	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was a transCanada e A. Q. that, if feasible,	got to a place where contractually it the standstill, then that's what do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided the standstill provisions and the	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia, c Columbia's price A. Q. prohibition, whi for that matter, outside counse	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transpould make such disclosure without written consent; correct? Correct. There's an exception to this chiwas, if TransCanada, or Colline it is required to make such	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout blumbia, f its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was a TransCanada e A. Q. that, if feasible, other NDAs should be could be compared to the columbia.	got to a place where contractually it the standstill, then that's what did do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided the standstill provisions and the field be waived; right? That's correct.	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia, c Columbia's price A. Q. prohibition, whi for that matter, outside counsed disclosure in or	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transeculd make such disclosure without written consent; correct? Correct. There's an exception to this chiwas, if TransCanada, or Comeditive of the written advice of that it is required to make such der to avoid violating applicable.	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout blumbia, f its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was a TransCanada e A. Q. that, if feasible, other NDAs should A. Q.	got to a place where contractually it the standstill, then that's what do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided the standstill provisions and the build be waived; right? That's correct. And on March 4th, Columbia and	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia, c Columbia's price A. Q. prohibition, whi for that matter, outside counsed disclosure in or	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transeculd make such disclosure with or written consent; correct? Correct. There's an exception to this chewas, if TransCanada, or Collins and the written advice of the transecular to make such that it is required to make such that it is	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout blumbia, f its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was a TransCanada e A. Q. that, if feasible, other NDAs should A. Q.	got to a place where contractually it the standstill, then that's what did do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided the standstill provisions and the field be waived; right? That's correct.	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia, c Columbia's price A. Q. prohibition, whi for that matter, outside counsed disclosure in or	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transeculd make such disclosure without written consent; correct? Correct. There's an exception to this chiwas, if TransCanada, or Comeditive of the written advice of that it is required to make such der to avoid violating applicable.	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout blumbia, f its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was well as the Columbia should be standstills was well as the Columbia should be shoul	got to a place where contractually it the standstill, then that's what did do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided the standstill provisions and the fould be waived; right? That's correct. And on March 4th, Columbia and were still in exclusivity; right? That's correct.	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia, of Columbia's price A. Q. prohibition, whi for that matter, outside counse disclosure in or securities laws	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transaction of the transaction of transaction of the transac	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout blumbia, f its ch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was well as the A. Q. that, if feasible, other NDAs should A. Q. TransCanada well A. Q. TransCanada well A. Q.	got to a place where contractually it the standstill, then that's what did do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided the standstill provisions and the health be waived; right? That's correct. And on March 4th, Columbia and were still in exclusivity; right?	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia, c Columbia's price A. Q. prohibition, whi for that matter, outside counse disclosure in or securities laws A. Q.	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transeculd make such disclosure with the consent; correct? Correct. There's an exception to this ch was, if TransCanada, or Comedited with the consent of the consent	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout blumbia, f its ch le
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was well as the A. Q. that, if feasible, other NDAs should A. Q. TransCanada well A. Q. TransCanada well A. Q.	got to a place where contractually it the standstill, then that's what do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided the standstill provisions and the field be waived; right? That's correct. And on March 4th, Columbia and were still in exclusivity; right? That's correct. That's correct. That exclusivity agreement lapsed at	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia, of Columbia's price A. Q. prohibition, white for that matter, outside counsed disclosure in or securities laws A. Q. would have negotiate or have the country of th	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transcould make such disclosure with or written consent; correct? Correct. There's an exception to this such was, if TransCanada, or Confect of the written advice of the transaction of the work of the wor	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout slumbia, f its ch le asCanada the text of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was with the Columbia should A. Q. that, if feasible, other NDAs should A. Q. TransCanada with A. Q. TransCanada with A. Q. 11:59 p.m., Cer	got to a place where contractually it as standstill, then that's what doo; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided the standstill provisions and the suld be waived; right? That's correct. And on March 4th, Columbia and were still in exclusivity; right? That's correct. That exclusivity agreement lapsed at stral Time, on March 8th; right? I don't recall specifically, but I	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia, of Columbia's price A. Q. prohibition, white for that matter, outside counsed disclosure in or securities laws A. Q. would have negotiate or have the country of th	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transaction of the transaction of the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transaction of the	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout slumbia, f its ch le asCanada the text of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	could release the Columbia should A. Q. Q. opportunity to restandstills was a TransCanada e A. Q. that, if feasible, other NDAs should A. Q. TransCanada w. A. Q. TransCanada w. A. Q. 11:59 p.m., Cer. A.	got to a place where contractually it as standstill, then that's what doo; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided the standstill provisions and the suld be waived; right? That's correct. And on March 4th, Columbia and were still in exclusivity; right? That's correct. That exclusivity agreement lapsed at stral Time, on March 8th; right? I don't recall specifically, but I	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	during negotiat or have taken p A. Q. nor Columbia, of Columbia's price A. Q. prohibition, whi for that matter, outside counse disclosure in or securities laws A. Q. would have need the intended dist	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transaction of the transaction of the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transaction of the	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout blumbia, f its ch le nsCanada the text of r to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was well as the A. Q. that, if feasible, other NDAs should A. Q. TransCanada well A. Q. TransCanada well A. Q. 11:59 p.m., Cere A. don't dispute in Q.	got to a place where contractually it as standstill, then that's what doo; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided the standstill provisions and the full be waived; right? That's correct. And on March 4th, Columbia and were still in exclusivity; right? That's correct. That exclusivity agreement lapsed at extral Time, on March 8th; right? I don't recall specifically, but I to	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	during negotiat or have taken particle. A. Q. nor Columbia, co. Columbia's price. A. Q. prohibition, white for that matter, outside counse disclosure in or securities laws. A. Q. would have need the intended dismaking the discontant.	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transcould make such disclosure without written consent; correct? Correct. There's an exception to this ch was, if TransCanada, or Colling and the written advice of a that it is required to make such der to avoid violating application stock exchange rules" Right? That's correct. And even at that point, Transcold to provide Columbia with sclosure at least 24 hours priodelosure; right?	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout blumbia, f its ch le nsCanada the text of r to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was well as the A. Q. that, if feasible, other NDAs should A. Q. TransCanada well A. Q. TransCanada well A. Q. 11:59 p.m., Cere A. don't dispute in Q.	got to a place where contractually it the standstill, then that's what do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided the standstill provisions and the entitle be waived; right? That's correct. And on March 4th, Columbia and were still in exclusivity; right? That's correct. That exclusivity agreement lapsed at extral Time, on March 8th; right? I don't recall specifically, but I the standstill point your attention	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	during negotiat or have taken particle. A. Q. nor Columbia's price. A. Q. prohibition, white for that matter, outside counse disclosure in or securities laws. A. Q. would have need the intended dismaking the discounted for the discounted discounted for the intended dismaking the discounted for the intended dismaking the discounted for the intended f	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transcould make such disclosure without written consent; correct? Correct. There's an exception to this ch was, if TransCanada, or Colling and the written advice of a that it is required to make such der to avoid violating application stock exchange rules" Right? That's correct. And even at that point, Transcold to provide Columbia with sclosure at least 24 hours priodelosure; right?	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout slumbia, f its ch le sCanada the text of r to here, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was a TransCanada e. A. Q. that, if feasible, other NDAs should A. Q. TransCanada w. A. Q. 11:59 p.m., Cera. A. don't dispute in Q. to it just so we restand to the columbia of the columbia.	got to a place where contractually it the standstill, then that's what did do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided the standstill provisions and the heald be waived; right? That's correct. And on March 4th, Columbia and here still in exclusivity; right? That's correct. That exclusivity agreement lapsed at hiral Time, on March 8th; right? I don't recall specifically, but I the world way have a clean record.	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	during negotiat or have taken particles and particles are disclosure in or securities laws A. Q. would have need the intended dismaking the discussion particles are discussed in the intended dismaking the discussion particles are discussed in the intended dismaking the discussion particles are	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transecould make such disclosure with the consent; correct? Correct. There's an exception to this ch was, if TransCanada, or Consect was, if TransCanada, or Con	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout blumbia, f its ch de sCanada the text of r to a here, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	could release the Columbia should A. Q. opportunity to restandstills was a TransCanada e. A. Q. that, if feasible, other NDAs should A. Q. TransCanada w. A. Q. 11:59 p.m., Cera. A. don't dispute in Q. to it just so we restand to the columbia of the columbia.	got to a place where contractually it the standstill, then that's what did do; right? That's correct. And you thought that the appropriate elease other bidders from their when the exclusivity agreement with expired; right? Correct. Now, on March 4th, the board decided the standstill provisions and the field be waived; right? That's correct. And on March 4th, Columbia and ere still in exclusivity; right? That's correct. That exclusivity agreement lapsed at extral Time, on March 8th; right? I don't recall specifically, but I decided the standstill provisions and the still in exclusivity agreement lapsed at extral Time, on March 8th; right? I don't recall specifically, but I decided the standstill provisions and the still provisions and the standstill provisions and th	417	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	during negotiat or have taken particles and particles are disclosure in or securities laws A. Q. would have need the intended dismaking the discussion particles are discussed in the intended dismaking the discussion particles are discussed in the intended dismaking the discussion particles are	disclose the fact that discussions may take place, are taking place concerning the transaction Yes. And neither party, nor Transcould make such disclosure with written consent; correct? Correct. There's an exception to this ch was, if TransCanada, or Comedited the written advice of the transcould that it is required to make such der to avoid violating application or stock exchange rules" Right? That's correct. And even at that point, Transcolosure at least 24 hours prious closure; right? I don't see the 24 hours in So if you look at the bottom	ons g place, on; right? sCanada, thout blumbia, f its ch de sCanada the text of r to a here, but

R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 420 Page 422 1 legally permissible" --1 0 Understood. And in that statement. 2 "... Party will notify ... at least 24 A. 2 which you looked at on direct, TransCanada disclosed 3 hours" 3 that it was in discussions regarding a potential 4 Q. Yes. 4 acquisition or transaction with a third party, but it 5 A. Okay. 5 doesn't disclose the identity of that party; right? 6 Q. Now, going back in time, Goldman Sachs 6 A. That's correct. had previously advised that a sale process that 7 7 Q. Moving ahead in the timeline, on becomes public puts pressure on the board to take the 8 March 14th. TransCanada made an offer to acquire 8 best price offered and may lead to a bidder trying to 9 Columbia at \$25.50 in cash; right? 9 push a deal at a low price; right? 10 A. That's correct. 10 11 I have seen an email in the deposition 11 And in connection with making that that asserted that, but I do not believe that was our 12 offer, TransCanada management told Columbia management 12 management team's view or our board's view. 13 13 that if Columbia were not to accept that offer, 14 Okay. Well, let's just quickly look 14 TransCanada planned to issue a press release within at that email. It's JTX 290 in your book. the next couple of days indicating its acquisition 15 15 16 discussions had been terminated; right? 16 The bottom chain is an internal Goldman Sachs email with a bunch of numbers. And that 17 You said they -- I disagree with how 17 email makes its way from Goldman to Steve Smith and 18 you've stated it, but I agree in concept. They 18 indicated that if a deal were not negotiated and if 19 then from Steve Smith to you, all on November 3rd; 19 20 20 the terms of the -- if a transaction did not happen, right? 21 A. That's correct. that they would have a second time to go out. But the 21 22 Q. Point 4 of that email states that 22 price -- even if they said that, it would have been a "[a]ny sale process that is public (whether leaked or 23 negotiating tactic. 23 announced) puts pressure on [the] board to 'take' best 24 Q. 24 Okay. I wasn't trying to paraphrase CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 421 Page 423 price at a premium to market that is offered ∏ absent or anything. I was actually reading from the pretrial 2 order. 2 competition may lead to any given bidder trying to 3 A. Fair enough. 3 push [that] [premium] at a lower price." Did I read that correctly? 4 Q. 4 I'm happy to direct your attention to 5 A. You did. 5 that paragraph. 6 A. 6 Q. All right. In your deposition, you That's fine. 7 testified that you agreed with that advice as a 7 Q. It's true, isn't it, the board never 8 general matter; right? 8 released TransCanada from the provision of the NDA 9 A. I don't recall saying that. I recall 9 prohibiting public statements about the acquisition; 10 saying that our board was not overly concerned about 10 right? the leak, other than it being a leak, and that, 11 11 That's correct. They were honestly, it was an opportunity for competition to be interpreting their securities laws and the Canadian 12 12 13 inserted. So I know Tim said that; I just -- I don't 13 stock exchange rules. agree with that statement. 14 14 But you couldn't recall whether anyone 15 Okay. On the morning of March 10, the 15 at TransCanada ever actually told you that TransCanada Wall Street Journal reported that TransCanada was in got written advice from its outside counsel where they 16 17 talks to acquire Columbia; right? were required to publicly announce termination of A. 18 March 10th, I can agree with that. 18 discussions with Columbia; right? 19 And I believe it was after that point, 19 I don't recall specific conversations. Toronto Stock Exchange halted trading of TransCanada But beyond these emails, Chris and I were in very, 20 20 very regular conversation, especially around the leak. 21 stock until TransCanada released a public statement 21 So we were having discussions about our conversations 22 about that leak; right? 22 23 A. That's correct. And we had the same 23 with outside counsel and the advice that we were being dynamic happening on the New York Stock Exchange. given. 24 24

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 424 Page 426 1 Q. So are you saying that in those 1 inbound scripts to use for potential inbound offers; 2 discussions, on March 14th, in or around March 14th, right? 2 3 Chris Johnston told you that TransCanada's outside 3 A. Correct. counsel had opined as a matter of Canadian, Toronto 4 Q. And I believe your attention was drawn 4 5 Stock Exchange rules that they would be required to 5 to Joint Exhibit 971, which isn't in your binder, but 6 make that disclosure? 6 we can put it up on your screen. 7 7 A. I believe that she, at the very least, And this email from Mr. Skaggs to the informed me that they were required to make that 8 board, copying members of management, is dated March 8 disclosure. And I would have checked with our outside 9 11th, 2016; right? 9 counsel as well to confirm that. And, again, we were 10 A. That's correct. 10 11 dealing with it with the New York Stock Exchange, and 11 And he's talking about -- rather, he's we were required to make disclosures. So what was 12 actually sending the draft inbound script to the board 12 13 happening was not foreign and did not surprise me. 13 and to management; right? 14 But you never actually received that 14 A. That's correct. 15 written advice, did you? She never told you that they Q. 15 I believe on your direct testimony you had received written advice? said that you wanted to be careful not to violate the 16 16 I don't recall. I recall not newly entered into exclusivity agreement with 17 17 receiving it. I wouldn't have expected to receive it. TransCanada; right? 18 18 But I don't recall if she said that she got it. 19 A. 19 I believe I may have said that, but I 20 20 think we entered into the exclusivity agreement maybe And you never -- focusing on the next day or several days following. 21 March 14th, you never received a draft press release 21 on March 14th about what TransCanada planned on 22 It's actually March 14th. That was my 22 0 23 next question. 23 disclosing; right? 24 A. I thought we reviewed the press 24 A. Thank you. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 425 Page 427 release on direct testimony. 1 1 Q. It's true, isn't it, that exclusivity 2 Q. 2 wasn't actually given until March 14th? Right? That press release was dated 3 That's correct. But we were still 3 March 10th. A. Okay. And the release you are talking 4 very cognizant of keeping the deal together, and we 4 5 about is? 5 wanted the language to be such that we could say it 6 after exclusivity had come into play. 6 Q. March 14th 7 A. Was the March 14th release ever --7 Q. Okay. You were also asked a couple of 8 Q. I'm asking you if, after TransCanada 8 questions about Spectra. Do you recall? 9 made this --9 A. I do. 10 A. I'm sorry. You lost me. I'm not 10 Q. And I believe that counsel for 11 trying to be coy. 11 TransCanada asked you about your reach-out to Spectra; 12 Q. I understand, and I'm trying to help 12 right? 13 13 A. I don't recall how he phrased it, but you. A. I believe they reached out to us, and then we reached 14

15 Q. But TransCanada told Columbia on

March 14th that if Columbia were not to accept that 16

17 \$25.50 all-cash offer, TransCanada planned to issue a

press release within the next few days indicating the 18

19 discussions had been terminated --

A. I did not see a press release about 20

21 that.

23

22 Q. That's helpful. Thank you.

On direct, you were asked some

24 questions and you gave some testimony about the

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

was going after.

That's helpful. That's exactly what I

17

16

18 It's true, isn't it, that Spectra made

19 an inbound request? Right?

out back to them.

Q.

20 A. They did, very softly.

21 Q. Okay. Now, I want to make sure I

22 understand the timing of how this all worked. So turn

with me, if you will, to the proxy, which, again, is 23

at JTX 1291. And I want to focus your attention

R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 428 Page 430 1 specifically on page 48 of the proxy, which is page 1 Right? 2 A. That's correct. 2 .005 [sic] of this document. 3 A. I'm there. 3 Q. Now, looking further down in this 4 Q. So looking at the third full 4 paragraph -- it is six lines up from the bottom --5 paragraph, starting with, "Later on March 11th, 5 you'll see a sentence starting with, "The Board also 6 2016 I just want to understand the timing. 6 approved" 7 7 So March 11th, 2016, the board has a A. I see it. telephonic meeting: right? 8 Q. 8 Okay. It says, "The Board also A. That's correct. 9 approved a script that management had prepared with 9 Q. Okay. And at that meeting -- this is 10 the assistance of Sullivan & Cromwell for use in 10 11 the second sentence -- Skaggs tells the board about responding to Party A's inquiry as well as any future the Wall Street Journal leak. inquiries from any other interested parties." 12 13 And in the third sentence, he also 13 Correct? 14 informed the board of Party A's communications to him 14 A. That's correct. Q. and Goldman Sachs earlier that day; right? 15 15 So the sequence is, at this meeting on A. That's correct. March 12th, the board is again told about Spectra's 16 16 17 Q. And "Party A" is Spectra; right? inbound interest, and the board approves the inbound 17 A. script that you guys had been working on; right? 18 I believe so. 18 19 A. That's correct. 19 Q. Okay. So Spectra reaches out early on March 11th. Later on March 11th, you have this board 20 Q. Internally with Sullivan & Cromwell 20 21 meeting. Mr. Skaggs informs the board about the 21 and everybody else. reach-out from Spectra. Do I have that timeline 22 22 And then the next sentence reads, 23 correct? 23 "Prior to using such script, Mr. R. Smith" -- that's 24 A. Yes. 24 you; right? CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Cross R. Smith - Cross Page 429 Page 431 Okay. So if you go a little further 1 A. 1 Q. That's correct. 2 down, I believe it was the third to last sentence, Q. 2 -- "would send the proposed language starting with, "Because CPG and Goldman Sachs" 3 3 to a representative of TransCanada to obtain Do you see that? 4 confirmation that using such script in responding to 4 5 A. I do. 5 unsolicited proposals would not constitute a breach of Q. It says, "Because CPG and Goldman 6 CPG's obligations under the new exclusivity agreement 6 7 Sachs had received Party A's inbound inquiry only a 7 once ... [it] [was] [entered]." 8 short while before the Board meeting, management 8 Right? 9 requested additional time to formulate a 9 A. Correct. 10 recommendation to the Board as to how to respond" 10 Q. Again, I just want to make sure I 11 A. Correct. 11 understand the sequence. Before Spectra was --12 Q. So there was an agreement that the 12 actually read the script, you wanted to get sign-off board would reconvene the next morning, the morning of 13 from Chris Johnston at TransCanada that doing so 13 the 12th; right? wouldn't violate the new exclusivity agreement you 14 14 15 A. Correct. 15 guys were going to enter into; right? And so the next paragraph of the proxy 16 A. That's correct. 16 17 talks about that board meeting on March the 12th; 17 Q. And that's what you did; right? You 18 right? got confirmation from Chris Johnston that the script wouldn't violate the new exclusivity agreement? 19 A. That's correct. 19 20 So, again, as you recall, my email was 20 Q. And during that meeting, looking at very specific. It said, here is what we intend to 21 the second sentence of that last paragraph, it says, 21 "Mr. Skaggs presented management's recommendation that say, and we wanted to get on the record that it was 22 22 CPG not engage with Party A, given that Party A had your view that it would not violate the exclusivity 23 23 agreement. So that's correct. 24 not made a specific proposal" CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

(29) Pages 428 - 431

R. Smith - Cross S. Vanaselja - Direct Page 432 Page 434 1 Q. That's helpful. And, again, I'm not 1 SIIM VANASELJA, having first been duly 2 quibbling with the substance. I'm just trying to 2 affirmed, was examined and testified as follows: establish a timeline. 3 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION So fair to say that Spectra was not 4 BY ATTORNEY OLSEN: 4 5 actually read the inbound script until after 5 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Can you please 6 TransCanada had an opportunity to give you that 6 tell me a little bit about your educational 7 7 confirmation? Right? background. I think the direct testimony earlier 8 Yes. I hold an honors bachelor of 8 A. A. 9 showed the timeline that the Goldman call with Wells 9 business administration degree from the Schulich Fargo occurred before my email to Chris. But I don't 10 School of Business. I am a fellow member of the 10 11 recall specifically, other than seeing that chronology 11 Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants. in the discussion earlier. 12 Did you want my work history as well? 12 13 C You referred to a Goldman call with 13 Q. That was my next question. Go ahead, 14 Wells Fargo. Wells Fargo is TransCanada's financial 14 please give me your work history briefly as well. advisor; right? 15 A. Yes. From 1979 to 1994, I worked with 15 KPMG. I was a partner in the Toronto office of KPMG. A. And Goldman was ours. 16 16 17 Q. Okay. Just so I understand the 17 In 1994, I left that firm to join BCE. timing, Goldman talks to Wells Fargo about the inbound And from 2001 until 2015, until June 2015, I was the 18 18 script; that's what your testimony is? executive vice president and chief financial officer 19 19 20 I'm just trying to recall the 20 for BCE and Bell Canada. discussion earlier. And I don't have it in front of 21 Since my retirement mid-2015, I've 21 me, but that's what I recall reading in the direct 22 remained active and involved in certain boards, 22 23 testimony. 23 including TC Energy. 24 Q. Understood. And then just so I 24 Q. How did you come to serve on the TC CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS R. Smith - Cross S. Vanaselja - Direct Page 433 Page 435 understand clearly, after Goldman and Wells Fargo had 1 Energy board? 2 2 that call about the inbound script, at that point A. I was approached by either the chair 3 of the board or the chair of the governance of TC 3 Goldman read the inbound script to Spectra; correct? Again, I'm only recalling -- I believe 4 Energy, which was TransCanada at the time. They 4 5 that's correct, based on what I read this morning, but 5 gauged my interest in exploring joining their board I don't have a current recollection of what happened 6 and seeing if there was a good fit for me on their 6 7 realtime. 7 board. I met with Barry Jackson, who was the chair of the board of TransCanada at that time. I met with 8 Q. That's helpful. 8 9 ATTORNEY JAMES: I have nothing 9 Russ Girling, who was the CEO. further at this time. 10 Sorry. There's a fly on my book. 10 11 ATTORNEY HARRELL: Nothing further, 11 Q. I'm sure that's my fault. I apologize. 12 Your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT: Mr. Smith, thank you for 13 I think you brought that, your time. I appreciate it. notwithstanding it's nicely disinfected here. 14 14 15 THE WITNESS: Thank you very much. 15 I met with Russ Girling as well. And Pleasure meeting you and an honor. I had a telephone conversation with the chair of the 16 17 THE COURT: I appreciate it. 17 governance committee. Following those meetings, they offered me to put my name on the slate of directors at 18 (Witness excused.) 18 the AGM of 2014. 19 ATTORNEY OLSEN: Defendants would call 19 20 20 Siim Vanaselja to the stand next. O Were you named board chair in around December 2016? 21 Your Honor, may I approach with the 21 A. 22 binders? 22 Yes, that's correct. 23 THE COURT: Why don't we do the 23 Q. Do you have experience as a director 24 affirmation before we do the housekeeping. on other corporate boards? CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

S. Vanaselja - Direct

Page 436

A. I do. I am currently on the board of -- public company boards would include Power Corporation, where I'm the chair of the audit committee; Great-West Lifeco, where I also chair the audit committee; and RioCan Real Estate REIT, where I am the lead director.

7 Q. Did you support the acquisition of Columbia by TransCanada? 8

> A. I did, yes.

1

2

3

4 5

6

9

22

23

24

2

18

10 Q. What made Columbia an attractive 11 potential acquisition for TransCanada in early 2016?

I supported the view of management and 12 the rest of the board that Columbia was an attractive 13 14 strategic fit and had, you know, good industrial logic to being acquired by TransCanada. The footprint of 15 natural gas transmission assets of Columbia were a 16 good complement to the footprint of TransCanada. And 17 the footprint of Columbia extended TransCanada's gas 18 operations into the Appalachian basin in the U.S. and 19 the attractive Permian and Utica gas fields. 20 21 And I would also add that Columbia at the time had. I believe, over \$5 billion of

attractive growth opportunities. And TransCanada had CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

development opportunities that were viewed as

S. Vanaselja - Direct

Page 437

the financial capacity and the technical expertise to execute the build-out of those projects.

So those were largely the reasons that 3

I can recall. 4

5 Q. How was the board kept informed of TransCanada's efforts to explore a transaction with 6 7 Columbia?

8 A. Through board meetings where we would 9 have been brought up to date on the transaction.

10 Q. Which members of management 11 principally kept you apprised of their activity?

The board meetings were typically 12 13 attended by all or at least a majority of the executive leadership team on the transaction. In 14 15 particular, Russ Girling would have taken the lead and would be the principal individual that the board would 16 17 look to for views with regard to the transaction.

In addition, Alex Pourbaix, who was

19 the chief operating officer; Francois Poirier, who was the head of corporate development; the chief financial 20 officer, Don Marchand; the treasurer; the chief legal 21 officer. We would have had a broad range of 22 discussions around, you know, the various parameters 23 of the opportunity. 24

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

S. Vanaselja - Direct

Page 438

1 Q. There's been a lot of discussion in

2 this trial about a meeting that took place between

3 Francois Poirier and Steve Smith from Columbia on

4 January 7th, 2016, to discuss reengaging in a

5 potential transaction with Columbia. At the time were

6 you aware of that particular meeting?

7 I'm not aware that I was made aware of 8 that particular meeting, and I'm not aware of the

9 Court's dialogues around that.

10 Would you expect to be made aware of the particular back-and-forths during the course of the negotiation between Columbia and TransCanada by 12 13 management?

14 A There's a role for management, and there's a role for the board. So the board would not 15 be kept abreast of all of the deal team efforts to see 16 17 if there's a meeting of minds to execute a transaction. But certainly with regard to the 18 material elements of the transaction, like 19

20 back-and-forth negotiations over the offer price, key

terms, those would have come to the board. 21

22 I'm showing you what is marked as 23 Joint Exhibit 829. And you have the exhibits in your

binder, or you could look at the screen in front of

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

S. Vanaselja - Direct

Page 439

1 you, whichever is easier for you, sir.

2 I'm showing you what has been marked

3 as Joint Exhibit 829, which are preread materials for

a March 5th, 2016, special meeting of the TransCanada 4

5 board of directors. And I'd like to turn your

6 attention, in particular, to pages 12 and 13 of 113.

7 So there's a header on the section on

8 page 12 called "Project Constellation." What was

9 Project Constellation?

10 A. That was the code name for 11 TransCanada's evaluation of acquiring Columbia Pipeline Group. 12

13 Q. And if you look at page 13, there are some key findings. There's a reference to Capricorn there. Was that the nickname for Columbia at the

16 time?

17

A. Yes

Q. 18 I want to ask you about the last

bullet under the "Key Findings" that says, "Awaiting 19

responses from Rating[] Agencies on proposed actions 20

21 based on [a] revised finance plan."

22 Can you tell me what that issue was

23 about?

24 A. Yes. This related to plans with

S. Vanaselja - Direct

Page 440

regard to financing the acquisition of the purchase 2 price.

3 TransCanada operates in a very 4 capital-intensive industry and a cyclical industry. 5 The company at the time had an A rating from Moody's and S&P. I don't recall what its rating with DBRS 6 was, but it was either A or slightly higher than that 7

even. And, you know, just recognizing, as I say, the 8

capital intensity of its operations and the potential 9 for volatility in the sector, it was important for the 10

11 company to preserve that rating.

1

24

4

12 This comment, "Awaiting response[] from the Rating[] Agencies ...," I believe, would 13 14 refer to the fact that management retained the advisory services of either S&P or Moody's or both of 15 them to evaluate potential combinations of equity and 16

asset sales and other means for financing this 17 acquisition with a view to getting the rating 18 agencies' confirmation ahead of executing a 19

20 transaction that it would preserve the A rating.

21 Q. Thank you. Did management present 22 valuations of Columbia to the board over time?

23 A. I do recall that, yes.

> Q. Did TransCanada management settle on a

> > CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

S. Vanaselja - Direct

Page 441

1 valuation range with respect to Columbia by that

2 March 2016 time frame?

A. 3 Um ...

Q. Let me ask a better question. Do you

5 have a recollection, based on your discussions with

6 the board, as to what the sense of valuation for

7 Columbia was as management and the board were

8 discussing it over the life of those discussions in

9 that February/March 2016 time frame?

10 A. Yeah. Thank you. That clarification

11 is helpful.

12 What I would say is that the valuation

13 materials that were presented had a broad range of

valuation for Columbia. My experience in M&A 14 15 transactions is that they often do because the

methodologies range from discounted cash flow analyses 16

17 to EBITDA multiples to EPS multiples to dividend yield

valuation methodologies and then looking at comparable 18

19 market transactions as well. So there was a broad

20 football field range that was presented.

21 At the same time, I would recall that,

you know, Russ Girling had a very firm view that there 22

was a -- that there was, in fact, a narrow valuation 23

range in which a successful deal could be agreed to. 24

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

S. Vanaselja - Direct

Page 442

1 His view was that it would take a very sizable premium

for the board of Columbia and ultimately its 2

3 shareholders to move forward with a transaction and

4 that that was likely at least \$24.

5 At the same time, you know, Russ

6 indicated that because of the debt, the leverage that

7 TransCanada would be assuming on the acquisition,

8 which was considerable, we really had no latitude with

9 the rating agencies to issue debt consideration. And

10 consequently, we had to look to both equity and asset

sales. The most expensive form of capital for an

organization such as TransCanada at least, with a

significant dividend attached to its common shares, is 13

14 the issuance of common stocks.

So those were really the

considerations. 16

15

17

Q. And based on those considerations --

18 A. Sorry. I just wanted to add to that

that those were -- those were the considerations that 19

20 led Russ to believe that from TransCanada's

perspective, you know, going above \$25 would really 21

22 create challenges because the higher the price,

ultimately, the more equity or asset sales were going 23

to need to execute. And the transaction becomes less

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

S. Vanaselja - Direct

Page 443

accretive and, you know, risks potentially a negative

2 reaction from the marketplace or that the equity

component that we need to issue becomes something that 3

4 is of a scale that couldn't be executed in the

5 marketplace.

6 ATTORNEY ORRICO: Real quick, Your

7 Honor. I apologize. I do not like to object. I

8 just -- real quick, TransCanada had an opportunity to

9 identify Mr. Girling as a witness at this trial. And

10 so we would appreciate if we could limit the hearsay

11 from a witness that's not coming to trial. I'll leave

12 it at that.

13 THE COURT: Do you want to -- let's

treat this as a hearsay objection. Do you want to 14

15 respond to the hearsay objection?

16 ATTORNEY OLSEN: Sure, Your Honor.

17 The witness is talking about discussions that took

18 place at the board. We're not offering it for the

19 truth of the matter asserted but, rather, what his

20 perspective is on what was presented to him about

21 valuation.

22 THE COURT: Proceed on that basis.

23 BY ATTORNEY OLSEN:

24 Q. I want to show you what has been

S. Vanaselja - Direct S. Vanaselja - Direct Page 444 Page 446 1 marked as Joint Exhibit 869, which are the board 1 back-and-forth from Columbia of 26.50. And then 25.25 from TransCanada. And then Columbia delivering a 2 minutes from the March 5th, 2016, special meeting of 2 3 the TransCanada board of directors. 3 board-authorized price of \$26. 4 Did you attend this meeting, I guess, 4 Is that consistent with your 5 at least by phone? 5 recollection of what was discussed at the board about 6 A. Yes. I did. 6 the state of play with respect to price at that time? 7 7 Q. I want to look at the final page of Generally, that's correct. I don't this document. In the last paragraph, there is a 8 8 know if these were -- you know. I don't know what the reference to "Management requested approval for the 9 words "board authorized" necessarily means. But these 9 [CEO] to enter discussions on price subject to final 10 weren't board-approved, firm bids. They were, you 10 11 approval [from] the Board. Members noted the know, agreements by the board to move forward with an offer subject to definitive agreements and final board sensitivities around price with the credit rating 12 approval. 13 advisory service and agreed that negotiations should 13 14 commence at []\$24 with a high range of approximately 14 Q. Fair enough. 15 []25.25." Did the TransCanada board meet to 15 Do you recall management requesting 16 consider that \$26 indication from Columbia? 16 We did, yes. authorization to enter discussions on price with 17 A. 17 Columbia on March 5th, consistent with what's 18 Q. I want to ask you about that. If you 18 would turn to Joint Exhibit 944, which are board expressed in the minutes? 19 19 20 A. Yes, I do. minutes from the March 9th, 2016, meeting of the 20 21 Q. And what does the reference in the 21 TransCanada board of directors. minutes there to the board noting the sensitivities 22 And, again, you attended by phone, it 22 around price with the credit rating advisory services 23 23 appears? 24 refer to? 24 A. Present by phone, yes. I was there by CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS S. Vanaselja - Direct S. Vanaselja - Direct Page 445 Page 447 A. 1 I think that's consistent with my 1 phone. 2 2 earlier comment today that as the price increases, you Q. I want to ask you about the first know, the execution risks with regard to issuing 3 paragraph under "Project Constellation" at the bottom 3 equity and asset sales increases, and the concerns of 4 of the page. 4 5 preserving the A rating with the rating agencies 5 "The Chief Executive Officer [] led becomes more challenging. 6 6 the discussion by providing an update on the status of 7 0 I'm showing you what has been marked 7 the discussions with Capricorn since the previous 8 as Joint Exhibit 912, which is an email from Andrew 8 meeting. He reviewed the ∏\$24 per common share offer 9 Isherwood to Russ Girling and others dated March 9 and 9 made to Capricorn's CEO on March 5th [] and [] 10 attaching slides that would be presented at that board 10 Capricorn CEO's counter proposal of []26.50 ... was 11 meeting later that day. 11 conveyed to members that after deliberation[s], 12 And I want to ask you about Slide 3 Mr. Girling delivered the Board[] authorized price of 13 here that has a "Situation Update." And there is a 13 []25.25 per share and that the Capricorn board had rejected the new offer. After subsequent discussion, 14 summary. 15 Taurus was the code name for on March [25th] [], Capricorn's management conveyed TransCanada at that time in these discussions; 16 its potential receptiveness to an offer of []26 per [] 16 17 correct? 17 share." 18 18 A. Sorry, sir. Are you on --That's just a recap of the situation, 19 Q. Slide 3, 912.004. 19 summary that we just saw; correct? 20 .004. Apologies. 20 A. Correct. A. Taurus was the code name for 21 21 Q. And with respect to valuation, what 22 TransCanada, yes. 22 was your recollection of the discussion about 23 Q. And the "Situation Update" describes management and the board's willingness to go back to

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

Columbia with an improved offer?

24

TransCanada's initial price of 24. And then a

S. Vanaselja - Direct S. Vanaselja - Direct Page 448 Page 450 1 A. I do recall the board dialogue with 1 Q. One more question before we have to regard to that meeting. As I said, Mr. Girling felt 2 break for lunch. Why was TransCanada willing to go to 2 3 quite strongly that proceeding with an offer above 3 \$26 per share with a mixed stock component but the what had been agreed with the board of 25.25 would be 4 board was unwilling to go to \$26 per share with an 4 5 very challenging for the company. An all-cash offer 5 all-cash offer? of \$26, therefore, was out of the question to be Just because of the execution risks. 6 6 Δ offered and accepted by the company. 7 7 But an all-cash offer would have to be financed with a 8 Ultimately -- and it wasn't 8 combination of asset sales and subscription receipts. 9 Mr. Girling, but one or more directors, you know, 9 In order to eliminate execution risk said, could we stretch ourselves beyond 25.25? We've 10 on the subscription receipts issuance, TransCanada 10 11 been working on this transaction, you know, for over looked to its bankers to undertake that on a bought three months, and it does -- we should look to the deal basis, meaning the risk with regard to the 12 median and longer-term value creation potential that pricing of that subscription receipts issue would be 13 13 14 this brings. It would be regrettable if, after all 14 borne by the bankers. this work and effort, we can't find common grounds to 15 Now, those subscription receipts would 15 execute this transaction. be bought by the bankers at a discount to the 16 16 then-trading price of TransCanada shares, but it would 17 0 And to that regard, if you look at the 17 last paragraph in the minutes on this March 9th 18 be the bankers' risks to execute those. The banker --18 meeting, "After further deliberation, the Board there was engagements between management and the 19 19 authorized management to make a counter offer to 20 bankers on what the amount of that discount would be 20 and what, you know, amount of subscription receipts 21 Capricorn [of] []\$26 per share but which would include 21 TransCanada['s] common shares as a portion 22 the bankers were comfortable moving forward with the 22 (10 percent) of [that] consideration. It was noted 23 bought deal. 23 24 that the offer would remain subject to formal Board 24 And we believe -- management indicated CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS S. Vanaselja - Direct S. Vanaselja - Direct Page 449 Page 451 approval to enter into the merger once all the terms that they were sort of at the limits of what the 1

2 had been negotiated." 3 Why did the board decide to authorize a \$26 per share mixed consideration indicative offer 4 5 at that time? Because we wanted to get a transaction 6 7 executed. And, you know, the only way to reasonably 8 do that was to issue more equity or, alternatively, 9 sell more assets. And we were at a point where, you

know, the noncore assets that were feasible to divest

and that we would have comfort within a reasonable

time period to be able to execute a divestiture -- I 12 13 mean, we essentially had run out of those kinds of assets. And that left equity. 14 15 We were aware that Columbia had indicated its strong preference for cash. And I think 16 17 those discussions had transpired earlier between the two companies. But the board sort of turned its 18 19 attention back to, what about a small component of equity that the shareholders of Columbia would take 20 back? If that was a possibility, then would we be --21

would we consider going to the \$26? And that's where

this proposal to offer \$26 with a 10 percent equity

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

consideration component came in.

10

11

22

23

24

- 2 bankers thought they could do in the marketplace at
- that time. And, therefore, the idea came up, well, 3
- what about offering 10 percent to the Columbia 4
- 5 shareholders? That's just a share-for-share exchange.
- 6 And, again, from our perspective, it de-risks the
- 7 execution of the sale without increasing the
- 8 subscription receipts issuance and causing a problem
- 9 on executing for the bankers.
- 10 Q. Thank you, sir.
- 11 ATTORNEY OLSEN: Should we break for
- lunch now? 12
- 13 THE COURT: Let's break for lunch.
- We'll be in break until 1:30. We'll stand in recess
- 15 until then.
- 16 (Recess taken at 12:31 p.m.)
- 17 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24

S. Vanaselja - Direct S. Vanaselja - Direct Page 452 AFTERNOON SESSION 1 1 leak was beneficial in the sense that it would be informative to us as to what the market receptivity, 2 2 (Resumed at 1:30 p.m.) 3 THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone. 3 both from the perspective of TransCanada's 4 Please be seated. Thank you for being ready to go. 4 stakeholders and analysts, as well as Columbia's 5 ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank you, Your 5 stakeholders and analysts, would be. We would be able 6 Honor. 6 to see what the impact on our respective share prices BY ATTORNEY OLSEN: 7 would be. So it would help -- help inform how the 7 Just to reorient you, sir, before the 8 transaction was viewed. 8 lunch break, we were talking about the March 9th board 9 And then, as well, having public 9 meeting and that the board had approved an indicative 10 knowledge out there of negotiations between the two 10 11 offer of \$26 per share, mixed consideration with a companies would create an expectation of a potential 10 percent stock component. Are you with me? transaction and a potential premium to be earned by 12 A. Columbia's stakeholders. 13 I am. 13 14 Q. Was the \$26 indication of interest 14 0 I want to show you what's been marked with that stock component subject to further as Joint Exhibit 1093, which are board minutes from 15 15 conditions? the March 14th meeting of the TransCanada board of 16 16 A. Yes 17 directors. 17 Q. What were those conditions? 18 18 And I think we can see you were again A. The conditions included, first, present by phone for this meeting. Correct? 19 19 TransCanada shares continuing to trade at or above a 20 A. Yes. 20 level of \$49; secondly, that the credit rating 21 Q. 21 I want to turn to the last paragraph agencies took no action to downgrade TransCanada's 22 on the first page. It says, "The meeting discussed 22 credit ratings; and third, that the underwriters the impact of the media story on TransCanada's most 23 23 continued to give comfort that a bought deal recent offer, ability to pay and execution risk. In 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS S. Vanaselja - Direct S. Vanaselja - Direct Page 453 subscription receipts issuance would be available. light of these developments, management indicated that 2 2 And then obviously, lastly, you know, definitive it would communicate to Capricorn that its latest

agreements and TransCanada's board approval and 3 Columbia's board approval, obviously. 4 5 Q. Was TransCanada's board ever 6 supportive of an all-cash offer of \$26 per share? 7 A. 8 Q. Do you recall that on March 10th the 9 negotiations were leaked to the Wall Street Journal? 10 A. 11 I'm showing you what's been marked as Joint Exhibit 1735, which is a set of text messages. 12 13 And I want to ask you about text 20680, from you to Russ Girling on March 11, 2016, where you say, "I 14 15 think the leak may be the best development for us!" 16 Do you have a sense of what you meant 17 by that at the time? I can tell you that I don't recall 18 A. 19 having sent this leak. Q. 20 A. 21 Sorry, this text. I was reading, I think, "the leak." I don't recall having sent this 22 text. I can speculate that I was likely indicating --23

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

this was sent to Russ -- indicating to Russ that the

24

Page 455

Page 454

- 3 offer could no longer be supported as the conditions
- 4 of that offer were no longer met. Management reviewed
- 5 the challenges of a proposed share-for-share exchange
- 6 with the Board members including valuation and
- 7 execution risk."
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 A. Yes, I do. That's in the second
- 10 paragraph.
- 11 Q. Why did TransCanada decide not to
- proceed with the \$26 mixed consideration indication of 12
- 13 interest?
- 14 Prior to the leak, TransCanada was
- 15 trading at between 49 and \$50 per share. The leak
- caused TransCanada to trade below \$48, in sort of the
- mid-\$47 range. So it didn't achieve one of the
- 18 conditions. And, again, that would have meant that,
- 19 at a lower share price, TransCanada would have had to
- issue more equity, more common-share equity, the most 20
- expensive form of capital for the company, to finance 21
- 22 the same acquisition.
- 23 So that objective was -- or condition
 - was not achieved. And then, again, because of the

S. Vanaselja - Direct S. Vanaselja - Direct Page 456 lower share price, I have a recollection of 1 So that's kind of how we got to 25.50. 1 indications from management that the subscription It wasn't -- it was essentially the \$25.25 cash offer 2 2 3 receipts bought deal would be -- would be jeopardized, 3 with no additional need for asset divestitures, but either with respect to the amount of the bought deal 4 increasing the bought deal component of the 4 5 or with respect to the amount of discount that that 5 subscription receipts likely. bought deal on the subscription receipts would entail. 6 6 Sorry, that was long-winded, but --7 Q. 7 But I don't recall the specific reasons, but I do Thank you. recall that, you know, there was a view that this 8 A. -- I feel like I communicated it okav. 8 subscription receipt offering on a bought deal basis 9 Q. 9 I think you did. would be jeopardized. 10 Based on the discussions you had 10 11 Q. In connection with the simultaneous 11 throughout this process, do you think that 25.50 was issuance of stock as part of that 26? as high as the board would have been willing to go? 12 Correct. Correct. All predicated on A. Yes, I'm very confident in saying 13 A. 13 14 our \$26 offer, including 10 percent equity 14 that. In terms of an all-cash offer, 25.50, if not consideration. accepted, we would have walked away. 15 15 16 Did Columbia accept the 25.50? 16 Q. If you look at the last paragraph of Q. A. the minutes, ultimately the board approved increasing 17 Yes, they did. 17 the all-cash offer to \$25.50. Can you tell me the 18 Q. And the deal was announced on 18 March 17th? 19 discussions at the board meeting that led to that 19 20 conclusion? 20 Δ I don't recall the precise date the 21 A. I could give you my best 21 transaction was announced. recollections. We had already offered \$25.25, all 22 Q. Sound about right? 22

desire to get this transaction done on TransCanada's CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

cash. As I commented earlier, there was a significant

23

24

20

21

22

23

24

be feasible.

S. Vanaselja - Direct

Page 457

part, and we believed, after three or however many 2 months both parties had worked on this transaction, that there was a desire on the part of the other side 3 to conclude the transaction as well. Our CEO, Russ 4 5 Girling, was still reasonably firmly of the view that 6 going above 25.25 on a cash basis is not something 7 that we should undertake. And board members 8 challenged Mr. Girling, including myself, I believe, 9 to say, again, that this is a strategic acquisition 10 and, you know, could we stretch an all-cash offer a 11 little bit higher. And that's how we got to 25.50. 12 And I believe it was also relayed at 13 this meeting that, you know, the bankers, notwithstanding that they couldn't do a subscription 14 15 receipts issue at a price of 47-something for TransCanada shares while issuing 10 percent additional 16 17 equity consideration, that would be too much for the market to absorb; that shifting the weighting of the 18 19 subscription receipts without 10 percent share

consideration being issued to Capricorn shareholders

slightly higher while reducing the non-guaranteed, or

greenshoe, component of that offering, that that may

is -- shifting the bought deal subscription receipts

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

S. Vanaselja - Direct

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

Okay. I want to show you what's been

Page 459

Page 458

- marked as Exhibit 1244, which are materials relating
- 2 to a board meeting that took place on April 28 and
- 3 April 29, 2016, approximately a month after the

Yes.

4 transaction was announced.

A.

Q.

23

24

- 5 In the merger agreement, did
- 6 TransCanada secure a right to match a superior
- 7 proposal, if they wanted to?
- 8 A. Yes. That's not uncommon in
- 9 transactions. And in reviewing materials for this
- 10 trial, I've become aware of that. I didn't
- 11 specifically recall it until reading about it in the
- minutes and other materials. 12
- 13 Q. I want to turn to the agenda on
- 1244.005. That's page 5 of 347. And the agenda has a 14
- 15 number of items --
- 16 A. Sorry, can you repeat the page number.
- 17 Q. 1244.005.
- A. 18 Thank you.
- 19 The agenda has a number of items at
- the top. It says "Committee Reports (for approval)," 20
- 21 and then "Consent Items (for approval)," and then it
- has "Active Items (for information)." 22
- 23 Do you see that?
- 24 A. Yes.

S. Vanaselja - Direct S. Vanaselja - Direct Page 460 Page 462 1 Q. What's the significance of the items 1 per share as of 2017. Do you see that? 2 A. 2 on your agenda for approval versus those items that I do 3 are just for information? 3 O Based on your recollection of the The items for approval would be 4 discussions at the board about this issue, is this 4 Α 5 matters that require a board resolution put forward 5 model designed to answer the question as to whether or 6 and seconded and voted by a majority of the directors. 6 not TransCanada could or would increase its offer to 7 7 The items for information purposes were just updates, match a superior proposal? some of which, as a board, we would have spent time on 8 Certainly not. 8 A. and some of which, you know, we might have spent very 9 Q. 9 Why not? little or no time on. 10 A. As I -- as I said, this document, 10 11 Q. If you turn to the next page, 006, which I see on the cover page is marked draft, I don't have any real recollection of whether the board item 9 is the "Columbia Pipeline Acquisition," and 12 actually discussed this. But as I said, this was 13 there's "Interloper Strategy" under there. 13 14 Do you see that? 14 after the announcement of the transaction and after A. I do. execution of agreements. 15 15 Q. I would like to turn to page 242, 16 I think the draft is poorly worded. 16 which is 1244.242. 17 There wasn't any recommendation that was being brought 17 A. I have that in front of me. forward for action by the board with respect to 18 18 interlopers. The wording "TransCanada can afford to Okay. And do these board materials 19 0 19 contain a few pages on a potential interloper strategy 20 increase its offer" doesn't mean it should increase 20 its offer. And even addressing that at a board level 21 in the event another possible buyer emerged to acquire 21 22 Columbia? 22 before an interloper has come forward is, at least in 23 A. They do. 23 my view, nonsensical. 24 Q. And I want to go to the next page, 24 Q. Do you remember any discussion CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS S. Vanaselja - Direct S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 461 Page 463 whatsoever at the board about whether or not 243. At the top, the first bullet there in the 2 2 executive summary says, "Risk of interloper will exist TransCanada would match an interloper offer above 3 25.50? 3 until Columbia shareholder vote." 4 A. 4 In your recollection, was No 5 TransCanada's board particularly concerned about the 5 ATTORNEY OLSEN: I have no further 6 6 risk of an interloper derailing this acquisition? questions at this time, Your Honor. 7 I only have vague recollections of 7 ATTORNEY ORRICO: Good afternoon, Your 8 interlopers being discussed. The view held by 8 Honor. C.J. Orrico on behalf of plaintiffs. With the 9 management was that this would be a very hard 9 Court's permission, I would like to handle the 10 transaction for others in the industry to be able to 10 cross-examination of Mr. Vanaselja. 11 finance, as it was for us. And we had one of the 11 We have some binders that my colleague 12 strongest balance sheets, if not the strongest balance 12 will hand up to the Court and my friends on the other 13 sheet, in the industry. 13 side. So we didn't spend considerable time 14 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 talking about the potential for interlopers. We 15 BY ATTORNEY ORRICO: appreciated that the risk was there. And, you know, 16 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Vanaselja. We 16 17 nothing for us to really do about it until if and when 17 haven't met. A. an interloper were to arise. 18 18 Good afternoon, sir. 19 If you stay with the executive 19 But I am a colleague of Mr. Varallo's, who took your deposition in this matter. And I 20 summary, if you go down to the bullet that says, 20 21 "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase 21 watched the deposition, and I've read it. So it's

its offer." And that section very briefly outlines

the differential between Columbia's actual offer of

25.50 that was expected and a potential offer of \$28

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

22

23

24

Okay. And so just to orient you, sir,

Good to meet you.

A.

Q.

22 23

24

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

nice to meet you this afternoon, sir.

S. Vanaselja - Cross S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 464 Page 466 we're handing you a witness binder. Okay? And the 1 negotiations and inform you about them. Correct, sir? 1 2 Correct, together with the various 2 first tab in your binder will be your deposition. The A. 3 second tab is a pretrial order that the parties have 3 advisors supporting management. stipulated to some facts in. Okay? And the remainder 4 Okay. Now, I'm orienting you in time. 4 O 5 of the binder are some documents that we may look at. 5 We're going to go to the fall of 2015. Okay? 6 My friend Joe Wills will also put them up on the 6 A. Okav. 7 7 screen for you so you know what I'm talking about. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that Sound okay? 8 TransCanada and Columbia entered into a nondisclosure 8 A. agreement on or around November 9th, 2015. Correct? 9 Thank you. 9 Q. Great. So I just want to establish 10 I've come to learn that there was a --10 11 some basic facts that I think we can agree on. a nondisclosure agreement that was entered into. I So before joining the TransCanada don't know the precise date at which it was entered 12 13 board, you did not have any professional background in 13 into. 14 the oil, gas, or energy business. Correct? 14 Q. Okay. I'll represent to you it was on A. November 9th, 2015. 15 Correct. 15 16 A. 16 Q. And I think we can agree on this, that Okay. as a director of TransCanada, you were in favor of and 17 Q. And it's fair to say that after 17 supported TransCanada's purchase of Columbia Pipeline signing that NDA on November 24th, 2015, Mr. Girling 18 18 in 2016. Correct? 19 19 informed Mr. Skaggs of Columbia that TransCanada's 20 A. Correct. management team was supportive of pursuing an all-cash 20 Q. 21 And you viewed the acquisition of 21 acquisition of Columbia at a price in the range of 25 Columbia as a good strategic fit for TransCanada. 22 to \$26 per share. 22 23 Right? 23 Do you have any basis to disagree with 24 A. Yes. 24 that? CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS S. Vanaselja - Cross S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 465 Page 467 A. 1 Q. Okay. And before the merger, 1 Sorry, could you tell me what the date 2 of that was? TransCanada did not have a meaningful presence in the 2 3 Q. 3 Marcellus or Utica basins. Right? Sure. November 24th, 2015. A. Correct. 4 A. I'm not familiar with that. 4 5 Q. 5 Q. Sure. So I'm going to pull up the And one reason you supported the PTO. It's Tab 2 in your binder. merger, sir, was because Columbia's projects were in 6 6 7 the Marcellus and Utica basins, which were the 7 ATTORNEY ORRICO: Joe, can we pull up paragraph 243. 8 lowest-cost basins in North America. Correct? 8 9 A. That's my understanding, yes. 9 O And this is a stipulated fact between 10 Q. And you also supported the merger 10 the parties, sir. And it states, "On November 24, because the acquisition of Columbia allowed 11 2015 --" 11 TransCanada to diversify its asset base away from the Sorry. Would you mind if I -- would 12 A. 12 Western Canadian Sedimentary Basin. Right? 13 13 you mind if I look at the hard copy? It's just easier A. Correct. with my glasses. 14 14 15 Q. Okay. And I want to now talk about 15 Q. Be my guest. It's paragraph 243. the sales process. I will orient you in time 16 A. 16 17 throughout the cross-examination. 17 Q. Do you have it in front of you? A. 18 But before we do that, you didn't 18 I do. 19 personally negotiate the merger with the Columbia 19 It states, "On November 24, 2015, Girling informed Skaggs that TransCanada's management 20 counterparty. Right? 20 21 A. No, that would not be a role for a 21 was supportive of pursuing an all-cash acquisition of director to play. Columbia at a price in the range of \$25 to \$26 per 22 22 23 23 share." 0 Right. You relied on the senior 24 management team at TransCanada to handle those Do you see that? 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

	S. Vana	aselja - Cross	Page 468		S. Va	anaselja - Cross	Page 470
1	A. I do.			1	secretary, to take accur	rate minutes of the Tran	
2	Q. Any basi	is to dispute that fact?		2	board meetings. Corre	ct?	
3	A. I'm not i	familiar with what this		3	A. I wou	ld just modify that. It	's
4	document is or what a p	retrial stipulation order	is.	4	correct. But it would	be the practice of the	chairs
5	So is that something you	u can orient me on?		5	of the boards, as well	as the board member	s, to review
6		greement between plaintif	fs	6	those minutes and ma	ake appropriate adjust	tments.
7	and TransCanada of the fa	a w commons o		7	Q. Thanl		
8	A. Okay.			8		re they were finalized.	
9	200 999 200	have any basis to dispute	that	9		reciate that answer.	
10	fact?	,		10		actually want to look at s	some
11		oth parties have agreed	that	11	board minutes. We're		
12	that's a fact.	,		12	the Court. We're movir		
13		and you have no basis to		13	period. And it's JTX 05	701	
14	dispute that the agreemen	VI 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10		14	December 2nd and Dec		
15	informed Mr. Skaggs "that		board	15	minutes of the board m		
16	of directors had been infor			16	you were in person, and		
17		905	/e	17	acted as the secretary	5:	IIISIOII
18	of the non-binding indication	the same to the sa		18	Experience (Access of Process Indicates and Process Indicates and Process Indicates and Process Indicates Indicates and Process Indicates Indica	The state of the s	oir?
	offer would be subject to it				058	ou have it in front of you	, 511 ?
19	10 20 AND	see that?		19	A. I do.	And Lucyld satually li	lea da
20	A. I do see		D-	20	154	. And I would actually li	
21	99 68 68 69 WW	My question is very simple	. D0	21	turn to page 12 of the d	tion of the property of the property	
22	you have any basis to disp			22	under the heading "M&	A and Capital Market 11	renas."
23	November 2015, was supp	Range of the contraction of the contraction		23	It's 14.	1 1 10	
24	management pursuing an	all-cash acquisition in the		24	Do yo	ou have that, sir?	
	CHANCERY	COURT REPORTERS			CHANCE	RY COURT REPORTERS	
	S. Vana	aselja - Cross	Page 469		S. Va	anaselja - Cross	Page 471
1		350	Page 469	1	S. Va A. I do.	anaselja - Cross	Page 471
1 2	range of \$25 or \$26 per sh	hare?	Page 469	1 2	A. I do.		-
1 2 3	range of \$25 or \$26 per sh A. As I've i	nare? Indicated, I just have no		1 2 3	A. I do. Q. Okay.	And we're going abou	t three
2	range of \$25 or \$26 per sh	nare? Indicated, I just have no		2	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min	. And we're going abou	t three
2	range of \$25 or \$26 per sh A. As I've i knowledge of that discus board.	nare? Indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to		2 3 4	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management pro	. And we're going abou outes, at that section. It ovided an overview of its	t three
2 3 4	range of \$25 or \$26 per sh A. As I've i knowledge of that discus board. Q. Okay. B	nare? indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that		2 3 4 5	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management pro discussions with the tar	And we're going abou outes, at that section. It ovided an overview of its get[] (Capricorn) execu	t three s tives.
2 3 4 5 6	range of \$25 or \$26 per sh A. As I've i knowledge of that discus board. Q. Okay. B TransCanada has agreed	nare? indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that		2 3 4 5 6	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management pro discussions with the tar Ultimately, managemen	And we're going abountes, at that section. It byided an overview of its get[] (Capricorn) execution the capricorn	t three s tives. n's board
2 3 4 5 6 7	range of \$25 or \$26 per sh A. As I've i knowledge of that discus board. Q. Okay. B TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir?	nare? indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this	the	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management prodiscussions with the tar Ultimately, management determined it would institute the control of	And we're going abountes, at that section. It byided an overview of its get[] (Capricorn) executed learned that Capricorn tead issue equity rather	t three s tives. n's board than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	range of \$25 or \$26 per shad a. As I've in knowledge of that discussionard. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're	hare? Indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this	the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management pro discussions with the tar Ultimately, managemer determined it would insi pursue a transaction wi	And we're going abountes, at that section. It byided an overview of its get[] (Capricorn) executed learned that Capricorn tead issue equity rather that third party at this time.	t three s tives. n's board than me.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	range of \$25 or \$26 per shade. A. As I've in the knowledge of that discussions to board. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both TransCanada has both TransCanada has both TransCanada has agreed litigation.	hare? Indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this e telling me that this is ansCanada and you, as p	the laintiff,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management pro discussions with the tar Ultimately, managemer determined it would insi pursue a transaction wi Management relayed its	And we're going about tutes, at that section. It ovided an overview of its get[] (Capricorn) execu- nt learned that Capricorn tead issue equity rather th a third party at this till s view that [the] board r	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	range of \$25 or \$26 per shad a. As I've in knowledge of that discussionard. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact	nare? Indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this Instantation that this is a necanada and you, as puts, and that this is one of	the laintiff,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management prodiscussions with the tar Ultimately, management determined it would instructed a transaction with Management relayed its to re-engage with Transaction.	And we're going abountes, at that section. It by	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	range of \$25 or \$26 per shad. A. As I've in the knowledge of that discussions and. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no reason.	nare? Indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this I telling me that this is ansCanada and you, as puts, and that this is one con to dispute it.	the laintiff,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management prodiscussions with the tar Ultimately, management determined it would instructed a transaction with Management relayed its to re-engage with Transthat TransCanada had	And we're going about the point and we're going about the point an overview of its get[] (Capricorn) execut learned that Capricorn tead issue equity rather tha third party at this till is view that [the] board recanded in 2016 and its signed a non-disclosure.	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	range of \$25 or \$26 per shade. A. As I've in the knowledge of that discussions to board. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no rease Q. Okay. A	hare? Indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this It to that fact in this ans Canada and you, as puts, and that this is one con to dispute it.	the laintiff,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management prodiscussions with the tar Ultimately, management determined it would instructed a transaction with Management relayed its to re-engage with Transthat TransCanada had standstill agreement to	And we're going about the point and we're going about the point an overview of its get[] (Capricorn) execurate learned that Capricorn tead issue equity rather that hird party at this times view that [the] board recanada in 2016 and its signed a non-disclosure allow it to initially engages.	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and ge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	range of \$25 or \$26 per shad a. As I've in knowledge of that discussionard. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no reason Q. Okay. A number 26 is in the range.	nare? indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this e telling me that this is ansCanada and you, as p ts, and that this is one con to dispute it. and we can agree that the of 25 to 26. Correct?	the laintiff,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management prodiscussions with the tar Ultimately, management determined it would instructed a transaction with Management relayed its to re-engage with Transthat TransCanada had standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under weet and standstill agreement with Capricorn, under weet and standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under weet agreement with the capricorn, under weet agreement to the standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under weet agreement to with Capricorn, under weet agreement to the standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under weet agreement to the standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under weet agreement to the standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under weet agreement to the standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under weet agreement to the standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under weet agreement to the standstill agreement to with th	And we're going about the point and we're going about the point an overview of its get[] (Capricorn) execurate learned that Capricorn tead issue equity rather that hird party at this times view that [the] board recanada in 2016 and its signed a non-disclosure allow it to initially engages.	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and ge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	range of \$25 or \$26 per shad. A. As I've in the knowledge of that discussions and. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no reason. Q. Okay. A number 26 is in the range. A. It's included.	hare? Indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this It telling me that this is an sCanada and you, as poor to dispute it. Indicated we can agree that the of 25 to 26. Correct? Indicated in that range, yes.	the laintiff,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management prodiscussions with the tar Ultimately, management determined it would institute a transaction with Management relayed its to re-engage with Transthat TransCanada had standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under with months."	And we're going about the provided an overview of its get[] (Capricorn) executed learned that Capricorn tead issue equity rather that third party at this till so view that [the] board recanded in 2016 and it signed a non-disclosure allow it to initially engaginal to the standstill surviving the standstilles surviving th	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and ge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	range of \$25 or \$26 per shad. A. As I've in the knowledge of that discussion board. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no reason Q. Okay. A number 26 is in the range A. It's incluing. Changing.	hare? Indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this It telling me that this is an sCanada and you, as puts, and that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that range, yes. In general section of the secti	the laintiff,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management prodiscussions with the tar Ultimately, management determined it would instructed a transaction with Management relayed its to re-engage with Transthat TransCanada had standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under with months."	And we're going about the provided an overview of its reget[] (Capricorn) executed learned that Capricorn the ad issue equity rather that third party at this times view that [the] board rescanada in 2016 and it signed a non-disclosure allow it to initially engagorhich the standstill survited.	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and ge
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	range of \$25 or \$26 per shade. A. As I've is knowledge of that discussionard. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no rease Q. Okay. A number 26 is in the range A. It's inclu Q. Changin Johnston, she's TransCan	indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this etelling me that this is ansCanada and you, as p ts, and that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated, I just have no still graph that this is and that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that range, yes.	the laintiff,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management pro discussions with the tar Ultimately, managemer determined it would inse pursue a transaction wi Management relayed its to re-engage with Trans that TransCanada had standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under w months." Did I i A. Yes, y	And we're going about the point of the point	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and ge ves 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	range of \$25 or \$26 per shad. A. As I've in knowledge of that discussionard. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no reason Q. Okay. A number 26 is in the range A. It's incluing Q. Changin Johnston, she's TransCanand corporate secretary.	indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this e telling me that this is an sCanada and you, as p ts, and that this is one con to dispute it. And we can agree that the of 25 to 26. Correct? Buded in that range, yes. It is gears a bit, Christine hada's vice president of lat Correct, sir?	the laintiff,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management pro discussions with the tar Ultimately, managemer determined it would insi pursue a transaction wi Management relayed its to re-engage with Trans that TransCanada had standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under w months." Did I i A. Yes, y Q. And y	And we're going about the point and an overview of its point an overview of its get[] (Capricorn) execut the learned that Capricorn tead issue equity rather that third party at this till a third party at this till so view that [the] board recanada in 2016 and it signed a non-disclosure allow it to initially engage which the standstill surviveread that correctly, sir?	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and ge ves 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	range of \$25 or \$26 per shad. A. As I've in knowledge of that discussionard. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no reason Q. Okay. A number 26 is in the range A. It's incluing Q. Changin Johnston, she's TransCanand corporate secretary. A. Correct.	indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this etelling me that this is an sCanada and you, as puts, and that this is one of the conto dispute it. And we can agree that the of 25 to 26. Correct? Suded in that range, yes. It is gears a bit, Christine hada's vice president of law Correct, sir?	the laintiff, of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board minsays, "Management prodiscussions with the tar Ultimately, management determined it would institute a transaction with Management relayed it to re-engage with Transthat TransCanada had standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under with Capricorn	And we're going about outes, at that section. It by ided an overview of its get[] (Capricorn) execut learned that Capricorn tead issue equity rather that hird party at this tiles view that [the] board its canada in 2016 and it signed a non-disclosure allow it to initially engage which the standstill surviveread that correctly, sir? you did.	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and ge ves 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	range of \$25 or \$26 per shade. A. As I've in knowledge of that discussionard. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no rease Q. Okay. Anumber 26 is in the range A. It's incluing. Changing Johnston, she's TransCanand corporate secretary. A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. Correct.	indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this etelling me that this is an sCanada and you, as pts, and that this is one con to dispute it. And we can agree that the of 25 to 26. Correct? Suded in that range, yes. and gears a bit, Christine hada's vice president of law Correct, sir?	the laintiff, of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management prodiscussions with the tar Ultimately, management determined it would instructed a transaction with Management relayed its to re-engage with Transthat TransCanada had standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under with Capric	And we're going about outes, at that section. It by ided an overview of its reget[] (Capricorn) execurat learned that Capricorn tead issue equity rather that hird party at this times view that [the] board rescanada in 2016 and it signed a non-disclosure allow it to initially engage which the standstill surviveread that correctly, sir? you did.	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and ge ves 12 curacy of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	range of \$25 or \$26 per shad. A. As I've in knowledge of that discussionard. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no rease. Q. Okay. A. It's inclue. Q. Changin. Johnston, she's TransCanand corporate secretary. A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. Prepared minutes of the more secretary.	indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this etelling me that this is an sCanada and you, as pts, and that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated, I just have no still graph that this is an sCanada and you, as pts, and that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that this is an indicated in that range, yes.	the laintiff, of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management prodiscussions with the tar Ultimately, management determined it would institute to re-engage with Transthat TransCanada had standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under with Capri	And we're going about the policy and an overview of its policy and an overview of its get[] (Capricorn) execurated issue equity rather that hird party at this times view that [the] board rescanada in 2016 and itsigned a non-disclosure allow it to initially engage which the standstill surviveread that correctly, sir? you did.	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and ge ves 12 curacy of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	range of \$25 or \$26 per shad. A. As I've in knowledge of that discussionard. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no rease Q. Okay. A number 26 is in the range A. It's incluing. Changin Johnston, she's TransCanand corporate secretary. A. Correct. Q. Okay. A prepared minutes of the method of directors. Correct.	indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to But you don't dispute that to that fact in this etelling me that this is an sCanada and you, as pts, and that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated, I just have no still graph that this is an sCanada and you, as pts, and that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that this is one con to dispute it. Indicated in that this is an indicated in that range, yes.	the laintiff, of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management pro discussions with the tar Ultimately, managemen determined it would insi pursue a transaction wi Management relayed its to re-engage with Trans that TransCanada had standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under w months." Did I i A. Yes, y Q. And y these board minutes. Q A. Nope Q. And s the December 2nd and	And we're going about the point of the point	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and ge ves 12 curacy of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	range of \$25 or \$26 per shad. A. As I've in knowledge of that discussionard. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no rease Q. Okay. A number 26 is in the range A. It's incluing. Changing Johnston, she's TransCanand corporate secretary. A. Correct. Q. Okay. A prepared minutes of the moderal of directors. Correct. A. Yes.	indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to sut you don't dispute that to that fact in this etelling me that this is ansCanada and you, as puts, and that this is one con to dispute it. And we can agree that the of 25 to 26. Correct? Juded in that range, yes. It is gears a bit, Christine hada's vice president of law Correct, sir? Juded Ms. Johnston took and heetings of TransCanada's sit?	the laintiff, of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board minsays, "Management prodiscussions with the tar Ultimately, management determined it would institute a transaction with Management relayed it to re-engage with Transthat TransCanada had standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under with Capricorn	And we're going about the policy and an overview of its get[] (Capricorn) executed learned that Capricorn the at hird party at this times view that [the] board its general and it signed a non-disclosure allow it to initially engage which the standstill survivered that correctly, sir? you did. You don't dispute the accorrect, sir? So it's fair to say that dure and gement informed	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and ge ves 12 curacy of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	range of \$25 or \$26 per shad. A. As I've in knowledge of that discussionard. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no rease. Q. Okay. A. It's incluing. Q. Changing. Johnston, she's TransCanal and corporate secretary. A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. Correct. Q. Okay. A. Prepared minutes of the modern of directors. Correct. A. Yes. Q. Okay. A. Yes.	indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to sut you don't dispute that to that fact in this etelling me that this is an sCanada and you, as pts, and that this is one con to dispute it. And we can agree that the of 25 to 26. Correct? Suded in that range, yes. and gears a bit, Christine hada's vice president of late Correct, sir? And Ms. Johnston took and neetings of TransCanada's cit?	the laintiff, w	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board min says, "Management prodiscussions with the tar Ultimately, management determined it would institute a transaction with Management relayed its to re-engage with Transthat TransCanada had standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under with Caprico	And we're going about outes, at that section. It by ided an overview of its reget[] (Capricorn) execurat learned that Capricorn tead issue equity rather that hird party at this times view that [the] board rescanada in 2016 and it signed a non-disclosure allow it to initially engage which the standstill surviveread that correctly, sir? you did. You don't dispute the accorrect, sir? So it's fair to say that dure 3rd, 2015, TransCanada management informed signed a nondisclosure	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and ge ves 12 curacy of ting da board the board and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	range of \$25 or \$26 per shad. A. As I've in knowledge of that discussionard. Q. Okay. B. TransCanada has agreed litigation. Right, sir? A. If you're document that both Transhave agreed to as to fact the facts, I have no rease Q. Okay. A number 26 is in the range A. It's incluing. Changing Johnston, she's TransCanand corporate secretary. A. Correct. Q. Okay. A prepared minutes of the moderal of directors. Correct. A. Yes.	indicated, I just have no ssion being reported to sut you don't dispute that to that fact in this etelling me that this is an sCanada and you, as pts, and that this is one con to dispute it. And we can agree that the of 25 to 26. Correct? Suded in that range, yes. and gears a bit, Christine hada's vice president of late Correct, sir? And Ms. Johnston took and neetings of TransCanada's cit?	the laintiff, w	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. I do. Q. Okay. lines into the board minsays, "Management prodiscussions with the tar Ultimately, management determined it would institute a transaction with Management relayed it to re-engage with Transthat TransCanada had standstill agreement to with Capricorn, under with Capricorn	And we're going about outes, at that section. It by ided an overview of its reget[] (Capricorn) execurat learned that Capricorn tead issue equity rather that hird party at this times view that [the] board rescanada in 2016 and it signed a non-disclosure allow it to initially engage which the standstill surviveread that correctly, sir? you did. You don't dispute the accorrect, sir? So it's fair to say that dure 3rd, 2015, TransCanada management informed signed a nondisclosure	t three s tives. n's board than me. may wish was noted e and ge ves 12 curacy of ting da board the board and

S. Vanaselja - Cross S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 472 Page 474 1 engage with Columbia, under which the standstill 1 Question: Did you see anything in it survives 12 months. Correct? 2 2 that you thought was not correct? 3 A. Correct. 3 Answer: If you don't mind, I'll take 4 Q. Okay. And it's true that other than 4 a minute to read it again, and then I can answer your 5 what is stated in these board minutes, you do not have 5 question. 6 a specific recollection of being briefed on the terms 6 I don't see -- I don't see anything of the standstill provision and the nondisclosure 7 that I think is incorrect in this document. 7 agreement between Columbia and TransCanada? 8 8 (End of video clip.) 9 A. Correct. I do not recall this. 9 Were you asked that question and Q. So, again, I'm a timeline person. The provided the opportunity to review the document and 10 10 11 Court knows that. So do my friends, your counsel. 11 provided that answer at your deposition, sir? I'm moving to the March 2016 time period, which you 12 Sorry. Could you repeat the question? 12 Q. 13 discussed on your direct. 13 Were you asked that question, and did 14 And we can go through the board 14 you provide that answer at your deposition, sir? minutes again. But it's fair to say that on 15 A. Apparently I did, yes. 15 March 9th, 2016, the TransCanada board authorized 16 16 Q. Okay. So I want to look at the notes management to make a counteroffer to Capricorn at \$26 17 of Ms. Johnston, which you reviewed at your 17 per share, but which would include TransCanada common deposition, which is JTX 0913. And I want to move to 18 18 shares as a portion, 10 percent of the consideration. page 3 of Ms. Johnston's notes. And you'll see about 19 19 20 Right? 20 midway through the page, there's a note that says, 21 A. Yes. "Directionally - don't walk from this deal - try to 21 22 Q. And I want to talk a little bit about 22 get financing organized." those deliberations from the March 9th, 2016, board 23 23 Do you see that? meeting. So if you could turn to JTX 0913, which is a 24 A. I do. 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS S. Vanaselja - Cross S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 473 Page 475 document I believe you saw on your direct but you 1 Q. And you have no basis to dispute the 2 accuracy of that note. Right? 2 definitely saw at your deposition. And these are the 3 A. I think it's consistent with my March 9th, 2016, notes of the board meeting taken by 3 Chris Johnston. 4 earlier testimony that we wanted to see a successful 4 5 Do you have it in front of you? 5 transaction concluded, and we believed the other side A. 6 did as well. 6 I do. sir. 7 0 Okay. And Mr. Varallo went over this 7 Q. Right. I think you'll agree with what 8 in depth with you during your deposition. My question 8 my next question is. There was consensus on the 9 is very simple to you, sir. It's fair to say that you 9 TransCanada board at the March 9th, 2016, meeting that 10 do not see anything in Ms. Johnston's notes from the 10 TransCanada should continue to try to make the 11 March 9, 2016, board meeting that you think is 11 acquisition work with Columbia. Right? incorrect. Correct? 12 12 A. 13 A. I -- without reading these notes, I 13 Q. Now, I want to draw your attention a can't comment on that. little further down in these notes. It says, "EA 14 14 15 0 I'm happy to --15 expired yesterday." It was not my practice or the practice 16 And it's true that the exclusivity 16 17 of any directors to read Chris Johnston's notes. We 17 agreement with Columbia had expired on March 8th, would have read draft minutes and ultimately approved 2016. Correct? 18 18 minutes. 19 19 A. I don't know that as a fact. Q. 20 ATTORNEY ORRICO: Just blow up the PTO 20 Okay. Well, I will refresh your 21 recollection with your deposition. It's page 62, 21 real quick, Joe. It's paragraph 368. lines 10 through 16. It's clip SV 10, which shows you 22 22 O It's on your screen, Mr. Vanaselja. 23 reviewed the notes. It says, "On March 8, 2016, the 23 24 (A video clip was played as follows:) exclusivity period under the Exclusivity Agreement, as

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

	S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 476	S. Vanaselja - Cross
1	extended on March 2, 2016, expired."	1 (A video clip was played as follows:)
2	Do you see that, sir?	2 Question: Next sentence reads:
3	A. I see that.	3 "Impact on each party's share price." Obviously, if
4	Q. Do you have any basis to dispute that	4 there's a leak about negotiations, one could one
5	the exclusivity agreement had expired by the	5 would expect an impact on share price.
6	March 9th, 2016, TransCanada board meeting?	6 Do you recall a discussion around what
7	A. I have no reason not to believe this	7 impact on share price of the parties to the deal might
8	statement, if it's a statement of fact.	8 be as a result of a leak?
9	Q. So it's fair to say that TransCanada	9 Answer: No, other than potentially,
10	was no longer in exclusivity with Columbia when the	10 you know, the reality that a leak of this nature would
11	TransCanada board authorized management on March 9,	11 cause Columbia's share price to go up in the
12	2016, to make an offer to Columbia at \$26 per share of	12 marketplace and, you know, could potentially have a
13	mixed stock and cash consideration. Right?	13 negative impact on TC Energy's share price.
14	A. It appears that way.	14 (End of video clip.)
15	Q. Okay. And, again, staying in the	15 Q. Were you asked that question and
16	notes on JTX 0913, after it says "EA expired	16 provided that answer at your deposition, sir?
17	yesterday," there's notes stating "Interloper risk is	17 A. I did. I was and I did, yes.
18	low. Could change in a few months.	18 Q. So I want to stay on the notes at 913.
19	"Spoke to potential media leak.	19 At the bottom, there is a note, "BJ." And Barry
20	Impact on each parties share price."	20 Jackson, he was the chair of TransCanada at the time.
21	Do you see that?	21 Am I right, sir?
22	A. I do.	22 A. Correct.
23	Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the	23 Q. It says, "BJ - if management
24	board learned during the March 9th board meeting that	24 recommendation is not to do this deal at []26 then
27	1000	
	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS
	S. Vanaselja - Cross	S. Vanaselja - Cross
1	S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 477 there may be a media leak of deal discussions between	S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 479 the board will hear that recommendation."
1 2	Page 477	Page 479
	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between	1 the board will hear that recommendation."
2	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right?	1 the board will hear that recommendation." 2 Do you see that?
2	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes.	1 the board will hear that recommendation." 2 Do you see that? 3 A. Yes.
2 3 4	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the	1 the board will hear that recommendation." 2 Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And it's true. TransCanada management
2 3 4	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential	1 the board will hear that recommendation." 2 Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And it's true. TransCanada management 5 did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016,
2 3 4 5 6	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management	1 the board will hear that recommendation." 2 Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And it's true. TransCanada management 5 did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, 6 meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed
2 3 4 5 6 7	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer	1 the board will hear that recommendation." 2 Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And it's true. TransCanada management 5 did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, 6 meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed 7 consideration. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct?	1 the board will hear that recommendation." 2 Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And it's true. TransCanada management 5 did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, 6 meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed 7 consideration. Correct? 8 A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made?	1 the board will hear that recommendation." 2 Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And it's true. TransCanada management 5 did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, 6 meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed 7 consideration. Correct? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you also — it's also true that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made? Q. On March 9, 2016.	the board will hear that recommendation." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And it's true. TransCanada management did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed consideration. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And you also — it's also true that you do not recall anyone at TransCanada conditioning
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made? Q. On March 9, 2016. A. Okay.	1 the board will hear that recommendation." 2 Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And it's true. TransCanada management 5 did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, 6 meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed 7 consideration. Correct? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you also — it's also true that 10 you do not recall anyone at TransCanada conditioning 11 the bid for \$26 per share of mixed consideration
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made? Q. On March 9, 2016. A. Okay. Q. So it's fair to say that the board	1 the board will hear that recommendation." 2 Do you see that? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And it's true. TransCanada management 5 did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, 6 meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed 7 consideration. Correct? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you also — it's also true that 10 you do not recall anyone at TransCanada conditioning 11 the bid for \$26 per share of mixed consideration 12 expressly on the renewal of exclusivity with Columbia.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made? Q. On March 9, 2016. A. Okay. Q. So it's fair to say that the board became aware of a potential leak when it authorized	the board will hear that recommendation." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And it's true. TransCanada management did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed consideration. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And you also — it's also true that you do not recall anyone at TransCanada conditioning the bid for \$26 per share of mixed consideration 2 expressly on the renewal of exclusivity with Columbia. Right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made? Q. On March 9, 2016. A. Okay. Q. So it's fair to say that the board became aware of a potential leak when it authorized management to make that offer?	the board will hear that recommendation." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And it's true. TransCanada management did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed consideration. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And you also — it's also true that you do not recall anyone at TransCanada conditioning the bid for \$26 per share of mixed consideration expressly on the renewal of exclusivity with Columbia. Right? A. I don't recall a discussion around
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made? Q. On March 9, 2016. A. Okay. Q. So it's fair to say that the board became aware of a potential leak when it authorized management to make that offer? A. I believe so.	the board will hear that recommendation." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And it's true. TransCanada management did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed consideration. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And you also it's also true that you do not recall anyone at TransCanada conditioning the bid for \$26 per share of mixed consideration expressly on the renewal of exclusivity with Columbia. Right? A. I don't recall a discussion around exclusivity at all.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made? Q. On March 9, 2016. A. Okay. Q. So it's fair to say that the board became aware of a potential leak when it authorized management to make that offer? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the	the board will hear that recommendation." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And it's true. TransCanada management did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed consideration. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And you also — it's also true that you do not recall anyone at TransCanada conditioning the bid for \$26 per share of mixed consideration Expressly on the renewal of exclusivity with Columbia. Right? A. I don't recall a discussion around exclusivity at all. Q. Okay. Again timeline, okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made? Q. On March 9, 2016. A. Okay. Q. So it's fair to say that the board became aware of a potential leak when it authorized management to make that offer? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the media leak would likely cost Columbia's share price to	the board will hear that recommendation." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And it's true. TransCanada management did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed consideration. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And you also — it's also true that you do not recall anyone at TransCanada conditioning the bid for \$26 per share of mixed consideration Expressly on the renewal of exclusivity with Columbia. Right? A. I don't recall a discussion around exclusivity at all. Q. Okay. Again timeline, okay? So I'll represent to you that after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made? Q. On March 9, 2016. A. Okay. Q. So it's fair to say that the board became aware of a potential leak when it authorized management to make that offer? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the media leak would likely cost Columbia's share price to go up and have a negative impact on TransCanada's	the board will hear that recommendation." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And it's true. TransCanada management did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed consideration. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And you also — it's also true that you do not recall anyone at TransCanada conditioning the bid for \$26 per share of mixed consideration expressly on the renewal of exclusivity with Columbia. Right? A. I don't recall a discussion around exclusivity at all. Q. Okay. Again timeline, okay? So I'll represent to you that after this March 9, 2016, board meeting, the message was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made? Q. On March 9, 2016. A. Okay. Q. So it's fair to say that the board became aware of a potential leak when it authorized management to make that offer? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the media leak would likely cost Columbia's share price to go up and have a negative impact on TransCanada's share price. Correct?	the board will hear that recommendation." Do you see that? A. Yes. A. Yes. And it's true. TransCanada management did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed consideration. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And you also it's also true that you do not recall anyone at TransCanada conditioning the bid for \$26 per share of mixed consideration expressly on the renewal of exclusivity with Columbia. Right? A. I don't recall a discussion around exclusivity at all. Q. Okay. Again timeline, okay? So I'll represent to you that after this March 9, 2016, board meeting, the message was delivered to Columbia that TransCanada would offer to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made? Q. On March 9, 2016. A. Okay. Q. So it's fair to say that the board became aware of a potential leak when it authorized management to make that offer? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the media leak would likely cost Columbia's share price to go up and have a negative impact on TransCanada's share price. Correct? A. I don't necessarily agree with that,	the board will hear that recommendation." Do you see that? A. Yes. And it's true. TransCanada management did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed consideration. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And you also — it's also true that you do not recall anyone at TransCanada conditioning the bid for \$26 per share of mixed consideration expressly on the renewal of exclusivity with Columbia. Right? A. I don't recall a discussion around exclusivity at all. Q. Okay. Again timeline, okay? So I'll represent to you that after this March 9, 2016, board meeting, the message was delivered to Columbia that TransCanada would offer to pursue a deal at \$26 per share. And then the next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made? Q. On March 9, 2016. A. Okay. Q. So it's fair to say that the board became aware of a potential leak when it authorized management to make that offer? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the media leak would likely cost Columbia's share price to go up and have a negative impact on TransCanada's share price. Correct? A. I don't necessarily agree with that, no.	the board will hear that recommendation." Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. And it's true. TransCanada management did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed consideration. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And you also — it's also true that you do not recall anyone at TransCanada conditioning the bid for \$26 per share of mixed consideration expressly on the renewal of exclusivity with Columbia. Right? A. I don't recall a discussion around exclusivity at all. Q. Okay. Again timeline, okay? So I'll represent to you that after this March 9, 2016, board meeting, the message was delivered to Columbia that TransCanada would offer to pursue a deal at \$26 per share. And then the next morning, on March 10th, 2016, the Wall Street Journal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	there may be a media leak of deal discussions between TransCanada and Columbia. Right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the TransCanada board became informed of the potential media leak of the deal before it authorized management to make that \$26 per share mixed consideration offer on March 9, 2016. Correct? A. When was the \$26 offer made? Q. On March 9, 2016. A. Okay. Q. So it's fair to say that the board became aware of a potential leak when it authorized management to make that offer? A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that the media leak would likely cost Columbia's share price to go up and have a negative impact on TransCanada's share price. Correct? A. I don't necessarily agree with that, no. Q. Okay. So we're going to look at your	the board will hear that recommendation." Do you see that? A. Yes. And it's true. TransCanada management did not voice opposition at the March 9th, 2016, meeting to making the offer for \$26 per share of mixed consideration. Correct? A. Yes. Q. And you also — it's also true that you do not recall anyone at TransCanada conditioning the bid for \$26 per share of mixed consideration expressly on the renewal of exclusivity with Columbia. Right? A. I don't recall a discussion around exclusivity at all. Q. Okay. Again timeline, okay? So I'll represent to you that after this March 9, 2016, board meeting, the message was delivered to Columbia that TransCanada would offer to pursue a deal at \$26 per share. And then the next morning, on March 10th, 2016, the Wall Street Journal published an article leaking the deal.

S. Vanaselja - Cross S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 480 Page 482 1 And Wells Fargo was the financial 1 But I think, you know, there are many transactions conducted in the public marketplace where 2 advisor for TransCanada in this transaction. Correct, 2 3 sir? 3 the shares of both the acquirer and the acquired 4 A. Correct. 4 company are -- go up on announcement. I'm involved 5 Q. Okay. And I know you're not on this 5 with Power Corporation, for example, I testified 6 email. But if you look in the middle, there's an 6 earlier. Power Corporation acquired Power Financial. email from Eric Fornell, who the Court has heard some 7 7 And on announcement, there was a significant increase testimony from. And he was the lead banker for 8 in both shares. 8 TransCanada. I'll represent to you that he sent this 9 We -- when we were conducting this 9 email after the leak. And he states, "That was an 10 transaction, the reason we put in that -- I've 10 11 accurate statement. explained the reason we put in the condition of \$49. 12 "They think they now have an But we were hoping that there would -- you know, that there would be a minimal, if any, impact on the shares 13 opportunity to hear what their investors think about 13 14 14 this. The Capricorn board is freaking out and told of TransCanada. 15 the management team to get a deal done with 'whatever 15 THE COURT: Let me give you some it takes' .. Oddly, the Capricorn team has relayed 16 16 quidance. this info to Taurus." 17 When you are on direct, your counsel 17 18 Do you see that? is supposed to ask open-ended questions, and you're 18 A. I do. supposed to give that type of explanatory answer. You 19 19 20 Q. And then above, his colleague, 20 are allowed to go on and give that type of full Mr. Kipp, responds, "Turmoil provides opportunity. 21 21 discussion. 22 Taurus would appear to be well positioned." 22 On cross, counsel's entitled to ask 23 targeted questions and get direct answers. So none of And Mr. Fornell responds, "Yes." 23 24 And I have a very simple question. that was responsive to his question. He asked a very CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS S. Vanaselja - Cross S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 481 Page 483 1 You do not dispute that TransCanada's financial targeted question, which was simply: Did TransCanada 2 2 advisor, including the lead banker, Mr. Fornell, management view the leak as an opportunity to exchanged these emails on March 10th, 2016, after the 3 renegotiate, or as an advantage? I don't remember 3 media leak of the deal discussions. Right? 4 exactly what he said. It's a yes-or-no question. 4 5 A. That's what I interpret here, yes. 5 So try to focus in on his questions 6 6 Q. and answer his questions. Cross is not the time to Okay. And it's true, Mr. Vanaselja, 7 that TransCanada's senior management team also viewed 7 give speeches. If you want to give speeches, your 8 the Wall Street Journal leak and Columbia's reaction 8 lawyer can ask you an open-ended question on direct, 9 to it as an opportunity for TransCanada to benefit. 9 and you can give that type of discussion. 10 10 Right? Let's reput the question. 11 I think, as I testified earlier, the 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. leak was informative to both parties in the 12 12 I apologize. 13 transaction. And, also, I provided my view earlier 13 THE COURT: No worries. that I believed, you know, that after significant time 14 14 THE WITNESS: It's my first time in 15 invested in trying to conclude a transaction, you 15 court. know, over many months, we believe that there was an 16 THE COURT: No, no. I get it. And I 16 17 interest on both parties' sides to continue to will tell you, I've never been in Canadian court. So navigate a path to try to get this transaction 18 18 I don't know if they do cross in Canadian court the 19 concluded. 19 same way. I have no idea. I will also tell you that 20 When the Wall Street Journal happened, 20 this happens almost every trial. So there's nothing TransCanada's share price went down. I testified in 21 21 about your experience or your response that singles what you showed me earlier, I think I said I don't 22 22 you out in any way. 23 know what that means. And then I speculated that 23 So, anyway, let's rewind. TransCanada's share price could have gone down. 24 ATTORNEY ORRICO: Let's restart. 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

S. Vanaselja - Cross S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 484 Page 486 Thank you, Your Honor. And, Mr. Vanaselja, no offense 1 Mr. Pourbaix responds on March 9th, 1 2 here either. Yeah, let's just start over. 2 "We may have killed Russ. I'm not sure he will make 3 THE WITNESS: I will respect the 3 it through this. I completely left him off the hook judge's advice. Thank you. 4 and he turned and bid that. Truly bizarre." 4 5 BY ATTORNEY ORRICO: 5 Did I read those correctly, those 6 0 The question was very simple. It's 6 texts? 7 A. 7 true that TransCanada's senior management team also Yes. viewed the Wall Street Journal leak and Columbia's 8 Q. Okay. And you do not dispute that on 8 reaction to it as an opportunity for TransCanada to 9 March 9th, 2016, Mr. Johannson, who was the president 9 benefit? of the natural gas pipelines business, texted 10 10 11 A And where am I supposed to conclude Mr. Pourbaix, "Wow. We went from killing it to a done 12 that? deal that fast." 13 Q. I'm asking you based off your 13 Right? 14 knowledge, yes or no? 14 A. Yes. Q. Insofar as it would be informative as 15 15 A. And it's true that on March 9, 2016, to the market reaction, yes. TransCanada made the \$26 per share mixed consideration 16 16 Basic questions right now. It's true offer. Right? 17 0 17 that Alex Pourbaix was the TransCanada chief operating 18 A. Sorry. On which date? 18 officer at the time in 2016. Right? O. March 9th. 19 19 20 A. Yes. 20 Δ Yes. Q. 21 Q. 21 And Karl Johansson was the president Okay. And let me ask you this, of TransCanada's natural gas pipelines at the time? 22 Mr. Vanaselja. It's true that after TransCanada made 22 23 A. Yes. that offer on March the 9th, Columbia didn't come back 23 24 Q. and say, no deal, we're not happy with 26. Right? They are members of the senior CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS S. Vanaselja - Cross S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 485 Page 487 management team at TransCanada? 1 A. 1 2 Q. 2 A. Yes. And it's true, I think we talked, or 3 Q. Okay. I want to show you 1779. I'm 3 your counsel talked about it on direct, that when TransCanada offered 25.25 per share earlier in March, sorry, it's -- yes, 1779. Okay, sir. These are a 4 4 series of text messages that were sent between 5 Columbia said, no deal, we're walking away. Right? 5 Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson on March 9th and 10th, 6 I thought after we offered 25.25, they 6 7 2016. 7 came back and said their board may be receptive to 8 8 \$26. Have you seen these before today? 9 A. I have not 9 Q. Okay. They came back with feedback Okay. So I just want to take a look 10 Q. 10 saying we're not accepting 25.25. Right? at them. And we're going to start from the top to the 11 A. 11 12 bottom, okay? 12 Q. You didn't get that feedback after 13 So the first text is from Mr. Pourbaix 13 TransCanada offered 26. Right? 14 The feedback that they don't like 14 to Mr. Johannson on March 9: It says, "Russ is going A 15 to kill this." 15 25.25? 16 Continues, "Did you hear. Francois 16 No. The feedback -- sir, listen 17 spoke to the CFO and they are thinking about the 10% carefully to my question. Did Columbia come back after TransCanada offered 26 and say, we're not happy equity. They might just do it." 18 19 Mr. Johannson responds, "Yeah ... I 19 with 26? just talked to Francois and he is confident they will 20 A. 20 No. 21 do it. They have called a board meeting for tomorrow 21 Q. Okay. Now, let's see what else 22 Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson from TransCanada have 22 morning. Wow. We went from killing it to a done deal that fast. We will not let you down. We will make it 23 23 to say.

I'm going down to the fifth text

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

24

work and get the synergies."

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

24

	O Managalla Cana	O Managarilla o Occasi
	S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 488	S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 490
1	messages. These are from, now, March 10th.	1 Q. And that was from March the 11th.
2	Mr. Johannson writes, "Are you in today? How is Russ	2 Correct?
3	doing with the offer."	3 A. I don't recall.
4	Pourbaix responds, "Just landed in	4 Q. I will show you.
5	Toronto. We had a deal as offered. But now it is	5 A. I will take your word for it.
6	all" I won't read that "with the leak that	6 Q. I actually want to show you. It's a
7	we are in discussions. What a cluster [problem]."	7 demonstrative we made, so it's a little more readable.
8	Do you see that?	8 It X-6, Joe.
9	A. Mm-hmm.	9 It's going to be on your screen, too,
10	Q. Mr. Johannson responds, "It is. What	10 sir. And you will see, Mr. Vanaselja, on March 11th,
11	a disappointment."	11 you text Russ Girling, the CEO of TransCanada, "I
12	Pourbaix then states, "Russ just got	12 think the leak may be the best development for us!"
13	off the phone with the CEO. They really want to do	Do you see that?
14	the deal still which makes sense. This is more their	14 A. I do.
15	problem then our problems."	15 Q. Okay. And you wrote that text.
16	Continuing, "He actually had come full	16 Right?
17	circle to wanting to do it. We need to see where this	17 A. I testified that I don't recall
18	shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp to go	18 writing it, but this is a clear indication that I did.
19	back to Capricorn with a lower price."	19 Q. Okay. And it's fair to say that by
20	Mr. Johannson responds, "I agree.	20 March 11th, when you wrote this text, the market had
21	Maybe we will benefit through this. It is nice to see	21 moved in response to the leak. Right?
22	Russ was on board. I was getting worried."	22 A. Correct.
23	Did I read those text messages	Q. Okay. And you wrote this text after
24	correctly?	24 the March 10th Wells Fargo email I showed you earlier.
	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS
	S. Vanaselja - Cross	S. Vanaselja - Cross
1	A. Yes.	1 Right?
2	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that	1 Right? 2 A. Yes.
	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the
2 3 4	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct?	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains
2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me.	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"?	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that?	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that? A. I do not.	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your 12 direct. You have no recollection of why you wrote
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that? A. I do not. Q. Okay. And you don't dispute that	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your 12 direct. You have no recollection of why you wrote 13 this text message or why what you were intending to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that? A. I do not. Q. Okay. And you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson responded to Mr. Pourbaix, "I agree,"	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your 12 direct. You have no recollection of why you wrote 13 this text message or why what you were intending to 14 convey in it. Correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that? A. I do not. Q. Okay. And you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson responded to Mr. Pourbaix, "I agree," and that "It [was] nice to see [that] Russ was on	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your 12 direct. You have no recollection of why you wrote 13 this text message or why what you were intending to 14 convey in it. Correct? 15 A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that? A. I do not. Q. Okay. And you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson responded to Mr. Pourbaix, "I agree," and that "It [was] nice to see [that] Russ was on board"? Any basis to dispute that?	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your 12 direct. You have no recollection of why you wrote 13 this text message or why what you were intending to 14 convey in it. Correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. So it's true, you don't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that? A. I do not. Q. Okay. And you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson responded to Mr. Pourbaix, "I agree," and that "It [was] nice to see [that] Russ was on board"? Any basis to dispute that? A. No.	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your 12 direct. You have no recollection of why you wrote 13 this text message or why what you were intending to 14 convey in it. Correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. So it's true, you don't know 17 one way or the other whether you wrote this text to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that? A. I do not. Q. Okay. And you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson responded to Mr. Pourbaix, "I agree," and that "It [was] nice to see [that] Russ was on board"? Any basis to dispute that? A. No. Q. And these text messages were sent the	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your 12 direct. You have no recollection of why you wrote 13 this text message or why what you were intending to 14 convey in it. Correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. So it's true, you don't know 17 one way or the other whether you wrote this text to 18 the CEO of TransCanada, because the leak and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that? A. I do not. Q. Okay. And you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson responded to Mr. Pourbaix, "I agree," and that "It [was] nice to see [that] Russ was on board"? Any basis to dispute that? A. No. Q. And these text messages were sent the same day as the Wells Fargo email I showed you a few	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your 12 direct. You have no recollection of why you wrote 13 this text message or why what you were intending to 14 convey in it. Correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. So it's true, you don't know 17 one way or the other whether you wrote this text to 18 the CEO of TransCanada, because the leak and 19 Columbia's reaction to the leak provided an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that? A. I do not. Q. Okay. And you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson responded to Mr. Pourbaix, "I agree," and that "It [was] nice to see [that] Russ was on board"? Any basis to dispute that? A. No. Q. And these text messages were sent the same day as the Wells Fargo email I showed you a few exhibits ago, correct, March 10th?	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your 12 direct. You have no recollection of why you wrote 13 this text message or why what you were intending to 14 convey in it. Correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. So it's true, you don't know 17 one way or the other whether you wrote this text to 18 the CEO of TransCanada, because the leak and 19 Columbia's reaction to the leak provided an 20 opportunity for TransCanada to renegotiate or lower
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that? A. I do not. Q. Okay. And you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson responded to Mr. Pourbaix, "I agree," and that "It [was] nice to see [that] Russ was on board"? Any basis to dispute that? A. No. Q. And these text messages were sent the same day as the Wells Fargo email I showed you a few exhibits ago, correct, March 10th? A. If that was dated March 10th, yes.	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your 12 direct. You have no recollection of why you wrote 13 this text message or why what you were intending to 14 convey in it. Correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. So it's true, you don't know 17 one way or the other whether you wrote this text to 18 the CEO of TransCanada, because the leak and 19 Columbia's reaction to the leak provided an 20 opportunity for TransCanada to renegotiate or lower 21 its bid. Right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that? A. I do not. Q. Okay. And you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson responded to Mr. Pourbaix, "I agree," and that "It [was] nice to see [that] Russ was on board"? Any basis to dispute that? A. No. Q. And these text messages were sent the same day as the Wells Fargo email I showed you a few exhibits ago, correct, March 10th? A. If that was dated March 10th, yes. Q. Yes. And well, you were shown a	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your 12 direct. You have no recollection of why you wrote 13 this text message or why what you were intending to 14 convey in it. Correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. So it's true, you don't know 17 one way or the other whether you wrote this text to 18 the CEO of TransCanada, because the leak and 19 Columbia's reaction to the leak provided an 20 opportunity for TransCanada to renegotiate or lower 21 its bid. Right? 22 A. I have I think I gave my view on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that? A. I do not. Q. Okay. And you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson responded to Mr. Pourbaix, "I agree," and that "It [was] nice to see [that] Russ was on board"? Any basis to dispute that? A. No. Q. And these text messages were sent the same day as the Wells Fargo email I showed you a few exhibits ago, correct, March 10th? A. If that was dated March 10th, yes. Q. Yes. And well, you were shown a text message that you sent on your direct. Correct?	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your 12 direct. You have no recollection of why you wrote 13 this text message or why what you were intending to 14 convey in it. Correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. So it's true, you don't know 17 one way or the other whether you wrote this text to 18 the CEO of TransCanada, because the leak and 19 Columbia's reaction to the leak provided an 20 opportunity for TransCanada to renegotiate or lower 21 its bid. Right? 22 A. I have I think I gave my view on 23 why I might have written this text. That was not one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. And, again, you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson and Mr. Pourbaix exchanged these text messages on March 10th, 2016. Correct? A. That's what you've indicated to me. Q. Right. And you do not dispute that Mr. Pourbaix texted Mr. Johannson, "We need to see where this shakes out. On the good side it may be an opp[ortunity] to go back to [Columbia] with a lower price"? Do you dispute that? A. I do not. Q. Okay. And you don't dispute that Mr. Johannson responded to Mr. Pourbaix, "I agree," and that "It [was] nice to see [that] Russ was on board"? Any basis to dispute that? A. No. Q. And these text messages were sent the same day as the Wells Fargo email I showed you a few exhibits ago, correct, March 10th? A. If that was dated March 10th, yes. Q. Yes. And well, you were shown a	1 Right? 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. And you wrote this text after the 4 March 9th and March 10th text chains 5 A. Yes. 6 Q between your senior management 7 team, Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Johannson, on March 9th and 8 10th. Correct? 9 A. March 11th is after March 9th and 10 10th. 11 Q. Okay. And you stated this on your 12 direct. You have no recollection of why you wrote 13 this text message or why what you were intending to 14 convey in it. Correct? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. So it's true, you don't know 17 one way or the other whether you wrote this text to 18 the CEO of TransCanada, because the leak and 19 Columbia's reaction to the leak provided an 20 opportunity for TransCanada to renegotiate or lower 21 its bid. Right? 22 A. I have I think I gave my view on

S. Vanaselja - Cross S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 492 Page 494 1 Q. You have no specific recollection one 1 A. I would ask -- I think Mr. Poirier should be the one that confirms that, but -- if this 2 way or the other. Right? 2 3 A. Well, I know how I think. And I'm --3 is a document prepared by Columbia. But I have no if -- if I had of thought -- I wrote this text because 4 reason to dispute it. 4 5 it somehow created on opportunity for us to have some 5 Q. And it's true that you don't recall, leverage to reduce the price at which the transaction 6 6 as a member of the board, receiving any legal advice is being conducted, I think I would have remembered 7 7 on or before March 14th, 2016, of whether it would be that. That would be a significant event. 8 appropriate or not to disclose the termination of 8 9 negotiations if Columbia did not accept the 25.50 in a 9 Okay. Well, the timing of the different communications are what they are. But 10 few days. Right? 10 11 there's a fact that you will agree with me on; that 11 As I've said, there's sort of transaction considerations for management, and then after the leak, TransCanada lowered its offer to 12 12 there's considerations for the board. 13 25.50, all cash. Right? 13 14 A. Yes. 14 Q. Right. So you don't --Q. Okay. And that was messaged to 15 A. To my recollection, this is not a 15 level of detail that the board would have gotten Columbia on March 14th, 2016. Correct? 16 16 A. I believe thereabouts, yes. 17 involved with. 17 Q. 18 Q. 18 Okay. And I want to just reorient us Now, I want to turn to the March 14th board minutes. It is JTX 1092. And you were there by 19 in time. Well, we'll just go to March 14th. Okay? 19 And it's true that Mr. Pourbaix -- you just looked at phone. These are the signed minutes. 20 20 21 21 his text messages -- and Mr. Poirier communicated to Do you see that? Columbia on March 14th, 2016, that if Columbia didn't 22 A. Yes. 22 accept the offer of 25.50 per share, TransCanada 23 Q. 23 Okay. And you have no basis to planned to issue a press release indicating its dispute the accuracy of these minutes. Right? 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS S. Vanaselja - Cross S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 493 Page 495 1 A. 1 acquisition discussions had been terminated. Right? Correct. 2 2 Q. A. My screen is blank right now, so I Okay. I want to go to the very don't know where you're referencing that from. 3 3 bottom. It says, "With the support and approval of Q. I'm just asking if you remember that. the Board, the Chief Executive Officer indicated that 4 4 5 A. I don't recall that. 5 he would engage in discussions with Capricorn's 6 Q. Okay. I will refresh your 6 management regarding an all-cash offer at US\$25.50 per 7 recollection. Let's go to JTX 1774. And, sir, just 7 common share." 8 so you know what this is, it's an excerpt from the 8 Do you see that, sir? 9 proxy statement. There's the cover. And we took a 9 A. 10 page out so it wasn't a huge thing. But in the middle 10 Now, I studied these minutes. And I of the page, there's an entry that says, on the 11 want to ask you a simple question. Do the minutes 11 afternoon of March 14, 2016, Mr. Pourbaix joined a state that the authorization for management to engage 12 12 13 call with Mr. Poirier and Mr. Kettering. And we're 13 in discussions regarding an all-cash 25.50 per share going down a few sentences, and it says that "if CPG 14 was a best and final offer? 14 15 were not to accept the offer, TransCanada planned to 15 No, but -- I mean, the reality is that issue a press release within the next few days after, you know, a significant period of back and 16 indicating its acquisition discussions had been forth, that's how we -- that's how the board viewed

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

and transpired at this board that are not in these

But the words "best and final" don't

I'm sure there's a lot that took place

But the 25.25, that was authorized as

it, and that's how management viewed it.

appear in these minutes. Right, sir?

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

Q.

Q.

minutes.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

terminated."

A.

Q.

March 14th, 2016. Right?

Do you see that?

that message was delivered by Mr. Pourbaix and Mr. Poirier to Mr. Kettering of Columbia on

I do see that, yes.

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

And you have no basis to dispute that

	S. Vanaselja - Cross	S. Vanaselja - Cross
1	a best and final price, was it not?	1 your deposition.
2	A. I don't recall.	2 ATTORNEY ORRICO: It's page 194 to
3	Q. Let's turn to 1775. I believe your	3 195. Clip 34, Joe.
4	counsel looked at this.	4 (A video clip was played as follows:)
5	ATTORNEY OLSEN: Your Honor, just one	5 Question: I have no doubt, sir, and I
6	quick objection to 1775. It's a 34-page excerpt of	6 would expect a respected company like yours to do a
7	Joint Exhibit 1244. So I would object to the excerpt	7 lot of analysis before you made a decision like that.
8	being added to evidence. I don't have a problem with	8 And I don't mean to minimize for a second, by focusing
9	counsel short-circuiting this in his cross-examination	9 only on one line, the depth of the research and
10	if he wants to refer to certain pages in that longer	10 analysis that you want to do. But I do want to leave
11	exhibit, as long as the witness can have the longer	11 this page with the understanding that management was
12	exhibit in case he needs it.	12 recommending to the board as of this point in time
13		13 that TransCanada could afford to increase its offer.
	ATTORNEY ORRICO: I will use yours,	BOARD BOARD CONTRACTOR AND
14	Michael. Not a problem.	14 That's fair, isn't it? 15 Answer: I I believe in this
15	Joe, let's pull up 1244.	
16	BY ATTORNEY ORRICO:	16 interloper analysis, the recommendation is that
17	Q. This is what you looked at,	17 TransCanada could afford to increase its offer. I
18	Mr. Vanaselja.	18 don't believe it was making a recommendation that if
19	A. This is JTX 1244?	19 an interloper offer came forward, that we would just
20	Q. It's not going to be in that binder,	20 move forward and match it.
21	sir, because I'm using TransCanada's. But it will be	21 (End of video clip.)
22	presented to you on the video. Okay?	22 BY ATTORNEY ORRICO:
23	A. Thank you.	23 Q. Were you asked that question and
24	 Q. All right. And so these are the board 	24 provided that answer at your deposition, sir?
	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS
	S. Vanaselja - Cross	S. Vanaselja - Cross
1	Page 497	S. Vanaselja - Cross Page 499 1 A. Yes.
1 2	materials that were provided to TransCanada in	1 A. Yes.
2	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no
2	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016
2 3 4	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember?	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this
2 3 4 5	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do.	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could
2 3 4 5 6	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation:	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation — to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns over valuation at 25.50. Combination analysis	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just 12 makes up stuff when they send it to you, as a board
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns over valuation at 25.50. Combination analysis supports a higher offer price."	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just 12 makes up stuff when they send it to you, as a board 13 member?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns over valuation at 25.50. Combination analysis supports a higher offer price." Did I read that correctly?	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation — to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just 12 makes up stuff when they send it to you, as a board 13 member? 14 A. No, of course not.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns over valuation at 25.50. Combination analysis supports a higher offer price." Did I read that correctly? A. You did.	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation — to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just 12 makes up stuff when they send it to you, as a board 13 member? 14 A. No, of course not. 15 Q. Okay. And I also just want to play
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns over valuation at 25.50. Combination analysis supports a higher offer price." Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. Okay. And it's true that management	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just 12 makes up stuff when they send it to you, as a board 13 member? 14 A. No, of course not. 15 Q. Okay. And I also just want to play 16 your deposition.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns over valuation at 25.50. Combination analysis supports a higher offer price." Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. Okay. And it's true that management was recommending in this interloper strategy	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation — to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just 12 makes up stuff when they send it to you, as a board 13 member? 14 A. No, of course not. 15 Q. Okay. And I also just want to play 16 your deposition. 17 ATTORNEY ORRICO: Let's look at page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns over valuation at 25.50. Combination analysis supports a higher offer price." Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. Okay. And it's true that management was recommending in this interloper strategy presentation that it provided to the board in	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation — to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just 12 makes up stuff when they send it to you, as a board 13 member? 14 A. No, of course not. 15 Q. Okay. And I also just want to play 16 your deposition. 17 ATTORNEY ORRICO: Let's look at page 18 195. And play 35, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns over valuation at 25.50. Combination analysis supports a higher offer price." Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. Okay. And it's true that management was recommending in this interloper strategy presentation that it provided to the board in April 2016 that TransCanada could afford to increase	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just 12 makes up stuff when they send it to you, as a board 13 member? 14 A. No, of course not. 15 Q. Okay. And I also just want to play 16 your deposition. 17 ATTORNEY ORRICO: Let's look at page 18 195. And play 35, please. 19 (A video clip was played as follows:)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns over valuation at 25.50. Combination analysis supports a higher offer price." Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. Okay. And it's true that management was recommending in this interloper strategy presentation that it provided to the board in April 2016 that TransCanada could afford to increase its offer. Right?	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation — to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just 12 makes up stuff when they send it to you, as a board 13 member? 14 A. No, of course not. 15 Q. Okay. And I also just want to play 16 your deposition. 17 ATTORNEY ORRICO: Let's look at page 18 195. And play 35, please. 19 (A video clip was played as follows:) 20 Question: Okay. That's fair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns over valuation at 25.50. Combination analysis supports a higher offer price." Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. Okay. And it's true that management was recommending in this interloper strategy presentation that it provided to the board in April 2016 that TransCanada could afford to increase its offer. Right? A. You said management recommended. I've	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just 12 makes up stuff when they send it to you, as a board 13 member? 14 A. No, of course not. 15 Q. Okay. And I also just want to play 16 your deposition. 17 ATTORNEY ORRICO: Let's look at page 18 195. And play 35, please. 19 (A video clip was played as follows:) 20 Question: Okay. That's fair. 21 At the board meeting at which these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns over valuation at 25.50. Combination analysis supports a higher offer price." Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. Okay. And it's true that management was recommending in this interloper strategy presentation that it provided to the board in April 2016 that TransCanada could afford to increase its offer. Right? A. You said management recommended. I've commented before that this is ill-drafted, and I don't	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just 12 makes up stuff when they send it to you, as a board 13 member? 14 A. No, of course not. 15 Q. Okay. And I also just want to play 16 your deposition. 17 ATTORNEY ORRICO: Let's look at page 18 195. And play 35, please. 19 (A video clip was played as follows:) 20 Question: Okay. That's fair. 21 At the board meeting at which these 22 materials were presented, did anyone voice an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns over valuation at 25.50. Combination analysis supports a higher offer price." Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. Okay. And it's true that management was recommending in this interloper strategy presentation that it provided to the board in April 2016 that TransCanada could afford to increase its offer. Right? A. You said management recommended. I've	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just 12 makes up stuff when they send it to you, as a board 13 member? 14 A. No, of course not. 15 Q. Okay. And I also just want to play 16 your deposition. 17 ATTORNEY ORRICO: Let's look at page 18 195. And play 35, please. 19 (A video clip was played as follows:) 20 Question: Okay. That's fair. 21 At the board meeting at which these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	materials that were provided to TransCanada in April 2016. And I want to draw your attention to page 242, where there's the interloper strategy. And you discussed this on your direct. Remember? A. I do. Q. Okay. And we're going to go to the next page, where there's an executive summary. And the fourth bullet point down says, "Recommendation: TransCanada can afford to increase its offer." Then there's some more bullet points. "Positive market reaction to [the] acquisition. No market concerns over valuation at 25.50. Combination analysis supports a higher offer price." Did I read that correctly? A. You did. Q. Okay. And it's true that management was recommending in this interloper strategy presentation that it provided to the board in April 2016 that TransCanada could afford to increase its offer. Right? A. You said management recommended. I've commented before that this is ill-drafted, and I don't	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Okay. Last question. You have no 3 recollection of anyone objecting in the April 2016 4 board meeting by this recommendation to this 5 recommendation by management that TransCanada could 6 afford to increase its offer. Right? 7 A. I've testified previously that this 8 was not an actionable item at the board. It was for 9 information purposes. And I don't recall the board 10 dialogue with regard to the document. 11 Q. Do you think your management team just 12 makes up stuff when they send it to you, as a board 13 member? 14 A. No, of course not. 15 Q. Okay. And I also just want to play 16 your deposition. 17 ATTORNEY ORRICO: Let's look at page 18 195. And play 35, please. 19 (A video clip was played as follows:) 20 Question: Okay. That's fair. 21 At the board meeting at which these 22 materials were presented, did anyone voice an

C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 500 Page 502 1 Answer: I don't recall specifically 1 resolutions that would come to the board before the meetings. In addition to that, amongst other things, this discussion at a board meeting. 2 2 3 (End of video clip.) 3 I'm also responsible for the public disclosure 4 ATTORNEY ORRICO: No further 4 requirements, as we're a large public company. questions, Your Honor. Thank you. 5 5 Q. Do you have experience with M&A 6 ATTORNEY OLSEN: Nothing from me, Your 6 transactions? 7 7 Honor. A. I do. THE COURT: Thank you for being here. 8 Q. Can you describe that experience for 8 9 me briefly, please. 9 I really appreciate your time. 10 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 10 A. During a good part of the course of my 11 (Witness excused.) career with TransCanada, I worked on a number of ATTORNEY OLSEN: Your Honor, we're acquisitions and dispositions, mostly private 12 companies. But did quite a few of those, and a 13 going to call Christine Johnston to the stand next, if 13 14 you could give me 30 seconds to say good-bye to the 14 smaller number of public companies. 15 Talking about the Columbia transaction 15 witness. CHRISTINE RAE JOHNSTON, having first in particular, did you rely on outside counsel for 16 16 been duly affirmed, was examined and testified as advice throughout the Columbia transaction? 17 17 18 I did. follows. A. 18 19 O. 19 ATTORNEY OLSEN: Your Honor, may I And who was that? 20 Δ Primarily, I worked with the lawyers 20 approach? 21 at Mayer Brown, partners Marc Sperber and Andrew DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 22 BY ATTORNEY OLSEN: 22 Noreuil, and also relied on our Canadian counsel for 23 Q. some matters, which is our -- usually our Canadian Good afternoon, Ms. Johnston. Could 23 you tell me a little bit about your educational corporate securities counsel, Blake Cassles. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 501 Page 503 What were your responsibilities at 1 background. 1 Q. 2 2 TransCanada in connection with the Columbia A. Yes. I graduated from law school after doing a four-year undergrad degree. Graduated 3 acquisition? 3 from law school in 1994. Articled for a year with the 4 I came in from the beginning. I A. 4 5 firm of Bennett Jones in Calgary, Canada. 5 worked on the initial agreement, which was the 6 nondisclosure agreement. Helped conduct due 6 Can you tell me a little bit about Q. 7 your work history. 7 diligence. Worked on negotiations of agreements. 8 A. While I was at Bennett Jones, I worked 8 Provided advice to our management team. And basically 9 in the corporate securities department. And after 9 worked with the deal team to get us to a transaction. 10 approximately three years at Bennett Jones, was 10 Q. You referenced the NDA. In the fall recruited to join what was then TransCanada, now TC 11 11 of 2015, did you negotiate an NDA with Columbia? 12 Energy Corporation, as a lawyer in their corporate 12 A. Yes, I did. 13 secretarial department. 13 Q. Who did the first draft of the NDA? 14 A. The first draft came over from 14 Q. How long have you been the corporate 15 secretary at TransCanada? 15 Columbia's general counsel. A. I've been corporate secretary for 16 Did you advise the members of the 16 17 approximately ten years. 17 TransCanada deal team with respect to their obligations under the NDA? 18 Q. What do you do in your role as 18 19 corporate secretary? 19 A. I did. I do a number of things. I facilitate 20 Q. 20 I'm showing you what's been marked as Joint Exhibit 299, which includes an email dated 21 and provide the governance around our board and 21 committees of the board meetings. That means I work November 6th, 2015, from you to Bob Smith, which 22 22 on the board agendas, I review draft materials, I provides your comments on the initial draft of the NDA 23 attend the meetings, take minutes. I also draft any that Columbia sent to TransCanada. 24

(47) Pages 500 - 503

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

		C. Johnston - Direct Page 504		C. Johnston - Direct
1		Do you recognize this email?	1	deal.
2	A.	l do.	2	The standstill is there to protect the
3	Q.	I want to ask you about some comments	3	target company from any hostile or unwelcome actions
4	on page 2 of the	5. 3 0	4	by the bidder.
5		Are you with me?	5	Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as
6	Α.	I am.	6	Joint Exhibit 305, which is the final NDA that you
7	Q.	There is a change from you in that	7	negotiated with Columbia. I want to turn your
8		ds, "either Party may disclose	8	attention to Section 3 of this agreement on pages 4
9		rmation to the extent [] required by,	9	and 5, which is the standstill provision.
10		Section 1(d), or [] it has received	10	~
11	to S security of the	e of its outside counsel that it is	11	standstill in this NDA prohibit?
12		e such disclosure in order to avoid	12	(6.7)
13			13	
		ble securities laws or stock exchange	20,500	
14	rules to which it	ē.	14	
15	À	Do you see that?	15	
16	A .	Yes.	16	
17	Q.	You changed "federal securities laws"	17	Section (section Accessed to the Application of the Section 1 and the Application Accessed to the Application Acce
18	to "applicable se		18	
19	_	Why did you do that?	19	Principle design values in the contract of the
20	Α.	In Canada, we don't have federal	20	_
21		, per se, but securities laws govern	21	Q. When did the standstill go into
22	provincially.		22	
23	Q.	You also changed "rules of national	23	TO CONTROL TO CONTROL
24	securities excha	nge" to "stock exchange rules."	24	Q. Is that when you signed the agreement?
	:	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS		CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS
			+	
		C. Johnston - Direct Page 505		C. Johnston - Direct Page 507
1		C. Johnston - Direct Page 505 Why did you do that?	1	C. Johnston - Direct Page 507 A. Yes.
1 2	A.	Page 505	1 2	Page 507
	5 At	Page 505 Why did you do that?		A. Yes.
2	exchange" isn'	Page 505 Why did you do that? The term "national securities	2	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your
2	exchange" isn'	Page 505 Why did you do that? The term "national securities t one that's ordinarily used in Canadian c exchange rules" was something that	2	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having
2 3 4	exchange" isn' law. But "stoci	Page 505 Why did you do that? The term "national securities t one that's ordinarily used in Canadian c exchange rules" was something that	2 3 4	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management?
2 3 4 5	exchange" isn' law. But "stock made more ser	Page 505 Why did you do that? The term "national securities t one that's ordinarily used in Canadian c exchange rules" was something that use to me.	2 3 4 5	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6	exchange" isn' law. But "stoci made more sen Q.	Page 505 Why did you do that? The term "national securities t one that's ordinarily used in Canadian c exchange rules" was something that use to me.	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not?
2 3 4 5 6 7	exchange" isn' law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed?	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	exchange" isn' law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q.	Page 505 Why did you do that? The term "national securities t one that's ordinarily used in Canadian c exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	exchange" isn' law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q.	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	exchange" isn't law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provision	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian acceptance with conspiring to this transaction? Page 505 Why did you do that? The term "national securities to ne that's ordinarily used in Canadian acceptance with conspiring to this transaction?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	exchange" isn'tlaw. But "stockmade more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provision A. Q.	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian acceptance rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with one prior to this transaction? I had some limited experience, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action. Q. Was your understanding of this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	exchange" isn'tlaw. But "stockmade more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provision A. Q.	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian a exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with ons prior to this transaction? I had some limited experience, yes. Does the NDA between Columbia and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action. Q. Was your understanding of this standstill agreement informed by advice of outside
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	exchange" isn't law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provision A. Q. TransCanada co	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian acceptance rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with one prior to this transaction? I had some limited experience, yes. Does the NDA between Columbia and ontain a standstill provision? It does.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action. Q. Was your understanding of this standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	exchange" isn't law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provision A. Q. TransCanada coon A. Q.	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian (exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with one prior to this transaction? I had some limited experience, yes. Does the NDA between Columbia and ontain a standstill provision? It does. What's your understanding of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action. Q. Was your understanding of this standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel? A. No, not during various stages
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	exchange" isn't law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provision A. Q. TransCanada coon A. Q.	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian (exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with ons prior to this transaction? I had some limited experience, yes. Does the NDA between Columbia and ontain a standstill provision? It does. What's your understanding of the undstill provision?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action. Q. Was your understanding of this standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel? A. No, not during various stages during the process, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	exchange" isn't law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provision A. Q. TransCanada con A. Q. purpose of a stan A.	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian & exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with ons prior to this transaction? I had some limited experience, yes. Does the NDA between Columbia and ontain a standstill provision? It does. What's your understanding of the endstill provision? The purpose of a standstill provision	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action. Q. Was your understanding of this standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel? A. No, not during various stages during the process, yes. Q. And you said no. You mean at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	exchange" isn't law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provision A. Q. TransCanada con A. Q. purpose of a stan A. is that it is usual	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian a exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with one prior to this transaction? I had some limited experience, yes. Does the NDA between Columbia and ontain a standstill provision? It does. What's your understanding of the undstill provision? The purpose of a standstill provision ally contained in the broader	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action. Q. Was your understanding of this standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel? A. No, not during various stages during the process, yes. Q. And you said no. You mean at the beginning, did you negotiate it on your own?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	exchange" isn't law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provisit A. Q. TransCanada co A. Q. purpose of a stat A. is that it is usuadocument in	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian a exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with one prior to this transaction? I had some limited experience, yes. Does the NDA between Columbia and ontain a standstill provision? It does. What's your understanding of the endstill provision? The purpose of a standstill provision ally contained in the broader this case, the nondisclosure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action. Q. Was your understanding of this standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel? A. No, not during various stages during the process, yes. Q. And you said no. You mean at the beginning, did you negotiate it on your own? A. I negotiated it on my own.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	exchange" isn't law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provision A. Q. TransCanada con A. Q. purpose of a stan A. is that it is usual document in agreement ar	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian (exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with ons prior to this transaction? I had some limited experience, yes. Does the NDA between Columbia and ontain a standstill provision? It does. What's your understanding of the undstill provision? The purpose of a standstill provision ally contained in the broader this case, the nondisclosure and it allows the potential bidding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action. Q. Was your understanding of this standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel? A. No, not during various stages during the process, yes. Q. And you said no. You mean at the beginning, did you negotiate it on your own? A. I negotiated it on my own. Q. Over the course of the negotiations,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	exchange" isn't law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provision A. Q. TransCanada con A. Q. purpose of a stan A. is that it is usual document ar party in this con the stock is the control of t	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian & exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with ons prior to this transaction? I had some limited experience, yes. Does the NDA between Columbia and ontain a standstill provision? It does. What's your understanding of the undstill provision? The purpose of a standstill provision ally contained in the broader this case, the nondisclosure and it allows the potential bidding case, TransCanada to receive the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action. Q. Was your understanding of this standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel? A. No, not during various stages during the process, yes. Q. And you said no. You mean at the beginning, did you negotiate it on your own? A. I negotiated it on my own. Q. Over the course of the negotiations, was your view and understanding of the standstill
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	exchange" isn't law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provision A. Q. TransCanada con A. Q. purpose of a stan A. is that it is usual document in agreement ar party in this convaluation material.	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian (exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with ons prior to this transaction? I had some limited experience, yes. Does the NDA between Columbia and ontain a standstill provision? It does. What's your understanding of the undstill provision? The purpose of a standstill provision ally contained in the broader this case, the nondisclosure and it allows the potential bidding case, TransCanada to receive the rial from the target company in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action. Q. Was your understanding of this standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel? A. No, not during various stages during the process, yes. Q. And you said no. You mean at the beginning, did you negotiate it on your own? A. I negotiated it on my own. Q. Over the course of the negotiations, was your view and understanding of the standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	exchange" isn't law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provisit A. Q. TransCanada co A. Q. purpose of a stat A. is that it is usud document in agreement ar party in this covaluation matericase, Columbia	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian (exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with one prior to this transaction? I had some limited experience, yes. Does the NDA between Columbia and ontain a standstill provision? It does. What's your understanding of the endstill provision? The purpose of a standstill provision ally contained in the broader this case, the nondisclosure and it allows the potential bidding case, TransCanada to receive the rial from the target company in this are so that they can kind of work in a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action. Q. Was your understanding of this standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel? A. No, not during various stages during the process, yes. Q. And you said no. You mean at the beginning, did you negotiate it on your own? A. I negotiated it on my own. Q. Over the course of the negotiations, was your view and understanding of the standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel? A. Yes, informed and confirmed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	exchange" isn't law. But "stock made more ser Q. you proposed? A. Q. standstill provisit A. Q. TransCanada co A. Q. purpose of a stat A. is that it is usud document in agreement ar party in this covaluation matericase, Columbia	Why did you do that? The term "national securities to one that's ordinarily used in Canadian (exchange rules" was something that use to me. Did Columbia accept these changes that Yes. Did you have experience with ons prior to this transaction? I had some limited experience, yes. Does the NDA between Columbia and ontain a standstill provision? It does. What's your understanding of the undstill provision? The purpose of a standstill provision ally contained in the broader this case, the nondisclosure and it allows the potential bidding case, TransCanada to receive the rial from the target company in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. Did the standstill, in your understanding, prohibit TransCanada from having discussions with Columbia's management? A. No. Q. Why not? A. I think the expectation is that these types of standstills actually permit those kinds of discussions to happen, to allow those discussions to happen in a cooperative way, knowing that the bidding company, TransCanada, can't take unwelcome action. Q. Was your understanding of this standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel? A. No, not during various stages during the process, yes. Q. And you said no. You mean at the beginning, did you negotiate it on your own? A. I negotiated it on my own. Q. Over the course of the negotiations, was your view and understanding of the standstill agreement informed by advice of outside counsel? A. Yes, informed and confirmed.

C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 508 Page 510 1 which is an email from Bob Smith to you, dated 1 offer or agree to acquire ownership of equity 2 November 25th, 2015, attaching a return-or-destroy 2 securities or material assets." 3 request letter. 3 What did you think that meant at the 4 Do you recognize this document? 4 time? 5 5 A. I do. A. Well, we couldn't make an outright In your understanding, why did acquisition of their shares on the stock exchange or 6 Q. 6 Mr. Smith send you this letter around this time? 7 anything like that. Nor could we offer or agree to 7 At that time. Columbia decided it was 8 acquire, which would mean that we couldn't make any 8 in their best interest to pursue an equity offering, 9 offer that was capable of being accepted. 9 and they shut off all discussions and asked to return 10 If you go to the second item, it says, 10 11 and destroy the confidential information that was 11 "Seek to influence, advise, change or control its provided to us. 12 management or the board" 12 13 Q. Did you, in fact, ensure that 13 What did you think that meant at the 14 TransCanada and its advisors, in fact, destroyed all 14 time? confidential information it had received from 15 A. I think the main expectation of this 15 provision is that we could not seek to do a proxy Columbia? 16 16 17 A. Yes, I did. We have a process -- I've 17 contest or try to replace members of their board, amongst other things that would be unwelcome. been through it many times before -- where I go 18 18 through and send out emails and confirm destruction or 19 19 And the last point refers to "[m]ake return before certifying back to the target company. 20 any public disclosure or take any actions that [would] 20 21 Q. In your understanding, did this letter 21 require[] [Columbia] to make public disclosure" 22 change any of the terms and obligations under the 22 What did you think that meant at the standstill agreement in the NDA? 23 time? 23 24 A. No. And, in fact, I think if you look 24 A. That would mean we couldn't do CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 509 Page 511 at the last line of the first page of that letter, it something that would put them in a position where they actually repeats that all the -- yes, all the duties 2 2 would have to respond by way of a news release or any and obligations under the confidentiality agreement 3 other public disclosure. 3 remain in full force and effect, notwithstanding the 4 4 At some point shortly after this email 5 return or destruction. 5 exchange, did you have a further discussion with 6 6 Q. Mr. Poirier about the standstill provisions and what Did the return-or-destroy letter have they meant? 7 any effect on the standstill provision, pursuant to 7 8 your understanding? 8 A. Yes, I talked to Francois several 9 A. 9 times, many times throughout the course of the 10 Q. I want to show you what's been marked 10 transaction. as Joint Exhibit 424, which is an email from you to 11 And in particular, did you have a 11 Francois Poirier, dated December 1st, 2015, and follow-up discussion with him or discussions with him 12 12

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

9 A. No.
10 Q. I want to show you what's been marked
11 as Joint Exhibit 424, which is an email from you to
12 Francois Poirier, dated December 1st, 2015, and
13 another email Mr. Poirier forwards on to Mr. Girling.
14 And I want to ask you about your email to Mr. Poirier
15 on December 1st.
16 What is this discussion about?

16 What is this discussion about?

17 A. This is meant to provide him with a
18 high-level explanation of the actions that we could
19 not take under the standstill, unless with the written
20 invitation of the Columbia board.
21 Q. You list three items there that the

Q. You list three items there that the
 standstill prohibits without written authorization
 from the Columbia board. I want to ask you about

24 those. The first item you list is to "[a]cquire,

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

13 about what the standstill provision meant in

14 particular?

15 A. Yes, I had that discussion with him16 from time to time.

17 Q. Did you just relay to him essentially
18 what you just described to me as to what these
19 provisions mean?

20 A. Yes. And I think from time to time he 21 even asked me specific questions, and I responded to 22 them. I just don't recall dates or when.

Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as
 Joint Exhibit 520, which is an email exchange between

C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 512 Page 514 1 you and Mr. Poirier and others, dated January 5th, 1 A. Sorry. Yes, I had those discussions, and they confirmed my understanding. 2 2016. 2 3 Do you recognize these emails? 3 O Thank you. 4 A. 4 At some point after Columbia's equity I want to ask you about Mr. Poirier's 5 Q. 5 offering, TransCanada reengaged with Columbia. 6 email to you and Don Marchand, in the middle of that 6 Correct? 7 Correct. 7 first page, on January 5th, at 1:19 p.m. A. 8 Q. Do you remember when that took place? 8 Mr. Poirier's commenting on the Mayer Brown memo and says, "I don't know what the 9 A. I think it was just -- well, early 9 threshold is for a verbal conversation to constitute 10 January, after the holidays. 10 11 an 'offer', but I am not sure that Russ's 11 Q. Do you know how that reengagement conversations with Capricorn's CEO would meet that 12 happened? 12 A. 13 test" 13 I'm not exactly sure. I think there 14 Do you see that? 14 was an outreach in mid-December to see if they had any 15 Yes. interest in speaking to us. And I do recall speaking A. 15 to Bob Smith, Columbia's general counsel, early in the Q. What is your understanding of what's 16 16 new year. being discussed here? 17 17 18 Q. 18 A Yeah, I think that the -- what they And so do you remember that it was were looking at doing is have the CEOs speak to each Mr. Poirier who ended up talking to Steve Smith in 19 19 other to see if the target company, Columbia, was 20 that early January meeting? 20 still interested in potentially transacting with us. 21 A. Yeah, I understood that there was 21 22 And in particular -- and that's what's 22 0 going to be meetings amongst our executives, yes. 23 23 referenced -- I want to ask you about what he says in Q. Before that meeting between 24 that first line. "I don't know what the threshold is Mr. Poirier and Mr. Smith you referenced -- I guess CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 513 Page 515 for a verbal conversation to constitute an 1 let me just ask the question. 2 'offer'" 2 Before that meeting between 3 Do you know what he meant by that? 3 Mr. Poirier and Mr. Smith, did you talk to Bob Smith A. Yeah. I think he was just saying that 4 about the parties' reengagement? 4 5 they're having just a discussion about whether 5 A. Yes. Columbia is still interested in transacting with us. 6 What did you and Bob Smith discuss? 6 Q. 7 Would that constitute an offer? And, of course, it's 7 A. I think there were a few things that 8 not. 8 we discussed on that call. I think one of the things 9 Q. And did you confirm that understanding 9 was to make sure that we both were of the view that 10 to Mr. Poirier? 10 the nondisclosure agreement was still effective and 11 A. Yes. 11 that we didn't have to enter into a new one to the And was that consistent with the extent that Columbia was still interested in engaging 12 Q. 13 advice you received from your outside counsel? 13 with us. So we confirmed each other's understanding I'm not sure if I had spoken to 14 that that NDA was still operative. 14 15 Mayer Brown at this point about this specific 15 We also discussed -- you know, because we had returned and destroyed all the confidential provision, but I know that they had confirmed that 16 16 17 explanation later on. 17 information, so that he would have to make Q. arrangements to get us back into the data room. 18 Whether it was before or after 18 19 January 5th, 2016, do you know whether you had 19 And we may have also discussed, I think, the -- the standstill, that the actions of just 20 specific discussions with outside counsel about this 20 reengaging would not violate the standstill. 21 question and whether they confirmed that 21 22 understanding? 22 Did you believe that reengaging with 23 A. 23 Columbia was prohibited by the standstill provision? Yes. 24 Q. 24 A. Yes, you did? No.

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 516 Page 518 1 Q. Why not? 1 email at the bottom of the page. I think, again, if it was just a broad A. 2 2 Do you see that? 3 conversation to see if they are interested, that 3 A. Yes. wouldn't in itself violate the standstill. 4 Q. Why did you send this email? 4 5 0 Did Bob Smith or anybody at Columbia 5 A. So at that point, I knew that there 6 ever indicate to you that they thought reengagement 6 were going to be more conversations that were being was a violation of the standstill? 7 7 arranged between the CEO of TransCanada and the CEO of 8 Columbia. And having had a look and taking a very 8 A. No. Q. In fact, did Mr. Smith tell you the 9 cautious view of the standstill, I wanted to ensure 9 opposite? 10 that that conversation met his understanding of not 10 11 Yeah. In fact, he was perfectly fine 11 being violative of the NDA or standstill. with it. I think if he had said otherwise, I would 12 I want to look at the third paragraph 12 have been surprised. But we would have held firm on 13 13 of your initial email. You say, "If however, after 14 having any conversation until we understood our 14 that meeting and after discussions with your board, obligations better under the NDA. your board is receptive to continuing the discussions, 15 we would like assurance that in the event a verbal or 16 Q. I'm showing you what has been marked as Joint Exhibit 1905, which is an email exchange written proposal is made by Taurus to the Capricorn 17 17 between you and Christopher Joseph on January 9th, 18 CEO or Board, Taurus would not be in contravention or 18 19 2016. 19 breach of its obligations under the Standstill. I 20 Do you recognize this email? 20 note specifically that the Capricorn board of 21 A. Yes. 21 directors is to 'specifically request in writing in 22 Q. Who is Christopher Joseph? 22 advance' any of the matters covered in section 3." 23 A. I haven't met him, but he was working 23 Do you see that? 24 for Goldman Sachs, which is the financial advisor to 24 A. Yes. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 517 Page 519 Columbia. In this email, in that paragraph, are 1 1 Q. 2 you asking for that written assurance from Columbia's 2 Q. In the bottom email, there are a 3 board? significant number of Wells Fargo and TransCanada 3 personnel in the "to" line. And the message says, 4 A. No 4 5 "You should have all received access to the Project 5 Q. What do you mean? 6 6 Constellation data room around midnight last night." Well, we weren't at a point of making 7 Do you know who these people in the 7 an offer. So I was just basically reiterating my 8 "to" line are? 8 interpretation of the standstill that when we got to 9 A. Yeah, I recognize most of those names 9 that point, after the meeting and after discussions with their board, that we would not be making an offer that have the transcanada.com as people that were on 10 10 11 the -- the Constellation deal team, which is what we 11 unless at the invitation of his board. were calling that transaction. 12 12 Did you consider your email to be 13 Q. So around January 9th, the TransCanada 13 requesting a waiver of the standstill provision? and Wells Fargo deal teams were engaging with Goldman 14 A. No. 14 15 Sachs about due diligence and getting access to the 15 Q. Why not? 16 data room? 16 I was, again, just really providing my 17 A. Correct. 17 interpretation of the standstill; that we would not be Q. making an offer unless specifically with the 18 I want to show you what's been marked 18 19 as Exhibit 623, Joint Exhibit 623, which is an email 19 invitation of the board, in compliance with the exchange between you and Bob Smith dated, 20 standstill. 20 21 January 25th, 2016. 21 Q. And how did you understand Mr. Smith's 22 Do you recognize these emails? 22 response? 23 A. I do. 23 A. Can you turn to it? 24 Q. 24 I want to start with your original Q. Pull it up. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 520 Page 522 1 A. There we go. 1 Do you have a recollection that 2 So here, I think he's confirming that 2 Columbia had suggested that instead of a written 3 the discussion that was going to happen with the 3 exclusivity agreement at this time, they might be 4 CEOs -- that's the one in this context -- would not 4 prepared in executing a handshake deal on exclusivity 5 violate the terms of the NDA. 5 without committing it to writing? 6 In response to this email exchange, or 6 A. 7 What was TransCanada's reaction to 7 anytime shortly after this email exchange, did Ω TransCanada actually receive a written invitation from 8 that? 8 the Columbia board to make an offer? 9 A. I think we took it away and decided 9 10 Well, that was in January. So not at 10 that we would rather have a written agreement. 11 that time. Not until much later, when negotiations 11 Q. Ultimately, is that what happened? had progressed. 12 A. 12 Q. 13 Q. Well, why didn't you follow up with 13 I want to show you what has been 14 Bob Smith on the fact that you didn't get that written 14 marked as Joint Exhibit 682, which is the executed authorization in January? initial exclusivity agreement with Columbia. And I 15 15 A. We didn't need it. We weren't making want to ask you about the bottom of the first page. 16 16 an offer, and we didn't need to have that It's about seven lines up from the bottom, starting 17 17 confirmation. 18 with "provided" 18 19 19 0 Did you advise Mr. Poirier on the It says, "in response to a bona fide operation of the standstill provision and how these 20 written unsolicited Transaction Proposal that did not 20 things worked, from your perspective? 21 21 result from a breach of this letter 22 A. Yes. 22 agreement ... Capricorn may, after providing notice to Taurus as required by this letter agreement ..." -- it 23 Q. At some point after these January 23 24 discussions, did TransCanada seek exclusivity with goes on to essentially say discuss a transaction, CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 521 Page 523 1 Columbia? provide due diligence, or even enter a transaction 2 2 with another party. Yes, it was shortly after that A. 3 3 January 25th meeting. What was your understanding of the Q. 4 purpose of this provision in the exclusivity Why were you looking for exclusivity? 4 5 A. Well, at that time, we had already 5 agreement? 6 invested quite a bit of time and resources, our own I think this is what's commonly known 6 7 people, outside advisors. And we were thinking that 7 as a fiduciary doubt -- fiduciary out. Sorry. And 8 if we were going to put all that time and effort into 8 that would only allow the board of Columbia to 9 pursuing a deal, we would like to know that we 9 consider unsolicited proposals, and they couldn't go weren't -- that that -- Columbia wasn't also outside 10 10 out and seek other potential bidders. 11 seeking other suitors. 11 Q. Why is this fiduciary out in this agreement? 12 Q. Did you negotiate the exclusivity 12 13 agreement? 13 I don't think it was in the draft that A. Andrew Noreuil from Mayer Brown we provided to Columbia, but they put it in. 14 14 15 provided the first draft. 15 I want to show you what's been marked 16 Q. Were you involved in the discussions as Joint Exhibit 813, which is an email exchange dated 17 with Columbia about it? March 3rd, 2016, where you forward an email from Yes, I think we did it through 18 A. 18 Bob Smith to outside counsel for comment. 19 exchange of emails. 19 Can you tell me about what is going on 20 20 I want to show you what's been marked here? A. 21 as Joint Exhibit 647, which is an email exchange 21 I'm sorry, Mike. Can you repeat?

22

23

Q.

Sure. Let's start with the initial

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

email that triggered this email exchange from Bob

Smith to you on March 3rd at 7:35.

22

23

24

between you and Mayer Brown dated January 28th, 2016.

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

Mr. Noreuil on the top of this exhibit.

And I want to look at your email to

C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 524 Page 526 1 Can you tell me what is happening here 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. 2 with respect to this email exchange? What is this? 3 A. Right. So we had just concluded our 3 A. So now I've taken Mayer Brown's second round of in-person negotiations on the merger 4 suggested email, and I've taken that text and 4 forwarded it on to Bob. 5 agreement with mostly just the legal teams. And at 5 that point, we hadn't decided on some of the important 6 6 Q. So Mayer Brown prepared an initial 7 draft of this email? 7 terms like price or break fees. So I think Bob here is recalling that we weren't going to take --8 A. 8 They did. TransCanada was not going to take any action that was 9 Q. Were you asking Columbia to waive the 9 prohibitive under the standstill, including we would 10 standstill here? 10 11 not be making an offer or putting a price forward 11 A. unless with the express invitation of his board. 12 Q. Can you explain? 12 A. Again, I think everything that was 13 Q. Why did you forward the email from 13 14 Bob Smith to outside counsel? 14 discussed in this email to the general counsel, to A. I wanted to ensure I had their exact Bob, was to ensure that we were complying with the 15 15 exact terms of the standstill that they drafted. In interpretation to be correct as mine. 16 16 And what did -- all right. That's 17 no way was I asking for a waiver. 17 your email forwarding it on to Mr. Noreuil and 18 O I'm showing you what's been marked as 18 Joint Exhibit 1907, which is an email from Bob Smith 19 Mr. Sperber. 19 20 20 to you dated March 5th, 2016. Can you go up to what their response 21 21 was. Did you, in fact, receive confirmation 22 What did Mayer Brown advise? 22 that the Columbia board authorized a formal offer? 23 A. They confirmed that it would probably 23 A. Yes 24 be a good idea to have an email that the board 24 Q. Is that reflected here in this email? CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 525 Page 527 1 A. 1 consents to discussion. 2 2 Q. Q. Did you rely on that advice from Mayer Ms. Johnston, do you believe that at 3 any point TransCanada violated the standstill 3 Brown? Yes. 4 provision? 4 A. 5 Q. In your email --5 A. No. In my view, it was certainly top 6 6 ATTORNEY OLSEN: Go back to the last of mind for me as a lawyer. I had frequent 7 one, please, Kentaro. 7 conversations and communications with Francois and our In your email to Mayer Brown, you say 8 8 management team about the terms of the standstill. Q. 9 that "Russ will be [discussing] range of price with 9 Sought outside advice. I think I did everything I 10 their CEO" 10 could do to ensure we were in compliance with the 11 If Mr. Girling was going to discuss a 11 standstill. range and not make an offer that could have been 12 12 At any point in time did anyone at 13 accepted, why did you think that you might need to 13 Columbia or any of your legal or financial advisors take these steps? tell you or, to your knowledge, anyone at TransCanada 14 14 15 A. I think I was just being overly that they believed that TransCanada had violated the cautious and giving a very conservative read to the 16 NDA or the standstill provision? 16 17 standstill. Also, I was going to be part of that 17 A. No. Q. discussion. Sometimes a discussion on range can go 18 18 I want to ask you a little bit about

Ross Bentley on March 9, 2016.

A.

Q.

The Wall Street Journal leak.

Yes.

929, which is an email exchange between you and

Do you remember that?

And I want to turn to Joint Exhibit

19

20

21

22

somewhere else. But -- not that I was expecting it

to, but, again, just being conservative in terms of

is an email exchange between you and Bob Smith dated

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

March 6th, 2016, do you recognize these emails?

If you go to Joint Exhibit 816, which

ensuring compliance with the standstill.

19

20

21

22

23

24

	C. Johnston - Direct	D 500			C. Johnston - Direct	D 500
1	Who is Ross Bentley?	Page 528	4	we did so.		Page 530
2	A. Ross Bentley is the main parti	or that	2	Q.	Was trading of TransCanada at	ook in
3	I work with at Bennett Jones, who is corporate	THE STATE OF THE S	3	fact, halted?	Was trading of TransCanada st	ock, iii
4	securities counsel there.	8	4	A.	Yes, it was.	
5	Q. And why		5	A		ow bo a good
6	A. Sorry. Blake Cassels.		6	time for break, '	ATTORNEY OLSEN: Would n	low be a good
7	Q. Blake Cassels. Thank you.		7	une for break,	THE COURT: It would be. Th	ank vou so
	•		8	much	THE COOKT. It would be. Th	arik you so
8	Why did you send this email? A. I had alerted Ross to the fact t	de at I	9	much.	Carre vill atoms in manage until (D-4E
9				/Λ =	So we will stand in recess until (5.10.
10	had heard that there might be a leak of the Col	NA 1 (200)	10	(A 10	ecess was taken at 2:59 p.m.)	
11	deal through The Wall Street Journal. And I wa		11			
12	ensure I gained his advice and understanding	,	12			
13	what our obligations were with respect to disc	iosure,	13			
14	given that the deal had been leaked.	000	14			
15	Q. Now, on page 2 of the exhibit, R		15			
16	writes to you, "if we have to issue a press release		16			
17	these circumstances it is because there has been	00004	17			
18	actual leak and so something we could previously	12 m	18			
19	confidential now is being required to be disclosed		19			
20	we thus have to disclose the material		20			
21	information"	000	21			
22	What did you understand that to		22			
23	A. That meant that there would h		23			
24	an actual leak. And at that point there hadn't b	peen	24			
	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS				CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS	
	C. Johnston - Direct	Page 529			C. Johnston - Direct	Page 531
1	C. Johnston - Direct one, but it was to come. And if that was the ca	Page 529	1		C. Johnston - Direct (Resumed at 3:15 p.m.)	Page 531
1 2		ise,	1 2			=
	one, but it was to come. And if that was the ca	ise, tial under		Please be seate	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.)	=
2	one, but it was to come. And if that was the ca	ise, tial under	2	Please be seate	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back,	everyone.
2	one, but it was to come. And if that was the ca what was otherwise meant to be kept confiden the terms of the NDA would have to be disclos	ise, tial under	2	Please be seate	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume.	everyone.
2 3 4	one, but it was to come. And if that was the ca what was otherwise meant to be kept confiden the terms of the NDA would have to be disclos our Toronto Stock Exchange rules.	ise, tial under	2 3 4		(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank y	everyone.
2 3 4 5	one, but it was to come. And if that was the ca what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be disclose our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those	ise, tial under	2 3 4 5	Honor.	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank y	everyone. ou, Your
2 3 4 5 6	one, but it was to come. And if that was the calculation what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be disclost our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal?	ise, itial under ed under	2 3 4 5 6	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q.	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank y	everyone. ou, Your
2 3 4 5 6 7	one, but it was to come. And if that was the car what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be disclose our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were.	ise, itial under ed under	2 3 4 5 6 7	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q.	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank your older. OLSEN: Just to reorient you, we were tall in the discussion with the Toronto	everyone. ou, Your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	one, but it was to come. And if that was the call what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be discloss our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toronto Stock Exchange rules.	ise, itial under ed under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak i	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank your older. OLSEN: Just to reorient you, we were tall in the discussion with the Toronto	everyone. ou, Your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	one, but it was to come. And if that was the car what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be disclose our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toron Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did.	nse, tial under ed under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak in	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank you old the content you, we were tall in the discussion with the Toronto ed. Yes.	everyone. ou, Your king
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	one, but it was to come. And if that was the car what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be disclose our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toron Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did.	ise, itial under ed under into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak in Stock Exchange A. Q.	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank you old to reorient you, we were talk in the discussion with the Toronto e. Yes. I want to show you what's been	everyone. ou, Your king
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	one, but it was to come. And if that was the call what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be discloss our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toront Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did. Q. What do you recall about that call A. So I took the call from the Toront Confidence of the properties of the	ise, itial under ed under into	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak in Stock Exchange A. Q. as Joint Exhibit	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank your older of the discussion with the Toronto ed. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain beto	everyone. ou, Your king
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	one, but it was to come. And if that was the car what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be disclose our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toron Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did. Q. What do you recall about that can A. So I took the call from the Toron Stock Exchange. They asked me if there was a	nto mil? conto any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak in Stock Exchange A. Q. as Joint Exhibit	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank you old to reorient you, we were talk in the discussion with the Toronto ed. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain betwith, dated March 10th, 2016.	everyone. ou, Your king marked ween
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	one, but it was to come. And if that was the car what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be disclost our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toront Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did. Q. What do you recall about that can A. So I took the call from the Toront Stock Exchange. They asked me if there was a they noted that there was some unusual trading	nto mil? conto any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak in Stock Exchange A. Q. as Joint Exhibit	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank your older of the discussion with the Toronto ed. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain beto	everyone. ou, Your king marked ween
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	one, but it was to come. And if that was the call what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be discloss our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toront Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did. Q. What do you recall about that call A. So I took the call from the Toront Stock Exchange. They asked me if there was a they noted that there was some unusual trading activity in our shares, and was there anything	ntial under ed under all? onto any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak ii Stock Exchange A. Q. as Joint Exhibit you and Bob Sr	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank your older of the discussion with the Toronto e. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain between the discussion of the discussion with the Toronto e. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain between the discussion with the Toronto e.	everyone. ou, Your king marked ween
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	one, but it was to come. And if that was the call what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be discloss our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toront Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did. Q. What do you recall about that call from the Toront Stock Exchange. They asked me if there was at they noted that there was some unusual tradinactivity in our shares, and was there anything material that TransCanada ought to be discloss	nto all? onto any ag ing?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak in Stock Exchange A. Q. as Joint Exhibit you and Bob Sr	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank your older of the discussion with the Toronto e. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain betonith, dated March 10th, 2016. Do you recognize this email excelled. What's going on here?	everyone. ou, Your king marked ween change?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	one, but it was to come. And if that was the car what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be disclose our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toron Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did. Q. What do you recall about that can A. So I took the call from the Toron Stock Exchange. They asked me if there was a they noted that there was some unusual tradin activity in our shares, and was there anything material that TransCanada ought to be disclose And, of course, at that point in time, we didn't	nto all? conto any g ing? have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak is Stock Exchange A. Q. as Joint Exhibit you and Bob Sr A. Q. A.	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank you' OLSEN: Just to reorient you, we were taln the discussion with the Toronto ed. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain between the discussion with the Toronto ed. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain between the dated March 10th, 2016. Do you recognize this email excelled. What's going on here? We had pretty close to a final	everyone. ou, Your king marked ween change?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	one, but it was to come. And if that was the call what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be discloss our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toront Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did. Q. What do you recall about that call A. So I took the call from the Toront Stock Exchange. They asked me if there was a they noted that there was some unusual trading activity in our shares, and was there anything material that TransCanada ought to be discloss And, of course, at that point in time, we didn't a deal. So I said, No. We're in discussions, but	ntial under ed under ed under nto nto any ag ing? have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak in Stock Exchange A. Q. as Joint Exhibit you and Bob Sr A. Q. A. Of our news re	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank your older of the discussion with the Toronto ed. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain between the discussion with the Toronto ed. Do you recognize this email excelled. What's going on here? We had pretty close to a final lease, and I sent it to Bob, whice	everyone. ou, Your king marked ween change? draft h I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	one, but it was to come. And if that was the call what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be discloss our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toront Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did. Q. What do you recall about that can also a call from the Toront Stock Exchange. They asked me if there was a they noted that there was some unusual trading activity in our shares, and was there anything material that TransCanada ought to be discloss And, of course, at that point in time, we didn't a deal. So I said, No. We're in discussions, but there's nothing has crystallized that requires	ise, itial under ed under all? onto any ig ing? have ut	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak is Stock Exchange A. Q. as Joint Exhibit you and Bob Sr A. Q. A. of our news re think was requ	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank your COLSEN: Just to reorient you, we were talken the discussion with the Toronto es. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain between the discussion with the Toronto es. Yes. What's going on here? We had pretty close to a final lease, and I sent it to Bob, which is the total country of the sent it to Bob, which is the total country of the sent it to Bob, which is the country of the sent it to Bob, which is the country of the sent it to Bob, which is the country of the sent it to Bob, which is the country of the sent it to Bob, which is the country of the sent it to Bob, which is the sent it to Bob, which is the country of the sent it to Bob, which is the sent it to Bob it to Bob.	everyone. ou, Your king marked ween change? draft h I greement.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	one, but it was to come. And if that was the call what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be discloss our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toron Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did. Q. What do you recall about that can also a look the call from the Toron Stock Exchange. They asked me if there was a they noted that there was some unusual tradinactivity in our shares, and was there anything material that TransCanada ought to be discloss And, of course, at that point in time, we didn't a deal. So I said, No. We're in discussions, but there's nothing has crystallized that requires disclosure obligation by us. And they essential	ase, tial under ed under anto any ig ing? thave at ally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak is Stock Exchange A. Q. as Joint Exhibit you and Bob Sr A. Q. A. of our news re think was required.	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank your older to reorient you, we were talken the discussion with the Toronto ed. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain between the discussion with the Toronto ed. Yes. What's going on here? We had pretty close to a final lease, and I sent it to Bob, which is to Bob, which is the sent it to Bob.	everyone. ou, Your king marked ween change? draft h I greement. a also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	one, but it was to come. And if that was the call what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be discloss our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toront Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did. Q. What do you recall about that can also a look the call from the Toront Stock Exchange. They asked me if there was a they noted that there was some unusual tradinactivity in our shares, and was there anything material that TransCanada ought to be discloss And, of course, at that point in time, we didn't a deal. So I said, No. We're in discussions, but there's nothing has crystallized that requires disclosure obligation by us. And they essential advised that, based on the trading activity and	ase, tial under ed under anto any ig ing? thave at ally	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak is Stock Exchange A. Q. as Joint Exhibit you and Bob Sr A. Q. A. of our news re think was requ Q. tell Columbia th	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank your older to reorient you, we were talken the discussion with the Toronto ed. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain between the discussion with the Toronto ed. Do you recognize this email excelled. What's going on here? We had pretty close to a final lease, and I sent it to Bob, which ited under the nondisclosure and At some point, did TransCanada at after they offered their \$25.50 and to the source of the sent to the source of the sent to the source of the sent to the sent to the source of the sent to the	everyone. ou, Your king marked ween change? draft h I greement. a also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	one, but it was to come. And if that was the call what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be discloss our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toron Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did. Q. What do you recall about that can also I took the call from the Toron Stock Exchange. They asked me if there was a they noted that there was some unusual trading activity in our shares, and was there anything material that TransCanada ought to be discloss And, of course, at that point in time, we didn't a deal. So I said, No. We're in discussions, but there's nothing has crystallized that requires disclosure obligation by us. And they essential advised that, based on the trading activity and leak and the fact that we were, in fact, in	ntial under ed under ed under nto nto nto ing? have at ally the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak in Stock Exchange A. Q. as Joint Exhibit you and Bob Sr A. Q. A. of our news rethink was required. Q. tell Columbia the share, that if a columbia the share, the columbia the share, the columbia the share, the columbia the share, the columbia the share the columbia the columbia the columbia the share the columbia the	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank your older to reorient you, we were talk in the discussion with the Toronto ed. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain between the discussion with the Toronto ed. Do you recognize this email excellent, dated March 10th, 2016. Do you recognize this email excellent to. What's going on here? We had pretty close to a final lease, and I sent it to Bob, which is the mondisclosure and the same point, did TransCanada at after they offered their \$25.50 and deal was not reached, TransCanada deal was not reached, TransCanada	everyone. ou, Your king marked ween change? draft h I greement. a also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	one, but it was to come. And if that was the call what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be discloss our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toron Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did. Q. What do you recall about that can also a look the call from the Toron Stock Exchange. They asked me if there was a they noted that there was some unusual tradinactivity in our shares, and was there anything material that TransCanada ought to be discloss And, of course, at that point in time, we didn't a deal. So I said, No. We're in discussions, but there's nothing has crystallized that requires disclosure obligation by us. And they essential advised that, based on the trading activity and leak and the fact that we were, in fact, in negotiations, that we needed to do a clarifying	ise, itial under ed under all? onto any ig ing? have it is a ally the news	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak is Stock Exchange A. Q. as Joint Exhibit you and Bob Sr A. Q. A. of our news re think was required. Q. tell Columbia the share, that if a control of the share is a control of the share is a control of the share.	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank your older to reorient you, we were talken the discussion with the Toronto ed. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain between the discussion with the Toronto ed. Do you recognize this email excelled. What's going on here? We had pretty close to a final lease, and I sent it to Bob, which ited under the nondisclosure and At some point, did TransCanada at after they offered their \$25.50 and to the source of the sent to the source of the sent to the source of the sent to the sent to the source of the sent to the	everyone. ou, Your king marked ween change? draft h I greement. a also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	one, but it was to come. And if that was the call what was otherwise meant to be kept confident the terms of the NDA would have to be discloss our Toronto Stock Exchange rules. Q. And then on March 10, those discussions actually were leaked to the Journal? A. They were. Q. Did you get a call from the Toron Stock Exchange following that leak? A. I certainly did. Q. What do you recall about that can also I took the call from the Toron Stock Exchange. They asked me if there was a they noted that there was some unusual trading activity in our shares, and was there anything material that TransCanada ought to be discloss And, of course, at that point in time, we didn't a deal. So I said, No. We're in discussions, but there's nothing has crystallized that requires disclosure obligation by us. And they essential advised that, based on the trading activity and leak and the fact that we were, in fact, in	ise, itial under ed under all? onto any ig ing? have it is a ally the news	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Honor. BY ATTORNEY Q. about the leak in Stock Exchange A. Q. as Joint Exhibit you and Bob Sr A. Q. A. of our news rethink was required. Q. tell Columbia the share, that if a columbia the share, the columbia the share, the columbia the share, the columbia the share, the columbia the share the columbia the columbia the columbia the share the columbia the	(Resumed at 3:15 p.m.) THE COURT: Welcome back, ed. Let's resume. ATTORNEY OLSEN: Thank your older to reorient you, we were talk in the discussion with the Toronto ed. Yes. I want to show you what's been 1908, which is an email chain between the discussion with the Toronto ed. Do you recognize this email excellent, dated March 10th, 2016. Do you recognize this email excellent to. What's going on here? We had pretty close to a final lease, and I sent it to Bob, which is the mondisclosure and the same point, did TransCanada at after they offered their \$25.50 and deal was not reached, TransCanada deal was not reached, TransCanada	everyone. ou, Your king marked ween change? draft h I greement. a also

C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 532 Page 534 1 A. Yes, I understand that conversation 1 question. THE COURT: That's fine. took place. 2 2 3 Q. Why did TransCanada feel like it 3 BY ATTORNEY OLSEN: needed to issue a press release at some point if 4 Do you have any experience dealing 4 C 5 discussions ended with no deal? 5 with the market and leaks and how you interact with 6 ATTORNEY VARALLO: Your Honor, before 6 the markets with respect to leaks? 7 7 THE COURT: Hold on. Did I say I was the witness answers, I rise. To the extent the going to sustain it or overrule it? witness is about to give some information about 8 8 foreign law, Rule 44.1 of this Court's rules would 9 9 ATTORNEY OLSEN: I thought you said have required us to have advance notice in writing of 10 "sustain." 10 11 that. And under the Supreme Court's precedent in 11 THE COURT: If I said sustain, that's Germaninvestments, which is from just a year or so 12 wrong. I meant to say I was going to overrule it 12 13 ago, our Supreme Court held that it is my friend's 13 because I didn't think you were offering it for the 14 burden to prove foreign law. If my friend is about to 14 truth. I thought you were asking -adduce testimony seeking to rely on foreign law to 15 ATTORNEY OLSEN: I apologize, Your 15 negate scienter, doing so would be in violation of 16 16 Honor. I misheard you. both the rules of this Court and the Germaninvestments 17 THE COURT: No, no, I very easily 17 could have misspoke. The point is, I am going to precedent. We have no expert. We have no professor 18 18 allow the testimony. Let's keep going. 19 on foreign law. We have no cases cited. We have no 19 20 affidavit. We have nothing. 20 BY ATTORNEY OLSEN: 21 21 I apologize if that's not what my O What were you told that gave you the 22 friend was trying to do, but I wanted to make sure the 22 impression that you would need to issue such a press 23 record was clear before the answer came in. 23 release? 24 THE COURT: Do you want to respond? 24 A. Since the public record would be CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Direct C. Johnston - Direct Page 533 Page 535 incomplete if we were to not transact on a deal -- for 1 ATTORNEY OLSEN: Your Honor, this 2 2 witness is not offering opinion on foreign law. This instance, if you look at the actual language of the news release, it leaves it very open-ended. I know in 3 witness is offering her recollection of factually what 3 happened, what she was told, and what she told 4 my experience, at that time even more than a 20-year 4 5 Columbia with respect to this issue. 5 lawyer, dealing with public disclosure issues every day, that we would need to close the loop on the 6 THE COURT: Why don't you reframe it 6 7 to be more targeted in that regard. 7 release, as our investor relations would be getting 8 ATTORNEY OLSEN: Okay. 8 numerous inbound calls over the course of the next 9 BY ATTORNEY OLSEN: 9 weeks. Even if we had terminated the transactions or So you told -- somebody at TransCanada 10 Q. 10 the discussions, they would need to put all investors 11 told Columbia that if at some point a deal was not 11 and potential investors on a level playing field so reached, TransCanada would have to issue a press that they all had the same disclosure at the same 12 12 13 release that discussions had terminated; correct? 13 time. A. 14 In your view, was telling Columbia 14 15 Q. What were you told with respect to why 15 that you would have to issue that press release if 16 that needed to be done? 16 discussions terminated intended to put pressure on 17 ATTORNEY VARALLO: Objection. 17 Columbia? 18 A. Hearsay, Your Honor. No. In fact, it was telling them what 18 19 THE COURT: I am going to sustain it 19 our -- in line with the obligations under the for what I assume is going to be the answer, which is nondisclosure agreement, letting them know that a 20 20 press release would likely be imminent in that regard. 21 that it's going to be offered for her understanding 21 22 Did TransCanada ever actually issue 22 and why she had a good-faith belief and what the Q. 23 course of action was. 23 that press release? A. 24 24 No. We didn't have to because we ATTORNEY OLSEN: I'll ask a new CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Direct Page 536 1 didn't terminate discussions. 2 THE COURT: What does a press release 3 that says something like that after transaction termination generally look like, in your experience? 4 5 In other words, does it have the bland feel of the 6 press release you issue on trading activity, or is it 7 more specific? 8 THE WITNESS: It would be very 9 general. In fact, as you see, we didn't even name Columbia in this news release. It would be as simple 10 11 as -- and, again, just to allow our investor relations team not to have to take all these calls and worry 12 13 about somebody having more information than somebody else, it would be very simple to say that pursuant to 14 our news release as of March 10th, we can now confirm 15 that negotiations ended without conclusion of a 16 transaction. 17 BY ATTORNEY OLSEN: 18 19 Q. I'm showing you what's been marked as Joint Exhibit 1909, which is an email exchange between 20 21 you and Bob Smith, dated March 12th, 2016, relating to 22 an inbound inquiry Columbia had received. 23 Did you also receive a call from Bob 24 Smith around this time relating to an inbound inquiry?

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Direct

Page 537

A. 1 Yes, I recall that. 2 Q. What did Mr. Smith tell you?

He had let me know that they had had 3 an inbound inquiry and were looking to respond to it 4 5 but didn't want to do anything that was outside their own obligations. 6

At that point, they had, I think,

8 verbally agreed to extend our exclusivity agreement. 9 We just hadn't traded execution pages yet. And I 10 think he was just being cautious that whatever they 11 had as a scripted response going forward would not be 12

violative of any of the -- the type of agreement they 13 were entering into.

14 Q. Did he tell you who the inbound was 15 from?

16 A. No.

7

17 Q. And so in this email exchange between

you and Bob, can you tell me what happened at 18

19 TransCanada as a result of this call and this email?

Well, of course it was -- I can 20

remember it quite vividly. It was a Saturday morning. 21

22 I was on a ski weekend with my girlfriends and I was

about to click on my skis and go, and I got the phone 23

call from Bob. So I promptly turned around and headed 24

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Direct

Page 538

1 back to my room, which became my office for the 2 weekend.

3 So after I received that inbound from 4 Bob, I forwarded it on to kind of the main people on 5 the deal team for discussion.

6 Did you ultimately have a call with 7 the deal team and Mayer Brown and decide what to do?

8 A. Yes, we did.

9

15

Q. And what did you decide?

10 A. I think the ultimate decision was that 11 their scripted response was just fine. We didn't see any reason to make any changes or not agree to it. 12

13 Q. Prior to closing, did you ever learn

14 who that inbound was from?

> A. No.

16 Q. I want to ask you about Joint Exhibit 17 1206, which is an email exchange between you and Mayer

Brown, dated April 6th, 2016. And in your email, you 18 19 reference that "now [] we know that there were 4

20 companies that were interested in Columbia"

21 How did you know that?

22 A. So we had received a draft form of the

proxy. The section called "Background of the Merger" 23

was a very detailed explanation of the events that

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Direct

Page 539

were taking place at that time. And in there, in this

2 background section of the proxy, it named that there

were four companies that were also -- had been 3

interested in Columbia at the same period of time that 4

5 we were, and noted that three of them had signed 6 confidentiality agreements.

7 Before you saw the draft of the proxy 8 statement, did you know if there were any other

9 bidders for Columbia or whether or not they had

10 standstill agreements or NDAs?

11 A. No. We speculated, but we did not

12 know.

13 Q. Why were you interested in finding out

if they had those types of agreements? 14

15 Well, it would be interesting from the perspective of having signed a merger agreement, 17 understanding that they might have a standstill

themselves, that it would be interesting to know 18

19 whether we had more competition or less competition

20 because of that.

21 Q. Did you ever ask Bob Smith or anybody 22 else at Columbia if the other bidders had standstills?

23 A. No. I think I thought about it at one point, but I thought better of it, as I knew it -- it

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 540 Page 542 wasn't a fair question to ask Bob. 1 0 So am I correct that these are not 1 2 ATTORNEY OLSEN: I have no more 2 only -- all of your corporate minutes, at least it's 3 questions at this time, Your Honor. 3 your attempt to make them relatively contemporaneous THE COURT: Thank you. 4 in time and not once, but twice tested for accuracy 4 5 ATTORNEY VARALLO: While I gather my 5 and changed, as necessary, to be as accurate as 6 materials, I would ask my colleague to hand out our 6 possible? 7 7 cross books. A. Yes. And, Jay, can you please provide the 8 Q. And you're a careful corporate lawyer, 8 witness with a copy of the pretrial stipulation. 9 having been an in-house corporate lawyer for a long 9 10 10 time; isn't that right? CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY ATTORNEY VARALLO: 11 A. I'd like to think so, yes. Ms. Johnston, nice to see you in 12 Q. And you do your very best to make sure 12 13 person. We met by Zoom for a day. It's nice to see those minutes are accurate, as corporate secretary; 14 you again. 14 correct? A. 15 A. 15 Same to you. Yes. I want to start by asking you a few And if you believe something is 16 Q. 16 Q. questions about your custom and practices with respect material, it goes in the minutes; fair to say? 17 17 to executing your job as corporate secretary of 18 A. Yes. 18 TransCanada. 19 19 0 Let me shift topics for a moment. I 20 Correct that one of the things you do 20 want to talk a little about your role. 21 21 as a corporate secretary is attend board meetings and Just to be clear, you were the 22 take minutes of those meetings? 22 day-to-day person running the legal side of this deal 23 A. Yes. for TransCanada; correct? 23 24 Q. And am I also correct, ma'am, that 24 A. Yes, internally, I was the lead on CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 541 Page 543 after you attend the meeting, you do your best to get 1 this transaction. 2 2 a draft out as quickly as possible so that that draft Q. And I think we had heard earlier, you reflects your relatively recent recollection of the 3 negotiated the NDA on TransCanada's behalf; is that 3 actual facts? Is that right? 4 right? 4 5 A. Yes. I always do my best to do so. 5 A. Correct. 6 Q. And after you do that, the draft first Q. And Bob Smith, who we heard earlier in 6 7 goes to senior management of the company for their 7 this trial -- in fact, earlier today -- he provided 8 comments; correct? 8 that draft to you, and you reviewed it and made 9 A. Yes, if they had an interest in that 9 comments; right? 10 particular item. 10 A. 11 And then to the extent they make 11 And just to be clear, you did not seek comments, you make whatever changes you need to make outside counsel in connection with your review and 12 12 13 in your minutes, and then you send them out to the 13 negotiation of the NDA at the NDA negotiation phase; board: correct? right? 14 14 15 A. Correct. The board sees a draft. 15 A. Yes. 16 And then the board reviews them. And 16 And specifically with respect to the 17 to the extent the board have comments, you make 17 standstill provision in the NDA, you read it, you changes based on board comments; right? understood it, and you proposed changes back to 18 18 19 A. Correct. 19 Mr. Smith; right? 20 A. 20 Q. And then they are presented for vote, 21 and they are either voted up or down. And if they're 21 Q. You also understood what a "don't ask, 22 voted up, you sign them and they become part of the 22 don't waive" provision was, and you knew that this particular standstill had one; correct? 23 corporate records; correct? 23 24 A. Correct. 24 A. Correct. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 544 Page 546 1 Q. You also gave advice regarding the 1 calling Columbia at that time; right? 2 I actually don't recall the name standstill to your clients at TransCanada from time to A. 2 3 time throughout the transaction; right? 3 Matchstick, but I'll agree that's what we were talking A. Correct. 4 about here. 4 5 Q. And your clients, the senior 5 Q. And it goes on to say, "bar is high on 6 management of TransCanada, understood TransCanada's 6 disclosure. Standstill. 12 months can't make a run 7 7 obligations under the standstill; right? at them." Yes. I think they had a general 8 Have I correctly read that? 8 A. understanding, and then they would come to me for 9 A. Yes. 9 specific questions. 10 Q. And those notes are consistent, at 10 11 Q. That's terrific. Thank you. 11 least on a high level, with your understanding of the And you know they understood it standstill; correct? 12 12 A. 13 because you made sure you understood it in discharging 13 Yes, very high. your role as counsel on the deal; right? 14 14 Q. Now, fair for me to say you don't specifically recall attending this meeting? 15 A. Yes. 15 It was almost seven years ago. I'm 16 16 Q. All right. So let's spend a few A. sorry. I wish I did. minutes on your understanding of the standstill 17 17 provisions in the NDA. 18 Q. It's quite all right. But it would be 18 true, isn't it, that at least based on the list of 19 Within a day or so of the NDA being 19 signed in November of 2015, there was a kickoff individuals we saw in the upper right-hand corner of 20 20 21 meeting of the internal TransCanada team; right? 21 this document -- and I'll ask Joe to go back up 22 A. I believe there was, yes. 22 again -- no one other than you was there that would have been giving advice that you can't take a run at 23 Q. Sorry? 23 24 A. Yes. Columbia for 12 months; right? CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 545 Page 547 1 Q. And you were in attendance at that 1 A. No. It looks like -- hard to tell. 2 2 meeting; right? But obviously I'm a lawyer at the meeting. A. Yes. 3 3 And by not making a run at Columbia So I'll ask my colleague, Mr. Wills, 4 for 12 months, you understand that TransCanada Q. 4 to -- and would you please open in your book to 5 couldn't enter into an unfriendly negotiation; 5 6 JX 314. And I want to talk about 314 for just a correct? 6 7 moment. 7 A. Correct. 8 314 is full of handwriting, and it 8 And by that, you mean that if you were 9 relates -- it's the materials or the package for this 9 negotiating with them, you would need to do so in a 10 kickoff meeting on November 10th; right? 10 cooperative way; fair to say? 11 A. 11 A. Fair to sav. And you're noted as attending the 12 And you understand the standstill to 12 Q. meeting; correct? If you look in the upper right-hand 13 allow friendly conversations with Columbia, but to 13 corner, it says "Chris J"? prohibit unfriendly ones; correct? 14 14 15 A. Yes. 15 I disagree with that. I think you Q. And you've got the November 10th, could have an unfriendly conversation, and that 16 17 2015, date there. wouldn't necessarily trigger the standstill. It depends what you mean. As long as you don't take any 18 And then down a little bit, under the 18 picture on the right side, there are a series of 19 19 actions that were violative of the standstill. entries. And we saw these once before in this trial, 20 20 You remember we had some fun meeting for a deposition some number of months ago? 21 but I just want to focus for a moment on them. 21 22 22 A. The first entry appears to read, "NDA I do. signed [with] [Match] [Stick]." 23 Q. 23 And when you gave that deposition, you 24 "Matchstick" was what you all were were under oath, and you did your best to tell the CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

		C. Johnston - Cross	Dogo F40			C. Johnston - Cross	Dogo EEO
1	truth; correct?		Page 548	1	Q.	Let's pause for a moment t	Page 550
2	A.	l did.		2		language of the standstill itself.	o tane a
3	Q.	All right. Let me just take a look	9	3	look at the	ATTORNEY VARALLO: `	Your Honor I
4		a look, if we can, at your	2	4	have rati	ner than just go to 305, which is th	
5		her. And I'll go ahead and play the	e .	5		ut and made parts of it a demons	
6	clip.	non. 7 that in go ahoda and play the		6	- 25	ny friend to put up Plaintiffs'	adavo.
7	onp.	ATTORNEY VARALLO: Couns	sel for your	7		itive 7a, which hopefully will be a l	ittle
8	purposes this is	at deposition page 87, lines 8	.e., rer yeun	8		se than the standstill itself.	
9	through 23.	an aspession page or, income		9	Q.	Ma'am, the standstill is also	o in its
10	aoag., 20.	(A video clip was played as follow	ws.)	10		m at JTX 305, if you want to see t	
11		Question: In what regard would	A 15	11		tried to pull it out to make it a little	
12	context inform y	100 to 10		12	50-0-0	o use. I want to focus on Section	
13	,	Answer: My understanding is the	e	13		ATTORNEY OLSEN: Do	0.54(18)
14	provisions of a s	standstill are to allow for what I'll	=	14	the demon		,
15	059	versations, but would preclude us		15		ATTORNEY VARALLO:	t's on the screen.
16		ut to shareholders directly through	£ _s	16	BY ATTOR	RNEY VARALLO:	
17		way of a proxy solicitation, going	2//	17	Q.	So let's look at what the sta	andstill
18	111422 - A	d or management.		18		In paragraph 3 and I'm focused	
19		Question: So is it your view that	to	19		nere, or capital A it prohibits a p	
20	the extent you w	ere making friendly overtures, tho		20		ring or offering to acquire or seeki	4
21	E.	by the standstill as opposed to		21		or agreeing to acquire by means o	100
22	unfriendly ones?			22	1000	o forth, ownership in the other par	
23	H Z I	Attorney Massengill: Objection t	О	23		Have I fairly summarized to	10.50
24	form.			24	A.	Yes.	
		CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS				CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS	
		C Johnston - Cross				C. Johnston - Cross	
021		C. Johnston - Cross	Page 549		~_	C. Johnston - Cross	Page 551
1		Answer: Yes.	Page 549	1	Q.	Now, in that language ar	nd I'm happy
2	DVATTODNEV	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.)	Page 549	2	to go to 30	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war	nd I'm happy
2	BY ATTORNEY	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO:		2	to go to 30 in that lang	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction	nd I'm happy nt but
2 3 4	Q.	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those qu	uestions,	2 3 4	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction r that would give you comfort abo	nd I'm happy nt but ut
2 3 4 5	Q. and did you give	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those que those answers at your deposition	uestions,	2 3 4 5	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction r that would give you comfort abo ing between friendly overtures and	nd I'm happy nt but ut
2 3 4 5 6	Q. and did you give A .	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those que those answers at your deposition Sorry, what's the question?	uestions, ?	2 3 4 5 6	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction r that would give you comfort aboung between friendly overtures and povertures?	nd I'm happy nt but ut d
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. and did you give A. Q.	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those que those answers at your deposition Sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions	uestions, ?	2 3 4 5 6 7	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly o	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction r that would give you comfort abo ing between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understa	nd I'm happy nt but ut d
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those que those answers at your deposition Sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions se answers at your deposition?	uestions, ?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question.	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction r that would give you comfort abo ing between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understant I'm sorry.	nd I'm happy nt but ut d
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A.	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those que those answers at your deposition Sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions se answers at your deposition? Yes.	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question.	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction r that would give you comfort aboing between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understal I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as	nd I'm happy nt but ut d nd the sking, to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q.	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those que those answers at your deposition Sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions se answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you con	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly (A. question. Q. a little bit m	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war guage, do you see any distinction r that would give you comfort aboing between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understail I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as nore clear, is, you told me that you	nd I'm happy nt but ut d nd the sking, to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those que those answers at your deposition Sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions as answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you contaw, testified about, with your	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war guage, do you see any distinction r that would give you comfort aboing between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understal I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as nore clear, is, you told me that you ding of the standstill was that you did not stand the standstill was that you did not standstill was that you did not stand the standstill was the sta	nd I'm happy ut d nd the sking, to be ur could do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus outside counsel	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those questions sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions se answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you cont saw, testified about, with your at Mayer Brown; correct?	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand friendly over	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war guage, do you see any distinction r that would give you comfort aboing between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understal I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as nore clear, is, you told me that you ding of the standstill was that you dertures, but that unfriendly ones were	nd I'm happy nt but ut d nd the sking, to be ur could do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus outside counsel A.	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those quethose answers at your deposition. Sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions as answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you cont saw, testified about, with your at Mayer Brown; correct? Sorry, repeat the question.	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand friendly over permitted.	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war guage, do you see any distinction r that would give you comfort abouting between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understant I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as more clear, is, you told me that you ding of the standstill was that you dertures, but that unfriendly ones with the standstill, in the textile.	nd I'm happy nt but ut d nd the sking, to be ur could do ere t of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus outside counsel	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those que those answers at your deposition. Sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions as eanswers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you cont saw, testified about, with your at Mayer Brown; correct? Sorry, repeat the question. Yeah, it's got a negative.	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand friendly over permitted. the stands	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war guage, do you see any distinction or that would give you comfort abouting between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understant I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as nore clear, is, you told me that you ding of the standstill was that you dertures, but that unfriendly ones we Where in the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the ideating the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the ideating the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the ideating the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the ideating the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the ideating the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the ideating the standstill is the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the ideating the standstill is the standstill in the textill the standstill is the standstill in the textill in the standstill is the standstill in the textill in the standstill, in the textill is the standstill in the standstill is the standstill in the standstill in the standstill is the standstill in the standstill in the standstill is the standstill in the standstill in the standstill is the standstill in the standstill in the standstill is the standstill in t	nd I'm happy nt but ut d nd the sking, to be ur could do ere t of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus outside counsel A. Q.	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those que those answers at your deposition. Sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions as answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you cont saw, testified about, with your at Mayer Brown; correct? Sorry, repeat the question. Yeah, it's got a negative. You don't remember confirming the state of the s	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand friendly ove permitted. the stands you can many control of the stands of the stand	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war guage, do you see any distinction or that would give you comfort abouting between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understant I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as nore clear, is, you told me that you ding of the standstill was that you dertures, but that unfriendly ones with Where in the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the idealake friendly overtures?	nd I'm happy nt but ut d nd the sking, to be ur could do ere t of a that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus outside counsel A. Q.	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those questions sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions se answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you cont saw, testified about, with your at Mayer Brown; correct? Sorry, repeat the question. Yeah, it's got a negative. You don't remember confirming the Brown; right?	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand friendly over permitted. the stands you can mark.	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war guage, do you see any distinction in that would give you comfort abouting between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understant I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as more clear, is, you told me that you ding of the standstill was that you dirtures, but that unfriendly ones with where in the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the ideatake friendly overtures? So I'm using the term cole	and I'm happy and but ut d and the sking, to be ur could do ere t of a that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus outside counsel A. Q. advice with May	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those que those answers at your deposition. Sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions as answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you cont saw, testified about, with your at Mayer Brown; correct? Sorry, repeat the question. Yeah, it's got a negative. You don't remember confirming the state of the s	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand friendly over permitted. the stands you can mark. A. But if you	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction in that would give you comfort abouting between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understant I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as nore clear, is, you told me that you directly ones were the standstill was that you dertures, but that unfriendly ones were will, do you find support for the idea ake friendly overtures? So I'm using the term collocould turn to the first page of the standstill, in the term collocould turn to the first page of the standstill was that you shall be standstill, in the text in the standstill, in the text in the standstill was that you shall be standstill, in the text in the standstill, in the text in the standstill was that you shall be standstill, in the text in the standstill, in the text in the standstill was that you shall be standstill, in the text in the standstill, in the text in the standstill was that you shall be standstill, in the text in the standstill, in the text in the standstill was that you shall be standstill was	and I'm happy and but ut d and the sking, to be ur could do ere t of a that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus outside counsel A. Q. advice with May A. transaction?	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those que those answers at your deposition. Sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions as answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you cont saw, testified about, with your at Mayer Brown; correct? Sorry, repeat the question. Yeah, it's got a negative. You don't remember confirming the Brown; right? Oh, during the course of the	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand friendly over permitted. the stands you can mark. A. But if you	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war guage, do you see any distinction or that would give you comfort abouting between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understant I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as nore clear, is, you told me that you directly only the standstill was that you dertures, but that unfriendly ones would work the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the idealake friendly overtures? So I'm using the term colloculd turn to the first page of the the beginning line.	and I'm happy ant but ut d and the sking, to be ur could do ere t of a that lloquially. he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus outside counsel A. Q. advice with May A. transaction? Q.	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those questions sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions see answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you cont saw, testified about, with your at Mayer Brown; correct? Sorry, repeat the question. Yeah, it's got a negative. You don't remember confirming the remaining the course of the Well, at any time. Do you recall	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand friendly ove permitted. the stands you can ma A. But if you standstill,	Now, in that language — ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction r that would give you comfort aboing between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understall I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as more clear, is, you told me that you ding of the standstill was that you dertures, but that unfriendly ones we where in the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the idea take friendly overtures? So I'm using the term collected turn to the first page of the beginning line. ATTORNEY VARALLO:	and I'm happy ant but ut d and the sking, to be ur could do ere t of a that lloquially. he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus outside counsel A. Q. advice with May A. transaction? Q. whether or not y	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those questions sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions se answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you cont saw, testified about, with your at Mayer Brown; correct? Sorry, repeat the question. Yeah, it's got a negative. You don't remember confirming the Brown; right? Oh, during the course of the Well, at any time. Do you recall you confirmed the views you just	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand friendly over permitted. the standstyou can mark A. But if you standstill,	Now, in that language — ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction in that would give you comfort abound between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understant I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as more clear, is, you told me that you did not the standstill was that you dertures, but that unfriendly ones we where in the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the idea ake friendly overtures? So I'm using the term collected turn to the first page of the beginning line. ATTORNEY VARALLO:	and I'm happy at but ut d and the sking, to be ur could do ere t of a that lloquially. he Let's open, Joe, to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus outside counsel A. Q. advice with May A. transaction? Q. whether or not y testified about w	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those questions sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions see answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you cont saw, testified about, with your at Mayer Brown; correct? Sorry, repeat the question. Yeah, it's got a negative. You don't remember confirming the remaining the course of the Well, at any time. Do you recall	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand friendly ove permitted. the stands you can ma A. But if you standstill,	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction or that would give you comfort abouting between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understant I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as nore clear, is, you told me that you ding of the standstill was that you dertures, but that unfriendly ones we where in the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the idea ake friendly overtures? So I'm using the term collected turn to the first page of the beginning line. ATTORNEY VARALLO: Ite.	and I'm happy at but ut d and the sking, to be ur could do ere t of a that lloquially. he Let's open, Joe, to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus outside counsel A. Q. advice with May A. transaction? Q. whether or not y	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those questions sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions se answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you cont saw, testified about, with your at Mayer Brown; correct? Sorry, repeat the question. Yeah, it's got a negative. You don't remember confirming the Brown; right? Oh, during the course of the well, at any time. Do you recall you confirmed the views you just with your outside counsel at Mayer	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand friendly over permitted. the standstyou can make the standstill, 305, please Q. A.	Now, in that language — ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction in that would give you comfort abouting between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understant I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as nore clear, is, you told me that you did not the standstill was that you dertures, but that unfriendly ones with where in the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the idea ake friendly overtures? So I'm using the term collected turn to the first page of the beginning line. ATTORNEY VARALLO: So here is the standstill its so if you go to page 1, "I	nd I'm happy nt but ut d nd the sking, to be ur could do ere t of a that lloquially. he Let's open, Joe, to elf. n connection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus outside counsel A. Q. advice with May A. transaction? Q. whether or not y testified about w Brown?	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those questions sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions see answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you cont saw, testified about, with your at Mayer Brown; correct? Sorry, repeat the question. Yeah, it's got a negative. You don't remember confirming the Brown; right? Oh, during the course of the Well, at any time. Do you recall you confirmed the views you just with your outside counsel at Mayer I don't recall specifically. We	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand friendly over permitted. the standstyou can make the standstill, 305, please Q. A.	Now, in that language ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction or that would give you comfort abouting between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understant I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as nore clear, is, you told me that you ding of the standstill was that you dertures, but that unfriendly ones we where in the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the idea ake friendly overtures? So I'm using the term collected turn to the first page of the beginning line. ATTORNEY VARALLO: Ite.	nd I'm happy nt but ut d nd the sking, to be ur could do ere t of a that lloquially. he Let's open, Joe, to elf. n connection
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. and did you give A. Q. did you give tho A. Q. the view you jus outside counsel A. Q. advice with May A. transaction? Q. whether or not y testified about w Brown? A.	Answer: Yes. (End of video clip.) VARALLO: Ma'am, were you asked those questions sorry, what's the question? Were you asked those questions see answers at your deposition? Yes. You don't recall whether you cont saw, testified about, with your at Mayer Brown; correct? Sorry, repeat the question. Yeah, it's got a negative. You don't remember confirming the Brown; right? Oh, during the course of the Well, at any time. Do you recall you confirmed the views you just with your outside counsel at Mayer I don't recall specifically. We	uestions, ? s, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to go to 30 in that lang whatsoeve distinguish unfriendly of A. question. Q. a little bit munderstand friendly ove permitted. the standstyou can material and standstill, 305, please Q. A. with a [points]	Now, in that language — ar 5 for the whole context, if you war juage, do you see any distinction r that would give you comfort aboing between friendly overtures and overtures? I'm not sure if I understall I'm sorry. I guess the question I'm as more clear, is, you told me that you ding of the standstill was that you dertures, but that unfriendly ones we where in the standstill, in the textill, do you find support for the idea ake friendly overtures? So I'm using the term collected turn to the first page of the beginning line. ATTORNEY VARALLO: So here is the standstill itses so if you go to page 1, "I sasibly] mutually agreed transactions.	and I'm happy ant but ut d and the sking, to be ur could do ere t of a that lloquially. he Let's open, Joe, to elf. n connection

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 552 Page 554 1 A. And I think I take from that -- my 1 0 Why don't you open, if you would, to understanding of standstills generally is that it's 2 JTX 413. And let's see if we can identify that as --2 3 there to allow the parties to negotiate cooperatively. 3 at least on the second page, I believe -- we can And then there are certain very specified items that 4 identify that as part of these thoughts from your 4 5 we can't do that would be deemed unfriendly. 5 boss, Mr. Poirier -- or your client, Mr. Poirier. 6 I see. Now, a mutually agreed 6 ATTORNEY VARALLO: Joe, move to the 7 7 transaction contemplates that parties have agreed on second page of that, please. terms: correct? 8 So I mentioned an email from Poirier 8 0 A. If used in the past tense, yes. 9 to you and others. Is this the email you had in mind 9 Q. I want to go back to Section 3 for a 10 when you answered my question just a moment ago? 10 11 moment and make sure I understand your answer. 11 A. Yes. ATTORNEY VARALLO: Could you please go 12 Q. So let's just take a look at this 12 13 back to 7a, demonstrative 7a. 13 because I believe that it is this email which is the 14 Just so I understand it, is your 14 precursor to your memo to him on the standstill; is testimony, your understanding of the standstill, that that right? 15 15 the introductory words on page 1, "In connection with 16 A. 16 Yes. a possible mutually agreed transaction ...," that you 17 Q. We'll walk through it and see if it 17 read that as modifying the standstill dos and don'ts, 18 makes sense. 18 the prohibitions of the standstill in Section 3(a)? 19 19 So this is dated November 28th, 2015. Is that your testimony? 20 And the people on the recipient list are the senior 20 officer core of the company; right? 21 A. It sets the stage for the standstill. 21 22 Q. Sets the stage for the standstill. 22 A. Correct. Okay. 23 Q. 23 And it was sent just a few days after 24 And when you distinguish between Columbia sent the "pencils down" letter; is that CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 553 Page 555 friendly transactions or friendly overtures as being 1 right? 2 2 allowed, the basis for that, just so I understand it, Yes. A. 3 is this language at the beginning of 305, "In ATTORNEY VARALLO: Joe, would you 3 connection with a possible mutually agreed 4 please open to page 003. 4 5 transaction ...," that signals to you that you can 5 Q. And I want to focus in, Ms. Johnston, 6 make friendly overtures; right? 6 on two paragraphs up from the heading "Timeline." 7 That signals to me that the intent is 7 You'll see a timeline towards the bottom. I want to 8 that the parties are working together with potentially 8 focus in on that, two paragraphs up. 9 the same endpoint in sight. And then how we get there 9 After noting his perspective that 10 is determined through the provisions of the standstill 10 Columbia's management would support a sale and that 11 to ensure that each party is in compliance. 11 the Columbia board does not appear to be wed to that path, Mr. Poirier suggests that TransCanada should 12 Ma'am, how to get there is structured, 12 13 at least in part, by the prohibitions of Section 3; 13 convey its sincere interest and ability to move isn't that fair to say. quickly to the extent that TransCanada has strong 14 14 15 A. That's fair. 15 relationships with any board members; right? 16 So we saw your advice to Mr. Poirier 16 A. Yes. 17 earlier after the "pencils down" letter. I want to 17 Q. And then two paragraphs down -back up a step. And to borrow from my friend C.J., I 18 ATTORNEY VARALLO: Joe, if you'd skip 18 19 want to talk about timelines for a second. 19 down two paragraphs. 20 20 -- he appears to suggest that Do you recall after the "pencils down" 21 letter, Mr. Poirier writing a memo to you and the 21 TransCanada should immediately try to reengage if members of the deal team setting forth his thoughts on 22 Columbia doesn't complete an equity offering; right? 22 23 what to do next? 23 Yes. A. 24 Α 24 Q. Yes And flipping over to the next page, CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 556 Page 558 1 .004, in the second full paragraph here, Mr. Poirier 1 equity securities or material assets." 2 also suggests that if an equity offering goes forward, Right? 2 A. 3 he should check in with Columbia's CFO, and 3 Yes. Mr. Girling should check in with Bob -- that would be 4 Q. That's consistent with your 4 5 Bob Skaggs; right? 5 understanding at the time; right? 6 A. Likely, yes. 6 A. Yes. 7 7 Q. -- before the holidays leading towards Q. I noted, ma'am, that your summary does reengagement in January; correct? 8 not include the language from the standstill itself, 8 A. Yes. 9 which is seek or propose to acquire. You weren't 9 Q. And then finally, on the last 10 meaning to leave those out for any reason; right? 10 11 paragraph, Mr. Poirier suggests that the group should 11 No. But it was a summary. And I "spend more time thinking about how to create time 12 think that the words on the page here captured the 12 same sense of those words. 13 pressure" on Columbia; is that right? 13 14 A. I'm sorry. I don't see that language 14 But you do agree, don't you, that seeking or proposing is also prohibited by the 15 there. 15 standstill? 16 16 Q. I'm down at the last paragraph here. "I think we should spend more time 17 A. Yes. 17 thinking about how to create time pressure." 18 Q. And going back to 424, in the second 18 Do you see that? numbered paragraph here, that basically summarizes the 19 19 20 A. I do. 20 "don't ask, don't waive" provision; correct? Q. 21 A. I think that's right, yes. 21 So this comes out just days after the "pencils down" letter. It's the head of corporate 22 Q. So your summary talks about amending. 22 development, who is the business lead on the team and 23 23 You also acknowledge, don't you, that asking for a your client, and he's sending it to the senior waiver would be prohibited by "don't ask, don't 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 557 Page 559 1 executive core and his lawyer, you; right? 1 waive"? 2 2 A. Correct. A. Yes. 3 3 Q. And he's giving some thoughts about So let's see. I think you told me how TransCanada might want to proceed; fair to say? 4 earlier your executives understood the standstill 4 5 A. Yes. 5 based on your advice? 6 A. Q. So when you got this, you read it, and 6 7 it raised the possibility for you that reaching out to 7 Q. Let's see how your client responds and Columbia might implicate the NDA. So you reminded 8 indicates his understanding. 8 9 Mr. Poirier of the need to be careful under the 9 ATTORNEY VARALLO: Joe, can we go up 10 standstill; right? 10 to the top email, please. 11 Yes. I sent up a summary of the 11 So now this is Mr. Poirier writing to standstill, and I believe we had some conversations 12 12 Mr. Girling on 1st December 2015. Just to save 13 around that time. 13 context, Mr. Girling at the time was CEO of the company; is that right? 14 And let's take a look at that summary 14 15 once again. I believe it's at 424. And we saw it a 15 A. Correct. few moments ago, but I'd like to bring it up again, if 16 Q. So Mr. Poirier is writing to his 16 17 17 direct boss: right? A. Yes -- indirect. I think there was 18 So you write to your client, 18 19 Mr. Poirier, a summary. And you say, "For 12 19 another executive in between them. months ... TransCanada ...," through it's officers and 20 Q. Indirect, but ultimate? 20 A. 21 representatives, et cetera, "unless Capricorn[] [] 21 Yes. 22 22 Q. specifically requests in writing in advance" -- it So he says, "Hi Russ, See below." And can't acquire, offer to acquire -- I'm sorry --23 23 he's passing along your advice. 24 "Acquire, offer or agree to acquire ownership of 24 And he says, "We basically must get CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 560 Page 562 1 Capricorn's acquiescence to pursue this transaction, 1 0 And your view was that reaching out to 2 or even to seek to influence them." 2 tell Columbia that TransCanada might still be 3 "We basically must get Capricorn's 3 interested in proposing a deal wouldn't violate the 4 acquiescence to pursue this transaction" 4 standstill; right? 5 He didn't ask you for that advice; 5 A. Correct. 6 right? He didn't send this to you in advance for your 6 Q. And your view in that regard was 7 7 review? informed both by your reading of the plain language A. 8 and your understanding of the context in which the 8 No. Q. But you didn't disagree with his 9 standstill was intended -- what the standstill was 9 businessperson's understanding of the standstill; 10 intended to allow or prohibit; correct? 10 11 right? 11 A. Yes. A. I think I could parse the words and 12 Q. And the understanding that you had was 12 come up with a better summary. But, yes, we would 13 13 that the purpose of the standstill was to allow for 14 need to have an invitation of the board to make an 14 friendly conversations, to prohibit unfriendly ones. offer, for instance. But not -- "pursue the I think we saw that earlier, including going directly 15 15 transaction," I don't think those words exist in the to Columbia shareholders; right? 16 16 NDA. 17 A. Yes. 17 You don't think he's incorrect in his 18 Q. 18 Q. But you also understood, didn't you, assessment of the standstill's obligations, do you? that even the friendliest of conversations which 19 19 20 I actually -- as a lawyer, I probably 20 contained an offer would violate the standstill if not 21 would take a different summary of it. But what I do preceded by an invitation to bid in writing from the 21 22 like is that he understands that we can't reach out to 22 board of Columbia; right? 23 the board members. 23 A. If, in fact, it was an offer. 24 Q. Let's see what you said on your 24 Q. And you understood as well that a CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 561 Page 563 proposal to acquire securities could violate the 1 deposition. ATTORNEY VARALLO: Counsel, for your 2 2 standstill without a prior invitation to make such a 3 purposes, it's deposition page 80, lines 18 through proposal; correct? 3 21. 4 Yes. The word "proposal" is used 4 A. 5 (A video clip was played as follows:) 5 there. 6 6 Question: You think Poirier is wrong? And it's correct as well that you 0 7 Attorney Massengill: Objection to 7 viewed an "offer," quote/unquote, as something that 8 8 was capable of being accepted by Columbia? That's form. 9 Answer: No. 9 your definition of an offer; right? 10 10 (End of video clip.) A. Yes. 11 BY ATTORNEY VARALLO: 11 But you also agree with me, don't you, that a proposal is typically something less than an 12 Is it fair to say you were asked that 12 13 question and gave that answer? And in context, it was 13 offer? about this particular email. I'll make that 14 I actually think it's very similar. I 14 15 representation to you. think a proposal also has to be capable of being 16 You don't think he was wrong; right? 16 accepted. 17 A. Do I think he was wrong? Again, I 17 You are making me do this again. I'm would characterize it differently. I'm glad that he 18 18 reaching for it again. Let's go to your deposition. 19 was thinking about it, and I'm glad he understood that 19 ATTORNEY VARALLO: Counsel, page 99, he couldn't go around and talk to the board directly. 20 20 beginning at line 23. 21 Q. So it's correct, isn't it, that 21 (A video clip was played as follows:) 22 22 Mr. Poirier reached out to Mr. Smith of Columbia in Question: Do you distinguish in your December of 2015 after the "pencils down" letter? mind between an offer and a proposal? 23 23 24 A. 24 Attorney Massengill: Objection to I think that's right, yes. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 564 Page 566 1 form. 1 leverage against Capricorn," that sentence begins, "We 2 Answer: Semantics. 2 have considered whether Taurus could increase its 3 Question: Okay. 3 bargaining leverage by threatening to disclose the 4 4 existence of its \$26 per share offer." Let me stop Answer: If a proposal could be 5 accepted from a legal perspective, then maybe it's an 5 right there. 6 offer. But typically a proposal is something less 6 So this memo was generated by your 7 7 than an offer. most excellent lawyers at Mayer Brown in response to a (End of video clip.) 8 question that was asked by a board member from your 8 BY ATTORNEY VARALLO: 9 TransCanada board; correct? 9 10 You were asked that question, and you 10 A. Yes 11 gave that answer, ma'am? And that question had to do very A. Yes, that's right. specifically with whether disclosure of an offer could 12 12 13 Q. And you agree that seeking or 13 be used to exert leverage in negotiation; correct? 14 proposing to acquire is also prohibited by the 14 A. I don't recall what the language was, standstill; right? 15 15 but it was something along those lines. A. 16 16 Yes. Q. Along those lines. 17 Q. 17 And when our friends at Mayer Brown But, in your view, expressing a range of possible prices at which TransCanada might be 18 answered that question, they set forth the question 18 prepared to buy Columbia was not prohibited by the they are answering. And they say, we've considered 19 19 standstill; was that right? this; right? We've considered whether you could 20 20 21 A. Yes. 21 increase your bargaining leverage by threatening to 22 Q. 22 disclose the existence of the \$26 offer; correct? And your view regarding giving a range of prices at which TransCanada might transact not 23 A. That's what they say here, yes. 23 being prohibited, that's based on your view that 24 Q. 24 And they go on to say, we don't think CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 565 Page 567 it's viable: "(1) [because] the standstill agreement expressing a range isn't an offer since a range can't 2 be accepted; fair to say? 2 between the parties bars [TransCanada] from making A. 3 Yes. I think it's just an indication 3 such a disclosure without Capricorn's consent;" and of interest. then they go on to identify a number of other reasons 4 4 5 Q. 5 why they don't think it would work. Fair to say? And you don't recall whether or not 6 A. you sought Mayer Brown's advice on that point; right? Yes. 6 7 I don't recall if I sought it at that 7 Q. Now, you got this in the middle of December of 2015; correct? 8 point. I might have sought it later. 8 9 You also told Mr. Poirier that 9 A. Yes. expressing a verbal indication of interest would not 10 Q. You read it? 10 11 constitute an offer; right? 11 A. Uh-huh. You understood it? 12 A. 12 0 13 Q. But you did get advice from Mayer 13 A. Brown in December of 2015 that TransCanada couldn't 14 14 Q. Fair to say you talked with your 15 increase its bargaining leverage by threatening to 15 lawyers about it? disclose its offer; correct? 16 A. I don't think we talked at all about 16 17 A. That was in the memo, yes. 17 it. Q. 18 So let's take a few moments and take a 18 Okay. So you got it, you read it, you 19 look at that memo. It is in your book at JTX 517. 19 understood it. And then you sent it to your client, And when you get to it, I'd like you to open to Francois Poirier; correct? 20 20 21 page 007. And I'd like to spend a moment with you on 21 A. I sent a summary of it about three 22 weeks later. that. 22 23 23 Q. So drawing your attention to the Well, let's go to the first page of paragraph down the bottom under the heading "Possible this exhibit, if we can, please. So this is your 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 568 Page 570 1 summary of it; correct? 1 them. 2 2 A. Yes Q. But just to be clear --3 Q. And let's scroll down and look 3 ATTORNEY VARALLO: And, by the way, specifically at the summary of this particular point. 4 can we go back to that paragraph 3 in the memo itself, 4 5 I think it's number 3. 5 Joe. 6 ATTORNEY VARALLO: Keep going, Joe. 6 I just want to focus on how Mayer 7 7 There we go. Brown thinks about this for a second. 8 "Potential leverage — generally no." 8 ATTORNEY VARALLO: I'm sorry. On Q. And your summary is "Taurus cannot 9 page 007, the memo itself. 9 disclose a superior offer without their consent (under 10 Q. You know, it's funny. They refer to 10 11 the standstill)." 11 this as the "existence of its \$26 per share offer." Have I correctly read that? And just a few moments ago, my partner, Mr. Orrico, 12 12 13 A. Yes. 13 was examining your lead director, I guess now 14 Q. You say you sent a summary, but you 14 chairman, Mr. Vanaselja, about whether there was a \$26 questioned whether you sent the actual document. offer made back in the November time period. 15 15 Let's go up to the header on this particular summary 16 16 It sure looks like our friends at document. 17 Mayer Brown thought there was a \$26 offer, doesn't it? 17 18 18 It's from you to Poirier and Marchand. I don't think so, necessarily. I It copies Kristine. Kristine was the general counsel, think they are using shorthand and imprecise 19 19 your boss; is that right? 20 terminology. 20 21 A. Yes. 21 Q. Imprecise terminology. Well, you got 22 Q. It says, forward -- "FW" is forward; 22 this in draft, and you read it. And before you sent it on to your client, you had the opportunity to ask 23 correct? 23 24 A. Yes. counsel to correct imprecise terminology; right? CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 569 Page 571 1 Q. And that's the name of the Mayer Brown 1 A. If I wanted to. I think we had advice memo we just looked at, right, "Project 2 2 already moved on to some other things. And I -- it constellation Issues"? wasn't something that was pressing. As you can tell, 3 3 A. Yes. I think that's right, yes. 4 I only sent out the summary a few weeks later. 4 5 Q. And then right under "Sent," there's 5 Q. This was created in response to a 6 that wonderful word -- I don't even know what it's request from a board member; correct? 6 7 called. Description in Word software for the "Project 7 Yes. It was what I would characterize 8 constellation Issues" memo; right? 8 as an offhand comment that I felt I should follow up 9 A. Yes. And I'm happy to cut to the 9 on 10 chase and say I attached the memo. 10 Q. And did you convey this advice back to 11 Q. No doubt you passed the memo on; 11 the board member? 12 right? 12 No. They never asked for it again. 13 A. Yes. 13 They never got to that position. 14 But you thought it was -- an offhand 14 And so Mr. Poirier, being an 15 intelligent guy -- by the way, is Mr. Poirier schooled 15 comment, but an offhand comment from somebody who is in law as well? sitting on the board. And it was important to you, as 16 17 A. I don't think so. 17 a member of the legal team, to be responsive to that Q. 18 So Poirier, being an intelligent guy, 18 offhand comment; right? 19 now the CEO of the entire company, he certainly read 19 A. Yes. We prepared a memo or had a memo this and your summary as well; fair to say? prepared. 20 20 21 A. I expect he may have read the summary. 21 Q. So notwithstanding that you get this I don't know if he would have -- I wouldn't expect our 22 22 from Mayer Brown in November, calling this a "\$26 per executives, who are very busy people, to read the share offer," you didn't ask them to correct it 23 23 memo. That's why I try and do a rough summary for 24 because you didn't think it was important? 24

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 572 Page 574 A. No. I mean, I think, if anything, I 1 by the standstill; right? 1 probably told them to keep their memo short, and they 2 A. Yes. 2 3 probably used shorthand. 3 O And you believe that making a verbal So whether as a result of reading the 4 indication of interest is also not prohibited; true? 5 standstill itself and negotiating or getting this 5 A. True. 6 memo, you clearly understood, right, that the 6 Q. And you understood that the standstill standstill could not be used to exert leverage through 7 7 prohibited TransCanada from using -- or the NDA disclosure? 8 prohibited TransCanada from using disclosure to exert 8 A. That the standstill could not be used? 9 leverage in the negotiations; true? 9 I'm sorry. 10 A. Correct, unless required by stock 10 11 Q. 11 exchange rules. A. Is that the question you mean to ask? 12 So let's move on to how TransCanada 12 0 13 I'm sorry. It doesn't make sense to me. 13 actually operated under the agreement. 14 So just so I've got it, we're talking 14 You recall, don't you, that early in about Mayer Brown's advice here. First sentence; the New Year, Mr. Poirier reached out and had a 15 15 conversation with Mr. Steve Smith to request a meeting 16 right? 16 A. Right. to reengage with Columbia? 17 17 Q. 18 18 "[C]onsidered whether [TransCanada] A. Yes, I recall that. 19 19 could increase its bargaining leverage by threatening And then the same day that Poirier and to disclose the existence of its \$26 ... offer" -- I'm 20 Stephen Smith spoke, Mr. Robert Smith, the general 20 21 sorry, my question wasn't clear. I see your problem. 21 counsel of Columbia, reached out to you to discuss the 22 Under the standstill --22 overture; right? And I can help set the time, if 23 ATTORNEY OLSEN: Still wrong. you'd like. 23 24 ATTORNEY VARALLO: I appreciate that, 24 A. If you could, yeah. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 573 Page 575 1 Counsel. 1 Q. Let's take a look at 506, JTX 506. 2 A. 2 Q. Under the NDA, you couldn't use the Yes, January 4th. 3 threat of disclosure to exert leverage against your Q. So he says, "Happy New Year! Can [we] 3 bargaining partner; correct? 4 call I want to touch base regarding a 4 5 A. Yes. 5 conversation between Francois and Steve Smith earlier 6 Q. And you understood that, and that's today." 6 7 part of what you told Francois and that was in answer 7 Right? 8 to your board member's question; all fair to say? 8 A. Yes. 9 A. Yes. 9 Q. So just to be clear, this 10 Q. So let me see if I can't sum up your 10 communication from general counsel of your positions on the standstill. You agree that making an 11 counterparty comes after the communication between 11 offer would violate the standstill unless waived in Francois and Steve; right? 12 12 13 advance; right? 13 A. Yes. A. 14 14 And in your call with Bob Smith, you 15 Q. Or invited in advance. 15 discussed that TransCanada was reengaging, and you You understand that a proposal is 16 also probably discussed the standstill; right? 16 17 something less than an offer; fair to say? 17 A. A. 18 Q. 18 I'll say semantics again. And you and Mr. Bob Smith spoke after 19 Okay. And that making a proposal 19 the call between the principals took place that's could violate the standstill unless you are invited; referred to in this email; yes? 20 20 I don't recall. But from that email, 21 right? 21 A. 22 A. 22 it looked like it was early -- it was after Francois Yes. 23 Q. And you say that expressing a range of 23 and Steve had a conversation. 24 prices at which you might transact is not prohibited Q. 24 Thank you. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 576 Page 578 1 And then in January, you reached out 1 0 Isn't the making of an offer, by to Bob Smith to tell him that Girling and Skaggs were 2 definition, the central thing that's prohibited in the 2 3 going to speak and advised them that this conversation 3 standstill, or did I misread that? would not constitute an offer or otherwise be 4 A. Yes, agreed. But we weren't making an 4 5 precluded by the standstill; correct? 5 offer. 6 A. Is this the later in January one? 6 Q. I see. And you get this, and you are 7 7 not comfortable with this. You respond to him; right? Q. Let's look at 623. 8 A. Yes. I recall this chain of emails. 8 What do I sav? A. and I shared my interpretation of the standstill and 9 Q. 9 In fact, you call him out. You tell that it was -- the conversation that was going to him he's wrong. "Thanks Bob. I am comfortable with 10 10 11 happen was, in my view, not violative of the the conversation planned to take place this afternoon. standstill. As indicated, if we were to move forward, the words in 12 13 Q. And this is -- your original email to 13 the standstill that we agreed would appear" -- and you 14 Bob is on January 25th, at about 11:51 in the morning; 14 underline "appear" -- "to require more explicit Board direction for an offer (even if conditioned)." 15 correct? 15 Yes. 16 16 A. That's your language; right? 17 Q. And you are in Calgary; is that right? 17 A. Yes. I'm telling him an offer would Yes. require explicit board invitation or direction. 18 A. 18 Is that Pacific or Mountain Time? 19 19 Q. So you say, there's a meeting; I want 20 A. Mountain Time. 20 to confirm it's not a violation. He says, I'll tell 21 Q. And your January 25th email notes your 21 you what, an offer isn't a violation in this context. 22 understanding that you would need a written invitation 22 And then you say, wait a minute, wait a minute, wait a from the Columbia board to discuss any of the matters minute; it appears that you need more. 23 23 covered in Section 3 of the standstill; right? 24 Fair to say? 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 577 Page 579 A. Yes. 1 A. 1 I'm not sure if I'm capturing what you 2 Q. 2 And the matters covered in Section 3 are saying there. But I know that -- I think the 3 understanding that we had between the two of us was 3 are acquisition, proposal, seeking to acquire, the kinds of things we talked about earlier; right? 4 that the conversation taking place that afternoon 4 5 A. Yes. 5 would not constitute an offer. If, however, down the 6 road, we ever got to a place that there was an offer, 6 Q. Mr. Smith responded to your email; is 7 that true? 7 I would expect that it would only be a -- an offer 8 A. Yes, he did. 8 would only be provided by TransCanada in compliance 9

9 Q. So let's look at Smith's response.

You share with him your view that a range isn't an 10

11 offer. And he writes, in part, "I confirm by this

email that receipt of an offer to purchase our 12

13 securities in this context would not violate or be In

contravention with the terms of the NDA, including the 14

15 standstill provision."

16 So you write to share your legal

17 interpretation that a meeting and conversation

18 wouldn't constitute an offer, and he writes back to

19 say an offer doesn't violate the standstill?

20 A. He says "in this context."

21 Q. "In this context."

22 A. And, again, the word "offer," again,

is being used as shorthand. He was referring to the 23

broad discussions that were to take place. 24

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

with the standstill under the NDA, which required

10 express board invitation.

11 Why did you write back to Bob Smith

after he told you an offer doesn't require -- doesn't 12

13 violate the standstill?

14 I don't think that's what he meant.

15 He said "in this context." And I think when he used

"offer," he meant the conversation, which of course

wasn't an offer. I think it was just poor choice of

language on his part. But I think we understood each 18

19 other as to what was happening at the time.

20 So he thought a conversation was an

21 offer?

22

A.

23 Q. I'm sorry, I must have misheard you

just a moment ago.

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 580 Page 582 1 A. No. of course not. 1 of Columbia, recapped the call. And I want to focus 2 Q. You said he said an offer "in this 2 you on JTX 622. And you'll see -- if we go to the 3 context." 3 second page of this and work our way up from the 4 Regardless of how you interpreted it, 4 bottom, you see somebody by the name of Jeffrey 5 why did you write back to him? 5 Cliver. And he mentions a call. He says, "We['II] be 6 I wanted to confirm that -- I just 6 getting a debrief then." And this is entitled "CPG 7 7 said, the conversation that's going to take place this debrief call." afternoon was not an offer, it was just a 8 8 And let's move up the chain, if we conversation, but in the future, if an offer was going 9 9 can. And you'll see two above that -to happen, we would not do so unless under the 10 ATTORNEY VARALLO: One more up, 10 11 standstill, which required express board invitation. 11 please, Joe. You actually referred him to the 12 -- there's a request to Mr. Cliver's 12 Q. 13 language of the standstill; right? 13 colleague that minutes be taken of the call; right? 14 A. Yes. 14 A It's not my -- I don't know these Q. people, but I see there's some bullet points where 15 Because you knew that his 15 interpretation, at least one possible reading of his they are summarizing a punchline. 16 16 interpretation, was just plain wrong; that an offer 17 All right. So let's look at the first 17 was prohibited by the standstill; correct? 18 page, and let's see -- after Mr. Cliver's colleague 18 I think it was just a poor choice of 19 19 was asked to take notes or minutes of the call, let's words for him in his very brief email. I can't speak 20 see how his colleague recites the call. 20 for him. 21 21 ATTORNEY VARALLO: And I'd ask you to 22 22 0 Instead of responding to him, Bob, blow the first page up, Joe, please. Let's focus on the first paragraph, actually. 23 what do you mean, that's a poor choice of words, you 23 went right to the language of the standstill and wrote 24 Q. So it says, "Note: Punchline: - []25 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 581 Page 583 to [\$]28/share all-cash offer." And it continues. him back language that was very clearly intended to 2 2 preserve your position that this was not intended to So you weren't on the call at which 3 be an offer; correct? 3 Girling made the 25 to \$28 indicative intent, A. 4 4 according to you, or offer, according to Lazard; 5 Q. 5 right? Thank you. 6 Now, you learned, didn't you, either Again, I don't know them. I don't 6 7 before or after the January 25th call, that 7 know how they use the term "offer," if they used it as 8 Mr. Girling communicated a range of 25 to \$28 per 8 shorthand. 9 share? 9 I appreciate that, but that's not the 10 A. I think that's right. I'll take your 10 question I asked you. I asked you, were you on the 11 word for it. I don't have it in front of me. 11 call? 12 12 And you are okay with that because, in A. No. your view, expression of a range is only an indicative 13 Q. And Girling didn't report to you what 13 intent and isn't an offer because it can't be happened during the call; right? 14 14 15 accepted; fair to say? 15 A. Not directly, no. 16 A. Correct. 16 In fact, you were giving advice to 17 Q. So let's look at how your -- Lazard 17 Poirier, and Poirier was choosing to pass it on or not to Girling, but you weren't speaking directly to was one of the firms representing you in this deal; is 18 18 19 that right? 19 Girling; fair to say? A. No, they were not. A. Correct. I'm not sure about the word 20 20 "choosing." But that's not for me to say, whether he 21 Q. I'm sorry. Lazard was representing 21 chose to pass advice on or not. 22 Columbia; right? 22 23 23 A. Correct. Q. My point is, you weren't advising 24 Q. So let's look at how Lazard, on behalf Girling directly? To the extent you were advising CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Cross

C. Johnston - Cross

Page 584 Page 586 1 him, you were advising him through Poirier? 1 he says, "A short e-mail from you to Bob asking him to For the most part, yes. confirm that the board consents to the discussion 2 A. 2 3 Q. And you weren't invited to sit on the 3 would make sense to us " call that Girling made on the 25th of January? 4 Fair to say? 4 5 A. No, I wasn't. 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. But someone who was referred to it as 6 Q. Now, you specifically note that the an "all cash offer." Any reason to dispute that 7 7 NDA and standstill require that you get an express person's recitation of what happened during that call? 8 written invite from the Columbia board to make an 8 I don't know anything about them. I 9 offer; right? 9 A don't know. 10 A. Yes. 10 11 Q. Let's skip forward, if we can, in time 11 But you were asking for advice here, to early March. You recall, don't you, that in early 12 even though you believed Mr. Girling would be talking 12 about a range; fair to say? 13 March, you learned that your CEO was going to discuss 13 a range of prices at which TransCanada might be 14 A. Yes. 14 Q. willing to transact, and you reached out to Mayer 15 And your lawyers then suggest an email 15 Brown for advice in this regard? for you to send; right? 16 16 Sorry. Can you tell me the date 17 A. 17 18 Q. And if you would open to 814, perhaps 18 again. we can identify that as the advice you got. 19 Q. Early March. Take a look at 813, 19 JTX 813. 20 So this is the draft email your 20 21 lawyers sent to you; right? ATTORNEY VARALLO: And let's blow up, 21 if we can, the middle email from Johnston to Noreuil, 22 A. Yes. 22 from March 3rd, please. 23 Q. 23 And 814 notes the upcoming discussion 24 Q. of a price range, quotes the standstill, and asks for So this is your email to your lawyers CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 585 Page 587 confirmation that the Columbia board expressly at Mayer Brown; correct? 2 requested an offer; correct? 2 A. Correct. 3 A. Yes. 3 Q. And it begins, "Given the terms of our NDA — that is — the standstill and that we need 4 Q. And you actually sent something 4 5 express written invite from their board to make an 5 substantially similar to this to Mr. Bob Smith at 6 Columbia; correct? offer - is there anything we should do to ensure that 6 7 we are not offside." 7 A. 8 8 And if you open to 827 -- I think we And then you continue in the second 9 paragraph, "Russ will be discussion range of price 9 had talked about 827 in your direct -- that's what you 10 with their CEO Saturday morning" -- "Russ" is 10 actually sent to Bob Smith; right? 11 Mr. Girling here; is that right? 11 A. Yes. And it's almost verbatim identical to 12 A. Correct. 12 Q. 13 Q. And what you are intending to 13 what your friends at Mayer Brown drafted for you; is communicate is that you believed Mr. Girling would be that right? 14 14 15 discussing a range of indicative pricing on that 15 A. Yes. coming Saturday; right? 16 And your email expressly notes that 16 17 A. 17 TransCanada needed Capricorn board's written Q. invitation before TransCanada sought to propose or 18 And you go on to say in the next 18 19 paragraph, "Should I get something in writing or is it 19 require securities of Columbia; correct? enough that we have their board approval of the Merger 20 A. Yes. 20 21 Agreement." 21 Q. So help me here. In January, when you 22 Correct? 22 sent your email to Mr. Bob Smith saying that your 23 A. Yes. CEO's call, which was about range, would not violate 24 Q. And then Mr. Noreuil comes back, and the standstill -- we have looked at that, at JTX 623, CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 588 1 earlier -- you didn't ask for a waiver because you 1 don't waive" provisions of the standstill; yes or no? 2 A. Yes. Yes. 2 expected your boss to propose a range; right? 3 A. Yes. It was very broad discussions at 3 O You agree, don't you, that if that time. We had actually advanced discussions along 4 Mr. Girling had made a specific offer during that 4 5 the merger agreement substantially at that point. So 5 call, the standstill would have required that the it was a bit of a different context than early 6 6 Columbia board give TransCanada a written invitation 7 7 January. to make an offer before you did so? Okay. But on March 3rd, you also 8 If it was an offer that was capable of 8 Q. A. 9 being accepted under the terms of the standstill. 9 expected your CEO to propose a range; right? 10 That's what I expected, but I wasn't 10 Is that lawyer speak for yes? 11 going to be in the room and I wasn't sure where the 11 I think so. I'm just saying that we conversation would go. 12 were trying to comply with the standstill. 12 13 Q. Well, you weren't sure on January 25th 13 I've been a lawyer for almost 40 14 either; right? 14 years. I speak lawyer pretty well. But I think that Yes, but we weren't even close. We was intended to be yes; fair to say? 15 A. were just basically kicking the proverbial tires to 16 A. I think so, yes. 16 see if they were even interested in transacting still. 17 Q. You don't think that this email you 17 At that point, we had advanced a merger agreement 18 sent at 4:44 on 3/3/16, you don't think that this 18 substantively, and I was feeling to the point we're 19 19 particular email you sent in advance of Girling getting really close here. In fact, Bob was the one discussing a range of prices was in any way violative 20 20 who initiated the email to me, saying probably the of the "don't ask, don't waive" provisions of the 21 21 same thing: We're getting close here. Is there 22 standstill; correct? 22 anything that you need to do? 23 A. Correct. 23 24 And so, if anything, I was probably 24 Q. But if you are asking for Columbia's CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 589 1 bending over backward to make sure that we were in compliance with the standstill. 2 2

3 Okay. You thought that you were getting close and your CEO might actually go beyond a 5 range and make a proposal?

6 Things were happening very fluidly at 7 this point. We had finished negotiations. There was 8 only a few places of the -- in the merger agreement 9 that were needing to be finally negotiated. And I

10 also know that our boards were meeting in the coming 11 days, and I wanted to -- well, again, Bob was checking

in with me, and I just wanted to make sure that every 12

"T" was crossed and "I" was dotted.

13

When you said this, you were aware of 14 15 the "don't ask, don't waive"; correct?

16 A. Again, he sent me the email.

17 Q. I'm sorry?

A. I think this email was initiated by 18

19 Bob.

23

4

When you sent your email to Bob that 20 Q.

we got up on the screen, at JX 827, dated March 3rd, 21

2016, at 4:44:47 p.m., CST --22

> A. I'm with you.

24 Q. you understood the "don't ask,

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

Page 591

Page 590

permission in advance of a potential pinpoint offer

from Girling, you were, in fact, violating the "don't

3 ask, don't waive," weren't you?

4

7

Not my view. Not my interpretation.

5 Certainly that wouldn't be in Mayer Brown's

6 interpretation either. They drafted the email.

You, in fact, sent this email because,

8 while you thought Girling was going to talk about a

9 range, you couldn't be sure what he would say and you

10 wanted to protect TransCanada in case he made a

11 pinpoint offer; isn't that true?

12 Yes. We were being very conservative

13 to ensure that we didn't violate the standstill.

14 I don't get it. I really don't get

15 it. If you thought he might go to a pinpoint offer,

you were asking for approval in violation of the

17 "don't ask, don't waive," weren't you?

18 A. No, that's not my interpretation. It 19 never was. Nor was it Mayer Brown's. Nor was it,

presumably, Bob Smith's or his counsel's. 20

21 Q. It's true, isn't it, that when the

22 appraisal decision came out, you're not even sure that

23 you read the whole thing; you probably read it?

24 A. When the appraisal decision came out,

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 592 Page 594 I was halfway around the world in a different time 1 sorry. That's the only dictionary we had. I wish I 1 zone trying to enjoy vacation. 2 2 could have done better. 3 Q. You were in Bali; right? 3 Q. I'm happy to share this with your 4 A. 4 counsel so he can make sure I'm reading it correctly. Yes 5 Q. And you think, if you read it, you may 5 Let me read to you the Merriam Webster --6 have read it on your telephone; is that right? 6 ATTORNEY OLSEN: I trust you are 7 7 A. That's right. reading correctly. Q. And when I took your deposition --8 ATTORNEY VARALLO: Thank you, Counsel. 8 this seems like just yesterday, but it's actually a 9 9 Q. Your counsel is such a gentleman, such few months ago when we had a chance to meet by Zoom --10 a great guy. 10 11 you didn't know -- you didn't know at that point, just 11 Let me read you from the Merriam a few months ago, that this very Court had found that Webster Dictionary, New Edition, 2004 -- it's the 11th 12 13 a number of your senior management's communications 13 edition -- the definition of "seek." "Seek, sought, 14 violated the standstill; correct? 14 seeking. To search for." That's one. Two, "To try A. I did not know, no. to reach or obtain." Three, "Attempt -- seeker." 15 15 And you had no recollection, just a 16 Is that consistent with your basic 16 Q. few months ago, of this Court finding that you English-language understanding of the word "seek"? 17 17 personally violated the standstill; right? 18 I don't disagree with the dictionary 18 A. Correct. definition you just quoted; but I think in the legal 19 19 20 Q. context of a standstill, it would mean something I take it you disagree with the 20 21 different. Court's findings about your personal breach and 21 TransCanada's breach of the standstill as well; is 22 Well, if it means "offer," then it's 22 Q. 23 23 duplicative of offer in the standstill; right? that right? 24 A. I respect the Court's decision. 24 A. That's typical in legal documents, CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 593 Page 595 But you don't agree with it? 1 Q. 1 though, isn't it? 2 A. 2 I don't agree with it, but I respect Q. Well, except when we come into court the Court's decision. 3 3 and we ask a Court to parse language. One of the doctrines, as you know, that is used in parsing Q. We talked a little while ago about 4 4 5 seeking, the language "seeking" or "proposed to 5 language in agreements is to avoid rendering any 6 acquire." And I want to explore that with you a language surplusage. 6 7 little bit. 7 Can you agree with that as a general How do you define "seek"? 8 8 matter of contract interpretation? 9 A. I would define "seek" to be similar to 9 A. I'll go along with that, yes. offer or proposal. It's something that can be 10 Q. And you write documents as part of 10 11 accepted or granted. For instance, if you were in 11 what you do; right? court looking to seek an injunction, there would be a 12 12 A. Correct. 13 formal response to that. 13 Q. And you don't just put duplicative So you think "seeking" means making an stuff in it. You try to write clearly so that if the 14 Q. 14 15 offer? 15 document is ever disputed, there's no issue as to what 16 A. It's something similar to that. 16 was meant; fair to say? 17 Q. I know Canada is bilingual. English 17 Yes. I also know that lawyers were paid by the word back in the day, and often they'd use is your first language; is that true? 18 19 A. Yes. 19 the same word three different ways to say the same thing just to be fulsome. 20 Q. So I've got here my home -- I borrowed 20 21 it from my wife this morning, the Merriam Webster 21 Q. Not all lawyers are paid by the word. dictionary, New Edition. It's got 2,000 new words. 22 22 Some of us actually care about time and clarity. 23 Very special document. It was 2004. When you requested exclusivity in 23 24 January of 2016, was TransCanada seeking to do a deal ATTORNEY VARALLO: Your Honor, I'm

(70) Pages 592 - 595

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

Page 596

C. Johnston - Cross

Page 598

C. Johnston - Cross

1 at that point, when it sought exclusivity? 1 that right? We were still undergoing due 2 A. 2 That looks to be the case, yes. 3 diligence, valuation. We were still interested in 3 O Let's look at the pretrial stipulation potentially transferring a deal with Columbia, yes. 4 at paragraph 273. And you'll see both sides have 4 5 Q. So you were seeking to do a 5 agreed as a fact that "On December 2nd, [], Fornell 6 transaction? 6 provided Poirier with a proposed engagement I'm not sure I would use the word 7 letter "Right? 7 A. "seek." But if that is the word you want to use. 8 A. 8 Yes. that's fine. 9 Q. 9 At that point, was the company seeking 10 Q. Oh, yeah, I like you going there. 10 to acquire Columbia? 11 What I really want is for you to give me your best 11 A. Colloquially, yes. understanding. Honestly, I want your best 12 Q. How about if we turn to mid-December, 12 13 understanding. 13 when Poirier called Steve Smith to request a meeting 14 A. Yeah, absolutely. We were very 14 to pursue TransCanada's interest in the deal. At that interested in acquiring Columbia. We wanted to do so point, are you seeking to acquire Columbia? 15 15 16 in a way that was, obviously, in the best interests of Using "seeking" as the colloquial 16 A. our company. And we were doing so in compliance with 17 term, we were not violating the standstill. 17 18 all our agreements and using all our best practices. O I think we know as well that no later 18 And we had advisors helping us along the way. 19 19 than December 19th, 2015, someone told Mr. Skaggs or 20 So I want to spend a couple of minutes Mr. Smith that TransCanada remained "quite interested" 20 on your understanding of "seeking" here. And I've 21 21 in an acquisition and that it could be at asked my colleague to put before you a copy of the 22 approximately \$28 per share. 22 23 23 pretrial order. The pretrial order has stipulations And for that I would refer you to of fact in it. And I can refer you to the specific paragraph 282 of the pretrial stipulation. This is 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 597 Page 599 stipulations if you are unaware of a particular fact. Matthew Gibson reporting that there was a meeting 2 2 And I want you to have it handy because I want to go scheduled at the request -- at TransCanada's request, through a couple of these and ask you about whether 3 and that TransCanada had indicated that they could be 3 you were seeking to acquire at these various at \$28 a share. It actually says "approximately," 4 4 5 junctures. 5 using the -- I don't know what you call that. A 6 6 So let's start, if we can, with the tilde, I think it's called. 7 December 2nd, 2015, conversation between Mr. Girling 7 Fair to say that at least using the and Mr. Skaggs. And for your reference that's 8 commonly understood definition of "seeking," you were 8 9 described at pretrial order paragraph 272. 9 seeking to acquire Columbia at that point? 10 10 ATTORNEY VARALLO: Joe, maybe you can A. Yes. 11 bring it up on the screen so that we all have it. 11 And then we know that "On January 7th, 2016, Mr. Poirier informed Mr. Stephen Smith that 12 It just says -- because we were being 12 13 paid by the word, I guess -- it just says, "Skaggs and 13 TransCanada was interested in acquiring Columbia, and Girling had a phone call on December 2, 2015." wanted ... due diligence" 14 14 15 Is it your view that your company was 15 And that's from paragraph 290 of the not seeking to acquire Columbia in early December of pretrial order. Again, colloquially understood to be 16 17 2015? 17 seeking to acquire the company at that point; is that A. right? 18 We were seeking in the commonplace 18 19 usage of the word "seek," yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. 20 By the way, is it just plain We know as well that Wells Fargo was 21 coincidence that Wells Fargo sent TransCanada its 21 formally engaged in connection with a potential 22 22 Columbia bid. That's at paragraph 300 of the pretrial retention letter to act as financial advisor to TransCanada in its potential acquisition of Columbia 23 order. 23 24 24 that very same day? It came over on the 2nd; isn't At the point you signed up Wells

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

	C. Johnston - Cross	C. Johnston - Cross
1	Page 600 Fargo, given that this was sort of middle of July I	Page 602 1 questions. Right?
2	think the stipulation says July 19th.	2 A. Yes.
3	A. January 19th.	3 Q. You got this email; right? No doubt
4	Q. Colloquially seeking to acquire;	4 about it?
5	correct?	5 A. Yes, it looks like I was. I don't
6	A. On January 19th.	6 recollect it specifically. It's been a while since
7	Q. I'm sorry. I apologize.	7 I've seen it.
8	January 19th. You are absolutely right.	8 Q. Sorry?
9	A. Yes.	9 A. It's been a long time since I've
10	Q. Colloquially, anyway, you were seeking	10 looked at this email.
11	to acquire the company by then?	11 Q. I absolutely appreciate that. Take a
12	A. Yes.	12 moment to read it. I'll just have one or two
13	Q. And if I asked you a series of, I	13 questions about it. I want to focus on numbered
14	don't know, two or three pages of questions about	14 paragraph 3. Let me know when you are ready.
15	every point after that, fair to say you'd give me the	15 A. Go ahead.
16	same answer?	16 Q. Numbered paragraph 3, one of the
17	A. Yes. I guess in no way were any of	17 questions that counsel at Mayer Brown suggested you
18	these options, in my mind or in my interpretation,	18 ask the Columbia folks is: "How could the
19	prohibited under the standstill agreement.	19 independence of the Capricorn board be attacked with
20	Q. We can save some time. I'll move on.	20 respect to these matters? Did any board member have
21	I want to talk about fiduciary duties	21 personal reasons ([whether or] not shared by
22	for a couple minutes. True, isn't it, that as part of	22 shareholders generally) for supporting these
23	your work on this deal, you became generally familiar	23 decisions?"
24	with fiduciary duties imposed by Delaware law on the	24 Correct?
	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS
	CHARCERT COURT REPORTERS	CHMICHAT COURT ALL OATEN
020	C. Johnston - Cross Page 601	DAY DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT
1	Columbia board?	1 A . Yes .
2	Columbia board? A. Yes.	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set
2	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and
2 3 4	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters?
2 3 4 5	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct?	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with?
2 3 4 5 6	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct.	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the <i>Revion</i>	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the <i>Revion</i> case.	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the <i>Revion</i> case. Do you remember getting advice about	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in 10 here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the <i>Revion</i> case. Do you remember getting advice about <i>Revion</i> ?	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in 10 here. 11 So take a look at the March 2nd email
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the <i>Revlon</i> case. Do you remember getting advice about <i>Revlon</i> ? A. Yes.	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in 10 here. 11 So take a look at the March 2nd email 12 at the bottom. Bob Smith writing to you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the <i>Revion</i> case. Do you remember getting advice about <i>Revion</i> ? A. Yes. Q. And at one point or another, Mayer	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in 10 here. 11 So take a look at the March 2nd email 12 at the bottom. Bob Smith writing to you, 13 "Chris - Just following up on the Board/Fiduciary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the Revion case. Do you remember getting advice about Revion? A. Yes. Q. And at one point or another, Mayer Brown gave you a list of diligence questions to ask	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in 10 here. 11 So take a look at the March 2nd email 12 at the bottom. Bob Smith writing to you, 13 "Chris - Just following up on the Board/Fiduciary 14 discussion. Joe and I are available tomorrow at noon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the <i>Revion</i> case. Do you remember getting advice about <i>Revion</i> ? A. Yes. Q. And at one point or another, Mayer Brown gave you a list of diligence questions to ask which were addressed to the question of whether	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in 10 here. 11 So take a look at the March 2nd email 12 at the bottom. Bob Smith writing to you, 13 "Chris - Just following up on the Board/Fiduciary 14 discussion. Joe and I are available tomorrow at noon 15 or 4 [Eastern Time] for a call. Please let [me]
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the <i>Revlon</i> case. Do you remember getting advice about <i>Revlon</i> ? A. Yes. Q. And at one point or another, Mayer Brown gave you a list of diligence questions to ask which were addressed to the question of whether Columbia was fulfilling its fiduciary duties; right?	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in 10 here. 11 So take a look at the March 2nd email 12 at the bottom. Bob Smith writing to you, 13 "Chris - Just following up on the Board/Fiduciary 14 discussion. Joe and I are available tomorrow at noon 15 or 4 [Eastern Time] for a call. Please let [me] 16 know"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the Revion case. Do you remember getting advice about Revion? A. Yes. Q. And at one point or another, Mayer Brown gave you a list of diligence questions to ask which were addressed to the question of whether Columbia was fulfilling its fiduciary duties; right? A. Correct.	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in 10 here. 11 So take a look at the March 2nd email 12 at the bottom. Bob Smith writing to you, 13 "Chris - Just following up on the Board/Fiduciary 14 discussion. Joe and I are available tomorrow at noon 15 or 4 [Eastern Time] for a call. Please let [me] 16 know" 17 You in the email in response say,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the Revion case. Do you remember getting advice about Revion? A. Yes. Q. And at one point or another, Mayer Brown gave you a list of diligence questions to ask which were addressed to the question of whether Columbia was fulfilling its fiduciary duties; right? A. Correct. Q. So let's look at Exhibit 711 in your	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in 10 here. 11 So take a look at the March 2nd email 12 at the bottom. Bob Smith writing to you, 13 "Chris - Just following up on the Board/Fiduciary 14 discussion. Joe and I are available tomorrow at noon 15 or 4 [Eastern Time] for a call. Please let [me] 16 know" 17 You in the email in response say, 18 "Thanks I would [] like somebody from Mayer Brown
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the Revion case. Do you remember getting advice about Revion? A. Yes. Q. And at one point or another, Mayer Brown gave you a list of diligence questions to ask which were addressed to the question of whether Columbia was fulfilling its fiduciary duties; right? A. Correct. Q. So let's look at Exhibit 711 in your book. And I'll ask Joe to pull it up as well and see	A. Yes. Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and fiduciary matters? A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? Q. Sorry? A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in here. So take a look at the March 2nd email at the bottom. Bob Smith writing to you, "Chris - Just following up on the Board/Fiduciary discussion. Joe and I are available tomorrow at noon or 4 [Eastern Time] for a call. Please let [me] know" You in the email in response say, "Thanks I would [] like somebody from Mayer Brown to join"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the <i>Revlon</i> case. Do you remember getting advice about <i>Revlon</i> ? A. Yes. Q. And at one point or another, Mayer Brown gave you a list of diligence questions to ask which were addressed to the question of whether Columbia was fulfilling its fiduciary duties; right? A. Correct. Q. So let's look at Exhibit 711 in your book. And I'll ask Joe to pull it up as well and see if you can identify that.	Page 603 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in 10 here. 11 So take a look at the March 2nd email 12 at the bottom. Bob Smith writing to you, 13 "Chris - Just following up on the Board/Fiduciary 14 discussion. Joe and I are available tomorrow at noon 15 or 4 [Eastern Time] for a call. Please let [me] 16 know" 17 You in the email in response say, 18 "Thanks I would [] like somebody from Mayer Brown 19 to join" 20 And then moving up, an attorney by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the Revion case. Do you remember getting advice about Revion? A. Yes. Q. And at one point or another, Mayer Brown gave you a list of diligence questions to ask which were addressed to the question of whether Columbia was fulfilling its fiduciary duties; right? A. Correct. Q. So let's look at Exhibit 711 in your book. And I'll ask Joe to pull it up as well and see if you can identify that. You'll see right in the middle of this	Page 603 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in here. 11 So take a look at the March 2nd email 12 at the bottom. Bob Smith writing to you, 13 "Chris - Just following up on the Board/Fiduciary 14 discussion. Joe and I are available tomorrow at noon 15 or 4 [Eastern Time] for a call. Please let [me] 16 know" 17 You in the email in response say, 18 "Thanks I would [] like somebody from Mayer Brown 19 to join" 20 And then moving up, an attorney by the 21 name of Medow joins you on that call.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the Revion case. Do you remember getting advice about Revion? A. Yes. Q. And at one point or another, Mayer Brown gave you a list of diligence questions to ask which were addressed to the question of whether Columbia was fulfilling its fiduciary duties; right? A. Correct. Q. So let's look at Exhibit 711 in your book. And I'll ask Joe to pull it up as well and see if you can identify that. You'll see right in the middle of this email to you from Andrew Noreuil from Mayer Brown he	Page 603 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in here. 11 So take a look at the March 2nd email 12 at the bottom. Bob Smith writing to you, 13 "Chris - Just following up on the Board/Fiduciary 14 discussion. Joe and I are available tomorrow at noon 15 or 4 [Eastern Time] for a call. Please let [me] 16 know" 17 You in the email in response say, 18 "Thanks I would [] like somebody from Mayer Brown 19 to join" 20 And then moving up, an attorney by the 21 name of Medow joins you on that call. 22 Fair for me to understand from this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the Revion case. Do you remember getting advice about Revion? A. Yes. Q. And at one point or another, Mayer Brown gave you a list of diligence questions to ask which were addressed to the question of whether Columbia was fulfilling its fiduciary duties; right? A. Correct. Q. So let's look at Exhibit 711 in your book. And I'll ask Joe to pull it up as well and see if you can identify that. You'll see right in the middle of this email to you from Andrew Noreuil from Mayer Brown he says, "As a diligence matter, the basic questions to	Page 603 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in 10 here. 11 So take a look at the March 2nd email 12 at the bottom. Bob Smith writing to you, 13 "Chris - Just following up on the Board/Fiduciary 14 discussion. Joe and I are available tomorrow at noon 15 or 4 [Eastern Time] for a call. Please let [me] 16 know" 17 You in the email in response say, 18 "Thanks I would [] like somebody from Mayer Brown 19 to join" 20 And then moving up, an attorney by the 21 name of Medow joins you on that call. 22 Fair for me to understand from this 23 that there was a call set up in which you involved
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Columbia board? A. Yes. Q. And you understood that one of those duties was that the Columbia board would have to do its very best to maximize price in the deal; correct? A. Correct. Q. Indeed, you even got advice about a case that was famous in Delaware called the Revion case. Do you remember getting advice about Revion? A. Yes. Q. And at one point or another, Mayer Brown gave you a list of diligence questions to ask which were addressed to the question of whether Columbia was fulfilling its fiduciary duties; right? A. Correct. Q. So let's look at Exhibit 711 in your book. And I'll ask Joe to pull it up as well and see if you can identify that. You'll see right in the middle of this email to you from Andrew Noreuil from Mayer Brown he	Page 603 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Now, after you got this memo, you set 3 up, didn't you, a call to talk about board and 4 fiduciary matters? 5 A. Sorry. Who did I set up a call with? 6 Q. Sorry? 7 A. I set up a call with Mayer Brown? 8 Q. Well, let's look at JX 824, and 9 specifically .003. And we'll see if we can focus in here. 11 So take a look at the March 2nd email 12 at the bottom. Bob Smith writing to you, 13 "Chris - Just following up on the Board/Fiduciary 14 discussion. Joe and I are available tomorrow at noon 15 or 4 [Eastern Time] for a call. Please let [me] 16 know" 17 You in the email in response say, 18 "Thanks I would [] like somebody from Mayer Brown 19 to join" 20 And then moving up, an attorney by the 21 name of Medow joins you on that call. 22 Fair for me to understand from this

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 604 Page 606 1 duties? 1 heard through Francois that the Capricorn∏ board is A. keen to move forward." This is on January 28th of 2 That's fair, yes. 2 3 0 And also fair for me to understand 3 2016 that Mr. Medow, who you asked to join, was a litigator 4 And you go on, "While they are 4 5 brought in to help you assess litigation risk in the 5 apparently not adverse to giving us exclusivity, They 6 deal? 6 do not want to have an executed agreement in concern 7 7 that plaintiffs counsel will get their hands on it A. Yes. You don't recall one way or the other 8 Q. 8 (???)." And then you continue, "Instead - they have whether this call addressing the board and fiduciary 9 offered a gentleman's agreement between the two CEOs." 9 duties addressed whether one or more Columbia board 10 Have I correctly read your email? 10 11 members had different interests not shared by 11 A. shareholders; right? 12 Q. Now, this idea of a gentleman's 12 A. 13 I don't recollect the conversation. agreement to keep this out of the prying hands of 14 Q. But would it be fair for me to assume plaintiffs' counsel, that was something that was a that, given that you asked for and received a list of Sullivan & Cromwell idea; wasn't that right? 15 15 questions to ask, you would have asked the questions 16 A. I don't know whose idea it was, but 16 it's not something that we generally do. you were given to ask? 17 17 18 A. ATTORNEY VARALLO: Can we put up -- do 18 Yes. It seems like there could have been a time difference there between the questions and 19 19 you have, Joe, the pretrial brief of my friends at asking. So I don't know if it is the same discussion, TransCanada at page 20? Do we have that loaded 20 20 but it may very well have been. 21 21 somewhere? Oh, lovely. 22 Q. 22 Your Honor, this is the pretrial brief Let's talk about exclusivity for a moment. I take it that TransCanada wanted exclusivity 23 that was filed in your court in this action. 23 in this deal; fair to say? 24 Q. Let's see. The second sentence in the 24 CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 605 Page 607 A. Yes. first full paragraph: "Sullivan had proposed a form 1 2 Q. 2 of 'informal exclusivity' - which Frumkin explained And it's correct, isn't it, that you are aware that when TransCanada initially asked for 3 meant 'that we would tell them if we started to work 3 4 with anybody else ..." -- and it goes on. exclusivity, Columbia suggested that in order to avoid 4 5 the fact of exclusivity coming to the attention of 5 So the only Sullivan here is 6 unnamed plaintiffs' lawyers -- they are pesky, those Sullivan & Cromwell; right? 6 7 plaintiffs' lawyers -- that they were prepared to 7 A. Yes. 8 offer you a gentleman's agreement instead of a written 8 Q. And Sullivan & Cromwell was the firm 9 agreement on exclusivity. 9 that Mr. Frumkin worked for; right? Do you recall that? 10 10 A. Correct. 11 A. 11 Did it concern you at all that the lawyers for your merger partner were proposing that 12 Q. And I think we had looked in your 12 13 counsel's direct examination at at least part of that 13 you enter into an agreement to violate the law? email or the email referencing this. Maybe we didn't, 14 I can't surmise that they were -- I 14 15 but let's pull up JTX 647. 15 don't think an unwritten agreement in itself violates 16 So I want to focus on the email up top the law. It's not a practice that we would follow 17 from you to Andrew Noreuil and others. Andrew Noreuil 17 generally. 18 was one of the day-to-day guys who advised you as a Q. You are an expert on disclosure law; 18 19 deal lawyer at Mayer Brown; right? 19 right? 20 A. A. Does it say that they wouldn't 20 disclose it here? I don't think so. 21 Q. And you sent this to Andrew and Marc, 21 Marc being Marc Sperber, another deal lawyer? 22 You do a lot of disclosure law, is 22 Q. 23 A. Yes. 23 that fair to say? A. 24 24 Q. And you say, "Andrew/Marc I have just Yes, it is. CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 608 Page 610 counsel will get their hands on it," you put "(???)." 1 Q. So let's go back to this email that 1 2 you wrote just a moment ago -- that we looked at just Right? 2 a moment ago. I think it's 647. I'm reading from the 3 A. Yes. 3 second sentence again. "They do not want to have an 4 Q. That was your way of saying, this is 4 5 executed agreement in concern that plaintiffs counsel 5 strange; what's going on. Right? 6 will get their hands on it" 6 Yeah. I mean, I don't agree with 7 trying to keep things out of plaintiffs' counsel 7 Well, Madam, how were you going to keep it out of plaintiffs' hands if you were going to 8 hands. That's not our practice. 8 disclose it? If you disclose the gentleman's 9 9 Q. Now, after you got -agreement, that would be something that would be 10 A. My question marks could be I don't 10 11 picked up by those pesky plaintiffs' lawyers; isn't even know if that's what was said. It was also, I that right? don't know, it was a little bit of indirect 12 conversation. 13 A. Well, that's what I was -- it's kind 13 14 14 of hearsay in this email, but that's why I have a 0 It was meant to call attention to this number of question marks. And we ultimately decided and to focus further conversation; is that fair to 15 15 that we wouldn't do that. And I knew that it would be 16 16 say? something that had to be disclosed. 17 A. Between me and my counsel, yes, that 17 Q. 18 I understand that you were ready to 18 we wanted to ensure. 19 19 follow the law. But my question is, did it give you 0 Exactly. concern that the lead counsel for the other side was 20 So after you got by the idea of a 20 21 proposing that you enter into a gentleman's agreement 21 gentleman's agreement on exclusivity that would be 22 to keep this out of the disclosure document? 22 kept from those prying plaintiffs' lawyers, you got 23 It wasn't my knowledge who was down to exchanging drafts of a written exclusivity 23 24 proposing it from Capricorn. It could have been one agreement; correct? CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS C. Johnston - Cross C. Johnston - Cross Page 609 Page 611 1 A. Yes. 1 of their financial advisors, for instance. It didn't 2 say here. Q. 2 And the first draft of an exclusivity 3 Somebody on the other side proposed 3 agreement that Mayer Brown put forward included a that we do this as a gentleman's agreement "in concern provision prohibiting Columbia from waiving any other 4 4 5 that plaintiffs counsel will get their hands on 5 standstill agreements that it had entered into with it" Is that correct? 6 6 other companies; correct? Yeah. And I have question marks there 7 A. 7 A. Yes. because I may have interpreted what I heard wrong. 8 8 And that provision was initially 9 All I knew is that somebody -- it wasn't necessarily 9 asserted by your lawyers, and it made it through a 10 Sullivan & Cromwell, but somebody proposed that. 10 second draft of the agreement; correct? I can't remember what the order, but 11 Well, we looked at the brief that was 11 A. eventually I don't think it ended up --12 filed in court, and your side, your lawyers ascribed 12 13 this to Sullivan; right? 13 Q. I'm happy to show it to you. So take a look at 643, page 003. You have to turn back. Sorry. My 14 A 14 15 memory is ... 15 ATTORNEY VARALLO: And, Joe, if you'd 16 Q. Well, did this occur to you as 16 bring that up, I'd appreciate it. Go to page 003. 17 unusual? Or was this something that was par for the 17 Drawing your attention, if I may, to course at your practice, or did you find this to be 18 643, on page 003, take a look at the last sentence on 18 19 somewhat unusual? 19 the first page of the document. That's where you are A. I hadn't done a lot of exclusivity asking for that provision; right? 20 20 agreements; but it was just something that typically 21 21 A. Yes. we want to have our agreements in writing, so that's 22 O 22 So now let's look at the second draft, 23 what we asked for. 23 which is JTX 654. 24 24 Q. In fact, you put after "plaintiffs And I'll ask Joe to pull that up. And

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS

	C. Johnston - Cross	C. Johnston - Cross
1	it's page 005.	1 management needed to take that away and confirm.
2	Take a look at the first full sentence	2 Q. So let's look at the bottom line.
3	on the top of the second page of this. The same	3 A. That's what I'm looking at.
4	language makes it there; right?	4 Q. Bottom two lines, actually, of the
5	A. Correct.	5 first page. You indicate in parentheses, "(Wells
6	Q. And then let me show you the third	6 withdrew)."
7	draft, which is JTX 655. And we can look at this all	7 So Fornell and whoever else was there
8	you want, but you are not going to find the language	8 from Wells left the meeting at this point; right?
9	in 655.	9 A. Yes.
10	Would you accept that representation?	10 Q. And then somebody from the board says,
11	A. Yes.	11 "Does fairness opinion hold at \$26?"
12	Q. So this provision had some value to	12 Correct?
13	TransCanada, because if Columbia couldn't waive other	13 A. Yep.
14	standstills, then other potential bidders couldn't	14 Q. And then it says, "Yes - page 5 of
15	compete without TransCanada's consent; right?	15 materials."
16	A. Correct.	16 Was that the football chart?
17	Q. And given the inclusion of the	17 A. Likely. I know there's a lot of
18	provision in the first two rounds of the draft	18 information, different metrics.
19	exclusivity agreements, it's fair for me to	19 Q. And then, "FP will confirm."
20	understand, isn't it, that Columbia knew that	20 That's Francois Poirier was going to
21	TransCanada didn't want other standstills waived?	21 go back to and talk to Mr. Fornell and find out
22	A. I don't know what Columbia wanted.	22 whether they could do an opinion at \$26; right?
23	Q. I guess that's fair. I guess that's	23 A. Yes, although I'll also just note
24	fair. We'll let the Court take whatever the Court	24 these are, of course, draft minutes. We don't just
	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS
	CHANCERT COOKT REFORTERS	CHARCERT COOKT REPORTERS
828	C. Johnston - Cross Page 613	C. Johnston - Cross Page 615
1	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say?	1 rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying
2	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure.	1 rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying 2 on our own internal valuations.
2	wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to	Page 615 1 rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying 2 on our own internal valuations. 3 Q. That's fair.
2 3 4	wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think	Page 615 1 rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying 2 on our own internal valuations. 3 Q. That's fair. 4 The board was also told at this
2 3 4 5	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes	Page 615 rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media
2 3 4 5 6	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct?	Page 615 1 rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying 2 on our own internal valuations. 3 Q. That's fair. 4 The board was also told at this 5 meeting that there was a real possibility of a media 6 leak coming about the process; right?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct.	Page 615 1 rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying 2 on our own internal valuations. 3 Q. That's fair. 4 The board was also told at this 5 meeting that there was a real possibility of a media 6 leak coming about the process; right? 7 A. Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also —
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct?	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes.	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So the state of the s
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes. Q. And you used those notes to help	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So we'll recess. We'll resume at 9:15 tomorrow. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes. Q. And you used those notes to help create the draft minutes; fair to say?	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So we'll recess. We'll resume at 9:15 tomorrow. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes. Q. And you used those notes to help create the draft minutes; fair to say? A. Yes.	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So we'll recess. We'll resume at 9:15 tomorrow. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes. Q. And you used those notes to help create the draft minutes; fair to say? A. Yes. Q. So please open to JTX 913. And I hope	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So we'll recess. We'll resume at 9:15 tomorrow. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes. Q. And you used those notes to help create the draft minutes; fair to say? A. Yes. Q. So please open to JTX 913. And I hope you can tell me that these are the notes of your	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So we'll recess. We'll resume at 9:15 tomorrow. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes. Q. And you used those notes to help create the draft minutes; fair to say? A. Yes. Q. So please open to JTX 913. And I hope you can tell me that these are the notes of your March 9 meeting.	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So we'll recess. We'll resume at 9:15 tomorrow. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.) (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes. Q. And you used those notes to help create the draft minutes; fair to say? A. Yes. Q. So please open to JTX 913. And I hope you can tell me that these are the notes of your March 9 meeting. A. Yes.	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So we'll recess. We'll resume at 9:15 tomorrow. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.) (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes. Q. And you used those notes to help create the draft minutes; fair to say? A. Yes. Q. So please open to JTX 913. And I hope you can tell me that these are the notes of your March 9 meeting. A. Yes. Q. Am I correct that at this meeting the	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also — THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So we'll recess. We'll resume at 9:15 tomorrow. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.) (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes. Q. And you used those notes to help create the draft minutes; fair to say? A. Yes. Q. So please open to JTX 913. And I hope you can tell me that these are the notes of your March 9 meeting. A. Yes. Q. Am I correct that at this meeting the board directed management to determine whether \$26 per	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So we'll recess. We'll resume at 9:15 tomorrow. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.) (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes. Q. And you used those notes to help create the draft minutes; fair to say? A. Yes. Q. So please open to JTX 913. And I hope you can tell me that these are the notes of your March 9 meeting. A. Yes. Q. Am I correct that at this meeting the board directed management to determine whether \$26 per share was a price at which Wells Fargo could issue a	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also — THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So we'll recess. We'll resume at 9:15 tomorrow. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.) (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes. Q. And you used those notes to help create the draft minutes; fair to say? A. Yes. Q. So please open to JTX 913. And I hope you can tell me that these are the notes of your March 9 meeting. A. Yes. Q. Am I correct that at this meeting the board directed management to determine whether \$26 per share was a price at which Wells Fargo could issue a fairness opinion?	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also — THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So we'll recess. We'll resume at 9:15 tomorrow. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.) (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes. Q. And you used those notes to help create the draft minutes; fair to say? A. Yes. Q. So please open to JTX 913. And I hope you can tell me that these are the notes of your March 9 meeting. A. Yes. Q. Am I correct that at this meeting the board directed management to determine whether \$26 per share was a price at which Wells Fargo could issue a fairness opinion? A. There is some conversation around	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So we'll recess. We'll resume at 9:15 tomorrow. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.) (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 613 wants to take from that; fair to say? A. Sure. Q. Let's turn to March 9. I want to focus for a moment on the March 9 meeting. I think we've seen earlier in this trial that you took notes of that meeting; correct? A. Correct. Q. And the notes were taken contemporaneously by you, either on a computer or some sort of portable device; correct? A. iPad. Yes. Q. And you used those notes to help create the draft minutes; fair to say? A. Yes. Q. So please open to JTX 913. And I hope you can tell me that these are the notes of your March 9 meeting. A. Yes. Q. Am I correct that at this meeting the board directed management to determine whether \$26 per share was a price at which Wells Fargo could issue a fairness opinion?	rely on our financial advisors. We were also relying on our own internal valuations. Q. That's fair. The board was also told at this meeting that there was a real possibility of a media leak coming about the process; right? A. Correct. Q. And the board was also — THE COURT: Mr. Varallo, I need to interrupt you. We've reached that time of day. So we'll recess. We'll resume at 9:15 tomorrow. (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.) (Proceedings adjourned at 4:45 p.m.)

		Page 616
1	INDEX	
2	WITNESSES:	Page
3 4	ROBERT SMITH Direct Resumed by Attorney Harrell Cross by Attorney James	318 377
5 6	SIIM VANASELJA Direct by Attorney Olsen	434
7	CHRISTINE JOHNSTON Direct by Attorney Olsen Cross by Attorney Varallo	500
8	Cross by Attorney Varallo	500 540
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
	CHANCERY COURT REPORTERS	

338/19 338/21 357/11 357/17 358/7 358/10 **ATTORNEY** 377/20 433/15 483/11 HARRELL: [6] 318/4 483/14 484/3 500/10 338/20 370/8 371/15 536/8 376/6 433/11 ATTORNEY JAMES: **[5]** 377/4 377/22 381/4 \$23.50 [1] 323/1 393/17 433/9 **\$24 [5]** 345/9 345/16 ATTORNEY OLSEN: 442/4 444/14 447/8 **[21]** 433/19 443/16 **\$25 [6]** 321/3 402/12 451/11 452/5 463/5 402/17 442/21 467/22 496/5 500/6 500/12 469/1 500/19 525/6 530/5 **\$25.25 [8]** 345/10 531/4 533/1 533/8 345/15 345/19 345/22 533/24 534/9 534/15 346/5 349/10 456/22 540/2 550/13 572/23 458/2 594/6 **\$25.50 [4]** 422/9 ATTORNEY ORRICO: 425/17 456/18 531/21 [10] 443/6 463/7 467/7 **\$26 [37]** 349/10 446/3 475/20 477/24 483/24 446/16 448/6 448/21 496/13 498/2 499/17 449/4 449/22 449/23 500/4 450/3 450/4 452/11 ATTORNEY 452/14 453/6 455/12 VARALLO: [26] 532/6 456/14 466/22 467/22 533/17 540/5 548/7 469/1 472/17 476/12 550/3 550/15 551/19 477/7 477/9 479/6 552/12 554/6 555/3 479/11 479/20 486/16 555/18 559/9 561/2 487/8 566/4 566/22 563/19 568/6 570/3 570/11 570/14 570/17 570/8 572/24 582/10 571/22 572/20 613/20 582/21 584/21 593/24 614/11 614/22 594/8 597/10 606/18 **\$28 [12]** 321/3 321/18 611/15 322/4 400/7 401/6 BY ATTORNEY 402/12 402/17 461/24 HARRELL: [5] 318/9 581/8 583/3 598/22 339/1 357/13 358/12 599/4 371/18 **\$47 [1]** 455/17 BY ATTORNEY **\$48 [1]** 455/16 **JAMES: [3]** 377/7 **\$49 [2]** 452/21 482/11 377/23 381/16 **\$5 [1]** 436/22 BY ATTORNEY **\$50 [1]** 455/15 OLSEN: [9] 434/4 443/23 452/7 500/22 531/6 533/9 534/3 '**20 [1]** 358/21 534/20 536/18 'developing [1] 318/20 BY ATTORNEY 'early [1] 318/22 ORRICO: [4] 463/15 'informal [1] 607/2 484/5 496/16 498/22 'II [1] 582/5 BY ATTORNEY 'offer' [2] 512/11 513/2 VARALLO: [5] 540/11 'return [1] 347/8 549/3 550/16 561/11 's [1] 448/22 564/9 specifically [1] 518/21 113 [1] 439/6 THE COURT CLERK: 'standstill' [1] 389/15 **[1]** 338/17 'take' [1] 420/24 THE COURT: [35] 'that [1] 607/3 317/19 338/14 338/18 'Unsolicited [1] 337/15 11:24 [1] 368/1 338/22 342/18 357/4 whatever [1] 480/15 357/15 357/21 358/8 376/8 377/2 377/17 433/13 433/17 433/23 -and [4] 317/3 317/5 443/13 443/22 451/13 317/8 317/13

452/3 482/15 483/13

483/16 500/8 530/7

531/2 532/24 533/6

533/19 534/2 534/7

540/4 615/9

534/11 534/17 536/2

THE WITNESS: [13]

.. [5] 447/10 522/22

...' [1] 607/4

.003 [1] 603/9

557/20 599/14 603/18

.004 [2] 445/20 556/1

006 [1] 460/11 007 [2] 565/21 570/9 008 [1] 388/18 009 [2] 388/17 388/19 05 [1] 470/13 **0526 [1]** 316/22 **0913 [3]** 472/24 474/18 476/16 **0952 [1]** 479/24 1/11/16 [1] 319/21 1/20/2016 [1] 331/15 **1/25' [1]** 318/22 **1/26 [1]** 330/22 **1/8 [1]** 318/19 10 [10] 345/1 349/14 349/24 370/7 370/11 421/15 473/22 473/22 485/17 529/5 10 percent [8] 448/23 449/23 451/4 452/12 456/14 457/16 457/19 472/19 **101 [1]** 477/23 **102 [1]** 477/23 1024 [1] 355/20 1055 [2] 366/23 368/21 1059 [2] 366/2 368/4 **1072 [1]** 373/5 1092 [1] 494/19 1093 [1] 454/15 10:15 [1] 415/4 10:44 [1] 376/10 10th [20] 351/20 358/15 421/18 425/3 453/8 479/21 481/3 485/6 488/1 489/4 489/20 489/21 490/24 491/4 491/8 491/10 531/13 536/15 545/10 545/16 **11 [5]** 318/17 353/16 357/17 381/6 453/14 **1107 [2]** 395/15 395/23 **11400 [1]** 316/21 **11:00 [3]** 376/9 377/1 418/10 **11:51 [1]** 576/14 11:59 [1] 417/18 11:59 p.m [1] 349/18 11th [16] 356/4 357/18 358/9 362/7 365/4 418/10 426/9 428/5 428/7 428/20 428/20 490/1 490/10 490/20 491/9 594/12 12 [9] 439/6 439/8 470/21 471/13 472/2 546/6 546/24 547/4

.005 [1] 428/2

.008 [1] 391/11

611/16 611/18

005 [1] 612/1

003 [4] 555/4 611/14

557/19 **1206 [1]** 538/17 1244 [4] 459/1 496/7 496/15 496/19 **1244.005 [2]** 459/14 459/17 1244.242 [1] 460/17 1271 [2] 374/2 374/4 1291 [3] 320/9 386/13 427/24 **12:31 [1]** 451/16 **12A [1]** 316/9 12th [6] 365/11 382/22 429/14 429/17 430/16 536/21 13 [3] 349/13 439/6 439/13 14 [3] 415/6 470/23 493/12 **14A [1]** 320/5 14th [17] 422/8 424/2 424/2 424/21 424/22 425/6 425/7 425/16 426/22 427/2 454/16 492/16 492/19 492/22 493/24 494/7 494/18 **15 [1]** 357/18 15th [1] 397/13 **16 [3]** 319/21 473/22 590/18 16th [2] 395/12 396/3 **1732 [2]** 370/6 414/14 1735 [1] 453/12 **1774 [1]** 493/7 **1775 [2]** 496/3 496/6 **1779 [2]** 485/3 485/4 17th [3] 397/17 398/6 458/19 **18 [2]** 381/6 561/3 **19 [1]** 316/11 **1905 [1]** 516/17 **1907 [1]** 526/19 **1908 [1]** 531/12 **1909 [1]** 536/20 **191 [6]** 334/2 344/24 349/12 357/14 387/20 394/20 1918 [2] 344/23 346/15 1919 [1] 359/20 **1920 [3]** 358/13 358/20 358/22 1923 [1] 358/19 194 [1] 498/2 195 [2] 498/3 499/18 1979 [1] 434/15 19801 [1] 316/21 **1983 [1]** 358/16 **1994 [3]** 434/15 434/17 501/4 19th [5] 598/19 600/2 600/3 600/6 600/8 1:19 p.m [1] 512/7 **1:30 [1]** 451/14 1:30 p.m [1] 452/2 1st [7] 413/20 414/18 415/4 416/3 509/12 509/15 559/12

2 **2,000 [1]** 593/22 20 [1] 606/20 20-year [1] 535/4 **2001 [1]** 434/18 2004 [2] 593/23 594/12 2014 [1] 435/19 2015 [35] 322/13 322/16 322/20 398/18 399/15 434/18 434/18 434/21 466/5 466/9 466/15 466/18 467/3 467/11 467/19 468/23 470/12 470/14 471/21 503/11 503/22 506/23 508/2 509/12 544/20 545/17 554/19 559/12 561/23 565/14 567/8 597/7 597/14 597/17 598/19 2016 [86] 318/17 320/24 324/2 331/15 340/8 345/7 346/17 349/19 370/12 382/22 386/19 391/5 391/8 396/3 397/13 398/7 399/15 401/18 402/8 412/11 413/20 414/4 414/18 415/4 416/20 426/9 428/6 428/7 435/21 436/11 438/4 439/4 441/2 441/9 444/2 446/20 453/14 459/3 464/19 471/10 472/12 472/16 472/23 473/3 473/11 475/9 475/18 475/23 476/1 476/6 476/12 477/8 477/10 479/5 479/18 479/21 481/3 484/19 485/7 486/9 486/15 489/4 492/16 492/22 493/12 493/24 494/7 497/2 497/19 499/3 512/2 513/19 516/19 517/21 521/22 523/17 525/24 526/20 527/24 531/13 536/21 538/18 589/22 595/24 599/12 606/3 **2017 [1]** 462/1 2018-0484-JTL [1] 316/4 **2022 [1]** 316/11 20680 [1] 453/13 20th [2] 391/5 392/8 21 [2] 393/12 561/4 23 [2] 548/9 563/20 24 [6] 419/19 419/21 420/2 445/24 467/10 467/19 242 [2] 460/16 497/3 **243 [4]** 461/1 467/8 467/15 467/16 24th [4] 322/16 322/20 466/18 467/3

25 [12] 318/14 320/23

321/9 321/18 322/4

2	35 [1] 499/18	7:35 [1] 523/24	387/3 389/18 421/1	461/6 464/21 465/11
The state of the s	368 [2] 417/24 475/21	7a [3] 550/7 552/13	absolutely [14] 355/15	
25 [7] 323/16 324/2 466/21 469/13 581/8	397 [1] 507/24	552/13	355/19 357/3 357/3	475/11 493/1 493/17
582/24 583/3	3:15 [2] 530/9 531/1	7th [4] 399/15 401/18	360/18 369/6 375/14	497/11 503/3 510/6
25' [1] 318/22	3rd [8] 420/19 470/14 471/21 523/17 523/24	438/4 599/11 7th-ish [1] 399/18	375/19 376/5 380/24 381/24 596/14 600/8	577/3 597/23 598/21 acquisitions [1]
25.25 [12] 415/11	584/23 588/8 589/21		602/11	502/12
444/15 446/1 447/13		8	absorb [1] 457/18	act [2] 375/16 597/22
448/4 448/10 457/6 487/4 487/6 487/10	4	80 [1] 561/3	abundantly [1] 354/14	acted [1] 470/17
487/15 495/24	40 [1] 590/13	813 [3] 523/16 584/19	accept [11] 384/18	action [18] 316/3
25.50 [12] 457/11	413 [1] 554/2 424 [3] 509/11 557/15	584/20 814 [2] 586/18 586/23	406/16 413/9 422/13 425/16 458/16 492/23	324/12 357/9 377/10 377/16 381/19 382/10
458/1 458/11 458/14	558/18	816 [1] 525/22	493/15 494/9 505/6	401/10 403/1 403/21
458/16 461/24 463/3	428 [1] 398/4	824 [1] 603/8	612/10	412/4 412/17 452/22
492/13 492/23 494/9 495/13 497/12	43 [1] 386/15	827 [5] 340/4 340/6	accepted [12] 322/5	462/18 507/11 524/9
255-0526 [1] 316/22	44.1 [1] 532/9	587/8 587/9 589/21	448/7 458/15 510/9	533/23 606/23
25th [15] 398/18	45 [1] 322/18	829 [2] 438/23 439/3	525/13 563/8 563/16	actionable [1] 499/8
400/23 402/8 403/7	450 [1] 470/13 46 [3] 320/3 320/19	836 [2] 343/1 343/4 844 [1] 344/1	564/5 565/2 581/15 590/9 593/11	actions [6] 439/20 506/3 509/18 510/20
412/19 412/23 447/15	320/20	869 [1] 444/1	accepting [2] 392/21	515/20 547/19
508/2 517/21 521/3 576/14 576/21 581/7	47 [1] 381/5	87 [1] 548/8	487/10	active [2] 434/22
584/4 588/13	47-something [1]	885 [1] 348/5	access [2] 517/5	459/22
26 [12] 330/22 349/2	457/15	8th [3] 365/6 417/18	517/15	activity [5] 329/17
447/16 456/12 469/13	48 [1] 428/1 49 [1] 455/15	475/17	according [2] 583/4 583/4	437/11 529/15 529/21 536/6
469/13 478/24 486/24	4:44 [1] 590/18	9	Accordingly [1] 341/15	SECOND STATE OF THE SECOND
487/13 487/18 487/19	4:44:47 [1] 589/22	912 [1] 445/8	Accountants [1]	actual [13] 321/23
613/24 26.50 [1] 446/1	4:45 [1] 615/12	912.004 [1] 445/19	434/11	326/15 337/7 345/4
26.50 was [1]	4th [9] 343/5 388/2	913 [2] 478/18 613/15	accretive [1] 443/1	351/4 406/18 411/18
447/10	388/11 388/17 388/21 392/23 417/10 417/14	929 [1] 527/23 944 [1] 446/19	accuracy [4] 471/17	461/23 528/18 528/24
26th [1] 393/5	575/2	954 [1] 351/17	475/2 494/24 542/4 accurate [6] 357/10	535/2 541/4 568/15 ad [2] 396/10 396/21
27 [1] 477/24	El Salario	970 [4] 353/15 357/15	378/13 470/1 480/11	add [2] 436/21 442/18
272 [1] 597/9 273 [1] 598/4	5	357/24 358/4	542/5 542/13	added [2] 330/8 496/8
279 [1] 399/23	50 [1] 386/14	971 [3] 362/6 363/6	achieve [2] 372/8	addition [2] 437/18
28 [3] 393/12 396/17	500 [2] 316/10 316/21 506 [2] 575/1 575/1	426/5 99 [1] 563/19	455/17	502/2
459/2	517 [1] 565/19	9:15 [2] 316/11 615/11	achieved [1] 455/24 acknowledge [2] 325/6	additional [7] 321/10 334/10 351/22 352/4
28/share [1] 583/1	EOD 141 544/04	9:49 [1] 368/7	558/23	429/9 457/16 458/3
282 [1] 598/24 28th [4] 394/16 521/22	564 [2] 318/15 319/21	9:49 a.m [2] 366/11	acknowledging [1]	addressed [4] 356/3
554/19 606/2	568 [1] 382/16	366/21 9th [24] 418/4 446/20	404/5	376/3 601/15 604/10
28th/29th [1] 412/11	587 [2] 331/14 391/1 5th [8] 439/4 444/2	448/18 452/9 466/9	acquiescence [2] 560/1 560/4	addressing [2] 462/21 604/9
29 [2] 396/17 459/3	444/18 447/9 512/1	466/15 472/16 472/23	acquire [38] 324/11	adduce [1] 532/15
290 [2] 420/15 599/15	512/7 513/19 526/20	473/3 475/9 476/6	341/19 341/20 383/24	adjourned [1] 615/12
299 [1] 503/21 29th [1] 412/11	6	476/24 479/5 485/6	384/17 385/15 387/7	adjustments [1] 470/6
2:59 [1] 530/10	62 [1] 473/21	486/1 486/9 486/19	403/20 404/14 404/15	administration [1]
2nd [8] 414/4 416/4	620 [3] 323/20 323/21	486/23 491/4 491/7 491/9 506/23 516/18	407/14 407/20 421/17 422/8 460/21 506/14	434/9 admitted [3] 378/1
470/14 471/21 597/7	403/11	517/13	506/14 510/1 510/8	378/6 382/10
597/24 598/5 603/11	621 [5] 325/22 325/24	A	550/20 550/21 557/23	advance [12] 341/16
3	327/1 406/2 410/18		557/23 557/24 557/24	341/19 383/8 397/1
3/12/2016 [1] 370/12	622 [1] 582/2 623 [4] 517/19 517/19	A's [3] 428/14 429/7 430/11	558/9 563/1 564/14	505/24 532/10 557/22
3/14 [1] 415/6	576/7 587/24	a.m [5] 316/11 366/11	577/3 593/6 597/4 597/16 598/10 598/15	560/6 573/13 573/15 590/19 591/1
3/3/16 [1] 590/18	627 [2] 330/4 393/11	366/21 376/10 377/1	599/9 599/17 600/4	advance' [1] 518/22
3/3/2016 [1] 340/8 30 [1] 500/14	643 [2] 611/14 611/18	ability [4] 354/20 361/7	600/11	advanced [2] 588/4
300 [1] 599/22	647 [3] 521/21 605/15	454/24 555/13	acquired [3] 436/15	588/18
302 [1] 316/22	608/3 654 [1] 611/23	able [7] 342/20 401/13 401/15 401/16 449/12	482/3 482/6	advantage [2] 351/1
305 [6] 506/6 550/4	655 [2] 612/7 612/9	454/5 461/10	acquirer [1] 482/3 acquiring [9] 322/24	483/3 advantages [3] 334/21
550/10 551/2 551/20	681 [1] 337/2	aboard [2] 328/17	401/22 402/4 402/17	334/23 335/21
553/3 307 [2] 383/16 418/20	682 [1] 522/14	408/20	439/11 506/13 550/20	adverse [1] 606/5
314 [3] 545/6 545/6	6:31 p.m [1] 347/6	above [8] 367/12	596/15 599/13	advice [58] 321/14
545/8	6th [4] 348/17 503/22 525/24 538/18	442/21 448/3 452/20 457/6 463/2 480/20	acquisition [28] 321/2	321/20 326/5 327/16
34 [1] 498/3	5	582/9	369/24 422/4 422/15 423/9 436/7 436/11	329/19 329/24 342/10 352/1 353/6 360/13
34-page [1] 496/6	7	abreast [1] 438/16	440/1 440/18 442/7	382/12 383/4 384/9
347 [1] 459/14	711 [1] 601/18	absent [4] 385/15	455/22 457/9 460/12	385/7 400/11 405/10

ı	÷	_
	Α	Š
	200 10	2
	advice [42] 405/14	2
	408/6 408/12 408/24	
	409/12 419/11 421/7	3
	423/16 423/23 424/15	;
	424/16 484/4 494/6	3
	502/17 503/8 504/11	a
	507/13 507/22 513/13	
	525/2 527/9 528/12	4
	544/1 546/23 548/12	a
	549/16 553/16 559/5	C
		a
	559/23 560/5 565/6	
	565/13 569/2 571/10	
	572/15 583/16 583/22	
	584/16 586/11 586/19	1
	601/7 601/10	100
		1
	advise [8] 329/9	1
	329/12 330/16 330/23	a
	503/16 510/11 520/19	ľ
	524/22	ľ
	advised [9] 342/15	a
	355/6 376/2 416/20	a
		A
	416/24 420/7 529/21	a
	576/3 605/18	A
	advising [4] 357/2	1
	583/23 583/24 584/1	1
	advisor [5] 432/15	a
	480/2 481/2 516/24	١,
	597/22	1
	advisors [12] 335/10	}
	335/24 345/24 353/17	1
	362/8 466/3 508/14	
	521/7 527/13 596/19	4
	609/1 615/1	1
		2
	advisory [3] 440/15	2
	444/13 444/23	
	affidavit [1] 532/20 affirmation [1] 433/24 affirmed [3] 318/7	1
	affirmation [11 433/24	4
	affirmed [3] 318/7	4
	434/2 500/17	4
		ļ
	afford [8] 461/21	,
	462/19 497/9 497/19	1
	498/13 498/17 499/6	1
	499/24	
	afraid [1] 416/9	200
	afternoon [13] 327/22	}
	[^^] : [[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[1
	346/24 434/5 452/1	1
	463/7 463/16 463/18	
	463/22 493/12 500/23	
	578/11 579/4 580/8	-
	against [3] 346/5 566/1	a
	573/3	4
		2
	agencies [5] 439/20	2
	440/13 442/9 445/5	1
	452/22	
	agencies' [1] 440/19	3
	agenda [4] 459/13	a
	459/14 459/19 460/2	4
		}
	agendas [1] 501/23	ļ
	AGM [1] 435/19	
	agnostic [1] 361/1	1
	ago [17] 343/22 370/22	a
	395/16 489/20 532/13	1
	546/16 547/21 554/10	;
		a
	557/16 570/12 579/24	a
	592/10 592/12 592/17	
	593/4 608/2 608/3	
	agreed [21] 324/24	۔ ا
	Market West -	a

```
328/3 336/12 404/3
408/1 421/7 441/24
444/13 448/4 468/11
469/6 469/10 537/8
551/23 552/6 552/7
552/17 553/4 578/4
578/13 598/5
agreeing [3] 325/5
409/13 550/21
agreement ...
Capricorn [1] 522/22
agreements [18] 351/8
379/22 380/7 381/3
446/11 446/12 453/3
462/15 503/7 539/6
539/10 539/14 595/5
596/18 609/21 609/22
611/5 612/19
ahead [5] 422/7 434/13
440/19 548/5 602/15
ake [1] 510/19
alerted [1] 528/9
Alex [2] 437/18 484/18
align [1] 354/5
Alison [2] 358/23
374/5
all [69] 321/2 332/10
335/11 345/12 351/1
352/21 355/10 364/18
366/23 375/3 375/3
376/8 379/18 389/4
402/12 420/19 421/6
425/17 427/22 437/13
438/16 448/5 448/14
449/1 450/5 450/7
453/6 456/13 456/18
456/22 457/10 458/14
466/20 467/21 468/24
479/15 488/6 492/13
495/6 495/13 496/24
508/10 508/14 509/2
509/2 515/16 517/5
521/8 524/17 535/10
535/12 536/12 542/2
544/16 545/24 546/18
548/3 567/16 573/8
582/17 583/1 584/7
595/21 596/18 596/18
597/11 607/11 609/9
612/7
all-cash [16] 321/2
402/12 425/17 448/5
450/5 450/7 453/6
456/18 457/10 458/14
466/20 467/21 468/24
495/6 495/13 583/1
allow [11] 471/12
471/24 507/9 523/8
534/19 536/11 547/13
548/14 552/3 562/10
562/13
allowed [5] 321/21
385/8 465/11 482/20
553/2
allows [1] 505/20
almost [5] 350/5
483/20 546/16 587/12
590/13
along [9] 355/4 394/3
```

```
395/20 559/23 566/15
566/16 588/4 595/9
596/19
alternatively [1] 449/8
although [3] 333/11
468/15 614/23
always [2] 396/13
541/5
am [23] 327/20 337/22
347/7 347/10 377/12
387/22 400/18 414/19
434/10 436/1 436/6
452/13 463/19 478/21
484/11 504/6 512/11
533/19 534/18 540/24
542/1 578/10 613/19
amend [2] 411/11
506/20
amending [1] 558/22
America [1] 465/8
among [1] 353/24
amongst [3] 502/2
510/18 514/22
amount [5] 364/3
450/20 450/21 456/4
456/5
analyses [2] 336/1
441/16
analysis [6] 335/11
370/1 497/12 498/7
498/10 498/16
analysts [2] 454/4
454/5
Andrew [8] 445/8
502/21 521/14 601/22
605/17 605/17 605/21
605/24
Andrew/Marc [1]
605/24
announce [1] 423/17
announced [7] 350/1
397/17 398/6 420/24
458/18 458/21 459/4
announcement [3]
462/14 482/4 482/7
annual [5] 319/1 331/4
331/16 332/4 333/8
another [12] 323/7
328/23 346/14 360/22
361/11 370/4 460/21
509/13 523/2 559/19
601/13 605/22
answer [29] 342/20
381/14 388/15 406/14
462/5 470/9 474/3
474/4 474/11 474/14
478/9 478/16 482/19
483/6 498/15 498/24
500/1 532/23 533/20
548/13 549/1 552/11
561/9 561/13 564/2
564/4 564/11 573/7
600/16
answered [2] 554/10
566/18
answering [1] 566/19
answers [4] 482/23
532/7 549/5 549/8
anticipate [1] 361/24
```

```
anybody [3] 516/5
539/21 607/4
anyone [7] 418/13
423/14 479/10 499/3
499/22 527/12 527/14
anytime [1] 520/7
anyway [3] 389/2
483/23 600/10
anywhere [1] 385/2
Apologies [1] 445/20
apologize [8] 393/13
407/10 435/12 443/7
483/12 532/21 534/15
600/7
Appalachian [1]
436/19
apparently [3] 318/21
474/15 606/5
appear [11] 328/4
385/2 388/1 392/22
395/10 408/2 480/22
495/20 555/11 578/13
578/14
APPEARANCES [1]
316/22
appears [9] 320/15
382/20 388/8 393/24
446/23 476/14 545/22
555/20 578/23
applicable [3] 419/13
504/13 504/18
apply [1] 362/15
appraisal [5] 401/10
403/1 412/3 591/22
591/24
appreciate [12] 342/22
397/7 400/4 433/14
433/17 443/10 470/9
500/9 572/24 583/9
602/11 611/16
appreciated [1] 461/16
apprised [1] 437/11
approach [5] 362/13
364/19 401/1 433/21
500/20
approached [1] 435/2
appropriate [4] 360/3
417/5 470/6 494/8
approval [18] 320/17
379/2 379/5 390/8
444/9 444/11 446/13
449/1 453/3 453/4
459/20 459/21 460/2
460/4 468/18 495/3
585/20 591/16
approve [3] 328/14
379/9 408/17
approved [8] 368/24
390/8 430/6 430/9
446/10 452/10 456/17
473/18
approves [1] 430/17
approximately [6]
444/14 459/3 501/10
501/17 598/22 599/4
April [6] 459/2 459/3
497/2 497/19 499/3
538/18
April 2016 [3] 497/2
```

```
497/19 499/3
April 28 [1] 459/2
April 29 [1] 459/3
aren't [3] 401/8 402/23
412/2
argue [1] 407/5
arise [1] 461/18
Arizona [1] 378/2
around [29] 339/24
341/1 346/12 378/9
386/17 399/14 400/6
409/8 423/21 424/2
435/20 437/23 438/9
444/12 444/23 466/9
478/6 479/14 501/21
508/7 517/6 517/13
536/24 537/24 548/18
557/13 561/20 592/1
613/23
arranged [1] 518/7
arrangement [1]
346/11
arrangements [1]
515/18
arranging [1] 324/6
article [1] 479/22
Articled [1] 501/4
ascribed [1] 609/12
Ashby [1] 317/10
aside [1] 405/8
ask [66] 318/23 318/24
325/20 326/4 326/11
350/12 362/20 374/24
389/2 402/22 410/10
411/9 411/16 412/5
439/18 441/4 445/12
446/18 447/2 453/13
482/18 482/22 483/8
486/21 494/1 495/11
504/3 506/20 509/14
509/23 512/5 512/23
515/1 522/16 527/18
533/24 538/16 539/21
540/1 540/6 543/21
545/4 546/21 550/6
558/20 558/24 560/5
570/23 571/23 572/12
582/21 588/1 589/15
589/24 590/21 591/3
591/17 595/3 597/3
601/14 601/19 601/24
602/18 604/16 604/17
611/24
asked [39] 341/9
351/22 352/17 381/1
383/1 385/23 387/13
390/23 414/7 415/5
415/6 416/5 425/23
427/7 427/11 474/9
474/13 478/15 482/24
498/23 508/10 511/21
529/13 549/4 549/7
561/12 564/10 566/8
571/12 582/19 583/10
```

583/10 596/22 600/13

604/4 604/15 604/16

asking [25] 324/14

324/21 326/19 340/17

605/3 609/23

A asking... [21] 352/4 352/6 368/16 403/17 416/8 425/8 484/13 493/4 519/2 526/9 526/17 534/14 540/16 551/9 558/23 586/1 586/11 590/24 591/16 604/20 611/20 asks [3] 410/23 411/20 586/24 asserted [3] 420/12 443/19 611/9 assess [1] 604/5 assessment [1] 560/19 asset [7] 440/17 442/10 442/23 445/4 450/8 458/3 465/12 assets [7] 436/16 449/9 449/10 449/14 506/14 510/2 558/1 assistance [2] 390/15 430/10 assume [2] 533/20 604/14 assuming [1] 442/7 assurance [4] 325/15 411/21 518/16 519/2 assurances [1] 411/2 attached [2] 442/13 569/10 attaching [2] 445/10 508/2 attachment [1] 330/9 attacked [1] 602/19 attempt [3] 326/13 542/3 594/15 Attempt -- seeker [1] 594/15 attend [4] 444/4 501/24 540/21 541/1 attendance [1] 545/1 attended [2] 437/13 446/22 attending [2] 545/12 546/15 attention [15] 395/7 410/23 417/21 423/4 426/4 427/24 439/6 449/19 475/13 497/2 506/8 565/23 605/5 610/14 611/17 attorney [4] 548/23 561/7 563/24 603/20 attractive [4] 436/10 436/13 436/20 436/24 audit [2] 436/3 436/5 authorities [1] 405/11 authority [1] 405/19 authorization [16] 343/12 343/16 344/6 345/3 383/22 385/16 401/4 402/2 402/15 404/16 404/19 409/18 444/17 495/12 509/22 520/15 authorize [2] 333/21

449/3

authorized [13] 339/7 387/4 412/12 446/3 446/9 447/12 448/20 472/16 476/11 477/6 477/13 495/24 526/22 available [2] 453/1 603/14 avoid [4] 419/13 504/12 595/5 605/4 **Awaiting [2]** 439/19 440/12 aware [24] 324/5 372/21 375/9 375/16 375/21 388/6 388/10 389/23 397/24 400/18 401/8 402/21 402/23 412/2 438/6 438/7 438/7 438/8 438/10 449/15 459/10 477/13 589/14 605/3 away [6] 348/3 458/15 465/12 487/5 522/9 614/1 В bachelor [1] 434/8 background [5] 434/7 464/13 501/1 538/23 539/2 backward [1] 589/1 **balance [2]** 461/12 461/12 balanced [1] 362/13 **Bali [1]** 592/3 **banker [3]** 450/18 480/8 481/2 bankers [10] 348/21 373/22 450/11 450/14 450/16 450/20 450/22 451/2 451/9 457/13 bankers' [1] 450/18 bar [3] 317/7 317/15 546/5 bargaining [5] 565/15 566/3 566/21 572/19 573/4 Barry [2] 435/7 478/19 bars [1] 567/2 base [3] 341/6 465/12 575/4 based [21] 326/5 340/21 346/23 383/4 384/9 391/3 409/11 412/6 412/8 433/5 439/21 441/5 442/17 458/10 462/3 484/13 529/21 541/18 546/19 559/5 564/24 basic [4] 464/11 484/17 594/16 601/23 basically [7] 359/5 503/8 519/7 558/19 559/24 560/3 588/16 basin [2] 436/19 465/13 basins [3] 465/3 465/7 465/8 basis [16] 381/18

443/22 450/12 456/9

457/6 466/23 468/2 468/9 468/13 468/22 475/1 476/4 489/16 493/21 494/23 553/2 BCE [2] 434/17 434/20 became [4] 477/5 477/13 538/1 600/23 become [4] 375/9 375/15 459/10 541/22 becomes [4] 420/8 442/24 443/3 445/6 begin [1] 401/2 beginning [7] 337/11 365/11 503/4 507/18 551/18 553/3 563/20 begins [3] 344/15 566/1 585/3 behalf [4] 387/6 463/8 543/3 581/24 behind [1] 413/14 belief [1] 533/22 believed [11] 326/10 371/13 379/6 390/2 390/4 457/1 475/5 481/14 527/15 585/14 586/12 Bell [1] 434/20 below [8] 340/8 342/5 366/4 366/5 371/23 372/3 455/16 559/22 bending [1] 589/1 beneficial [2] 383/24 454/1 benefit [3] 481/9 484/10 488/21 benefits [1] 375/13 **Bennett [5]** 359/2 501/5 501/8 501/10 528/3 **Bentley [3]** 527/24 528/1 528/2 Berger [2] 317/4 317/8 Berkshire [5] 322/8 323/10 350/23 351/5 398/14 Bernstein [2] 317/4 317/8 best [27] 319/9 345/20 348/1 353/1 355/12 355/13 397/2 413/7 415/21 420/9 420/24 453/15 456/21 490/12 495/14 495/19 496/1 508/9 541/1 541/5 542/12 547/24 596/11 596/12 596/16 596/18 601/5 better [6] 402/22 441/4 516/15 539/24 560/13 594/2 between [59] 324/6 328/6 340/9 341/21 346/9 346/17 349/10 350/14 358/22 365/5 373/22 387/2 389/16 402/7 403/8 403/18 409/21 414/21 418/10 438/2 438/12 449/17 450/19 454/10 455/15

461/23 467/9 468/6 472/8 477/1 485/5 491/6 505/12 511/24 514/23 515/2 516/18 517/20 518/7 521/22 525/23 527/23 531/12 536/20 537/17 538/17 551/5 552/24 559/19 563/23 567/2 575/5 575/11 575/19 579/3 597/7 604/19 606/9 610/17 beyond [4] 385/9 423/20 448/10 589/4 bid [5] 479/11 486/4 491/21 562/21 599/22 bidder [3] 420/9 421/2 506/4 bidders [12] 379/19 380/2 398/24 399/4 416/14 416/21 417/6 418/9 523/10 539/9 539/22 612/14 bidding [2] 505/20 507/10 bids [1] 446/10 big [3] 320/10 331/3 369/21 bilingual [1] 593/17 billion [1] 436/22 binder [18] 377/11 377/13 377/14 383/16 387/20 393/9 395/23 397/22 403/12 406/2 418/20 426/5 438/24 464/1 464/2 464/5 467/6 496/20 binders [2] 433/22 463/11 binding [7] 383/6 384/6 384/7 384/22 389/22 468/17 468/17 bit [16] 398/11 415/24 434/6 457/11 469/15 472/22 500/24 501/6 521/6 527/18 545/18 550/12 551/10 588/6 593/7 610/12 bizarre [1] 486/4 **BJ [2]** 478/19 478/23 Blake [3] 502/24 528/6 528/7 bland [1] 536/5 blank [1] 493/2 blend [1] 397/19 blinking [1] 338/12 blow [3] 475/20 582/22 584/21 board [299] 318/16 319/1 319/4 319/9 319/24 325/13 325/14 325/17 328/4 329/9 329/13 330/1 330/16 330/24 331/3 331/20 332/1 332/10 333/8 333/15 333/21 334/16 334/24 335/2 335/2 335/7 335/14 335/23 336/8 336/12 339/7

339/12 341/17 343/11 343/16 345/21 345/24 349/3 349/17 352/17 352/18 353/17 357/8 357/9 357/19 357/24 362/8 368/24 372/7 372/21 373/18 376/1 376/2 378/9 378/22 379/2 379/5 379/9 380/5 380/13 380/16 383/7 383/22 385/9 385/16 386/19 387/4 387/4 387/6 389/18 389/23 390/7 390/8 390/17 392/1 392/14 395/10 395/11 396/2 396/2 396/7 396/19 398/18 400/22 401/4 402/1 402/14 404/15 404/19 408/2 409/17 411/1 411/1 411/4 412/12 417/10 420/8 420/24 421/10 423/7 426/8 426/12 428/7 428/11 428/14 428/20 428/21 429/8 429/10 429/13 429/17 430/5 430/8 430/16 430/17 435/1 435/3 435/5 435/7 435/8 435/20 436/1 436/13 437/5 437/8 437/12 437/16 438/15 438/15 438/21 439/5 440/22 441/6 441/7 442/2 443/18 444/1 444/3 444/11 444/22 445/10 446/3 446/5 446/9 446/10 446/11 446/12 446/15 446/19 446/21 447/12 447/13 448/1 448/4 448/19 448/24 449/3 449/18 450/4 452/9 452/10 453/3 453/4 453/5 454/15 454/16 455/6 456/17 456/19 457/7 458/12 459/2 460/5 460/8 460/19 461/5 462/4 462/12 462/18 462/21 463/1 464/13 468/15 468/22 469/4 469/21 470/2 470/5 470/11 470/14 470/15 471/3 471/6 471/9 471/18 471/21 471/22 472/5 472/14 472/16 472/23 473/3 473/11 475/9 476/6 476/11 476/24 476/24 477/5 477/12 479/1 479/18 480/14 485/21 487/7 488/22 489/16 494/6 494/13 494/16 494/19 495/4 495/17 495/22 496/24 497/18 498/12 499/4 499/8 499/9 499/12 499/21 500/2 501/21 501/22 501/23 502/1 506/16

В	borrowed [1] 593/20
board [61] 506/17	boss [4] 554/5 559/17
509/20 509/23 510/12	568/20 588/2
510/17 518/14 518/15	both [18] 340/20
518/18 518/20 519/3	361/13 392/8 415/22 440/15 442/10 454/3
519/10 519/11 519/19	457/2 468/11 469/9
520/9 523/8 524/12	481/12 481/17 482/3
524/24 526/22 540/21	482/8 515/9 532/17
541/14 541/15 541/16	562/7 598/4
541/17 541/18 548/18	bottom [35] 324/1
555/11 555/15 560/14	325/8 334/20 336/11
560/23 561/20 562/22 566/8 566/9 571/6	337/10 340/12 346/20
571/11 571/16 573/8	349/1 351/19 356/19
576/23 578/14 578/18	359/21 364/12 367/1
579/10 580/11 585/5	367/3 368/1 368/20
585/20 586/2 586/8	372/24 389/8 419/23
587/1 590/6 601/1	420/16 430/4 447/3 478/19 485/12 495/3
601/4 602/19 602/20	517/2 518/1 522/16
603/3 603/13 604/9	522/17 555/7 565/24
604/10 606/1 613/20	582/4 603/12 614/2
614/10 615/4 615/8	614/4
board's [9] 381/2	bought [10] 450/11
381/12 381/22 383/10 395/8 398/22 420/13	450/16 450/23 452/24
447/23 587/17	456/3 456/4 456/6
board-approved [1]	456/9 457/21 458/4
446/10	boundaries [1] 349/11
board-authorized [1]	bounds [1] 329/19
446/3	Bousquette [3] 366/4
Board/Fiduciary [1]	366/6 366/10 Bousquette's [1] 368/6
603/13	breach [13] 322/3
boards [7] 319/8 319/8	325/18 326/4 326/18
434/22 435/24 436/2	337/15 375/18 375/21
470/5 589/10	411/5 431/5 518/19
Boardvantage [1] 396/16	522/21 592/21 592/22
Bob [57] 324/3 327/5	breached [2] 401/11
330/6 344/12 352/16	403/2
360/1 372/10 374/12	break [10] 334/3
414/22 414/23 414/24	338/15 376/8 450/2 451/11 451/13 451/14
415/1 503/22 508/1	452/9 524/7 530/6
514/16 515/3 515/6	BRENDAN [1] 317/2
516/5 517/20 520/14	BRIAN [1] 317/14
523/18 523/23 524/7	bridgeable [1] 350/15
524/14 525/23 526/5 526/15 526/19 531/13	brief [5] 371/17 580/20
531/18 536/21 536/23	606/19 606/22 609/11
537/18 537/24 538/4	briefed [1] 472/6
539/21 540/1 543/6	briefly [4] 371/22
556/4 556/5 575/14	434/14 461/22 502/9
575/18 576/2 576/14	bring [3] 557/16
578/10 579/11 580/22	597/11 611/16 brings [1] 448/14
586/1 587/5 587/10	broad [7] 324/9 437/22
587/22 588/20 589/11	441/13 441/19 516/2
589/19 589/20 591/20	577/24 588/3
603/12	broader [1] 505/18
Bob Smith [2] 523/18 524/14	brought [4] 435/13
bona [3] 337/13 339/10	437/9 462/17 604/5
522/19	Brown [33] 317/16
book [10] 358/17	502/21 512/9 513/15
382/16 386/12 391/1	521/14 521/22 524/22
414/12 420/15 435/10	525/3 525/8 526/6
545/5 565/19 601/19	538/7 538/18 549/12 549/16 549/22 565/14
books [1] 540/7	566/7 566/17 569/1
borne [1] 450/14	233/1 233/17 303/1

borne [1] 450/14

borrow [1] 553/18

570/7 570/17 571/22

584/16 585/1 587/13

605/19 611/3 Brown's [5] 526/3 565/6 572/15 591/5 591/19 build [1] 437/2 build-out [1] 437/2 bullet [6] 439/19 461/1 461/20 497/8 497/10 582/15 bunch [1] 420/17 burden [1] 532/14 business [7] 352/22 352/23 434/9 434/10 464/14 486/10 556/23 businessperson's [1] 560/10 busy [1] 569/23 but [205] 326/12 328/13 331/10 332/21 333/10 335/9 336/6 336/22 338/9 338/23 339/10 342/22 345/9 346/11 350/12 352/6 355/13 356/19 356/22 357/21 358/1 360/18 361/18 363/1 363/10 365/6 365/23 368/14 369/11 369/20 370/4 370/23 373/17 380/8 384/15 385/12 385/18 388/10 388/14 389/23 390/5 393/1 393/9 393/23 394/2 394/6 394/17 394/24 395/16 395/17 396/14 396/23 397/8 399/7 399/18 401/12 402/18 404/13 405/8 406/9 407/5 407/8 408/5 408/8 408/16 408/24 409/7 412/8 412/24 413/7 414/21 415/13 415/19 417/19 418/8 419/21 420/12 422/4 422/18 422/21 423/14 423/20 424/14 424/19 425/15 426/5 426/19 427/3 427/13 432/10 432/22 433/5 438/18 440/7 443/19 446/9 448/9 448/21 449/18 450/3 450/7 450/17 456/7 456/7 458/3 458/6 462/13 463/19 465/18 469/5 470/4 472/15 472/18 473/1 480/6 482/1 482/12 488/5 490/18 492/10 493/10 494/2 494/3 495/15 495/19 495/24 496/21 498/10 502/13 504/21 505/4 512/11 513/16 516/13 516/23 523/14 525/19 525/20 529/1 529/18 532/22 537/5 539/11 539/24 542/4 545/21 546/3 546/18

601/14 601/22 602/17

603/7 603/18 603/24

547/2 547/13 548/15 550/11 551/2 551/12 551/17 557/16 558/11 558/14 559/20 560/9 560/13 560/15 560/21 562/18 563/11 564/6 564/17 565/13 566/15 568/14 570/2 571/14 571/15 575/21 578/4 579/2 579/18 580/9 582/15 583/9 583/18 583/21 584/6 586/11 588/8 588/10 588/15 590/14 590/24 592/9 593/1 593/2 594/19 596/8 604/14 604/21 605/15 606/16 608/14 608/19 609/10 609/21 611/11 612/8 613/24 buy [2] 353/7 564/19 buyer [1] 460/21 buying [1] 353/13 bye [1] 500/14 **C.J [2]** 463/8 553/18 Calgary [2] 501/5 576/17 call [61] 324/6 325/5

342/5 348/12 351/23 357/19 358/1 365/23 366/14 367/20 367/20 368/7 401/10 402/7 402/10 403/1 403/8 404/5 432/9 432/13 433/2 433/19 493/13 500/13 515/8 529/8 529/11 529/12 536/23 537/19 537/24 538/6 548/15 575/4 575/14 575/19 578/9 581/7 582/1 582/5 582/7 582/13 582/19 582/20 583/2 583/11 583/14 584/4 584/8 587/23 590/5 597/14 599/5 603/3 603/5 603/7 603/15 603/21 603/23 604/9 610/14 called [10] 351/12 375/24 399/14 439/8 485/21 538/23 569/7 598/13 599/6 601/8 calling [3] 517/12 546/1 571/22 calls [6] 352/19 353/8 353/9 353/11 535/8 536/12 can't [15] 388/4 448/15 473/14 507/11 546/6 546/23 552/5 557/23 560/22 565/1 573/10 580/20 581/14 607/14 611/11 Canada [4] 434/20 501/5 504/20 593/17 Canadian [9] 423/12

424/4 434/11 465/13

483/17 483/18 502/22

502/23 505/3 capable [4] 510/9 563/8 563/15 590/8 capacity [1] 437/1 capital [6] 440/4 440/9 442/11 455/21 470/22 550/19 capital-intensive [1] 440/4 Capricorn [32] 324/10 324/12 325/17 337/16

341/17 341/20 341/21 403/20 411/4 439/14 447/7 447/10 447/13 448/21 455/2 457/20 471/5 471/13 472/17 480/14 480/16 488/19 518/17 518/20 522/22 557/21 566/1 587/17 601/24 602/19 606/1 608/24

Capricorn's [9] 324/7 447/9 447/15 471/6 495/5 512/12 560/1 560/3 567/3

captured [1] 558/12 capturing [1] 579/1 care [1] 595/22 career [1] 502/11 careful [5] 363/1 378/12 426/16 542/8 557/9

carefully [6] 329/18 363/2 390/18 392/3 392/15 487/17

carries [2] 346/22 388/18 carrying [1] 394/21

carve [1] 410/6 carve-out [1] 410/6 case [12] 412/7 414/3 468/7 496/12 505/19 505/21 505/23 529/1 591/10 598/2 601/8 601/9

cases [1] 532/19 cash [27] 321/2 323/2 375/11 402/12 422/9 425/17 441/16 448/5 449/16 450/5 450/7 453/6 456/18 456/23 457/6 457/10 458/2 458/14 466/20 467/21 468/24 476/13 492/13 495/6 495/13 583/1 584/7

Cassels [2] 528/6 528/7

Cassles [1] 502/24 category [1] 329/1 cause [2] 347/15 478/11

caused [2] 372/16 455/16

causing [1] 451/8 cautious [3] 518/9 525/16 537/10 cc's [1] 363/9 cease [1] 415/14

С	check [2] 556/3 556/4	548/6 548/10 549/2	502/15 502/17 503/2	committees [1] 501/22
ceased [1] 346/10	checked [1] 424/9	561/5 561/10 563/21	503/11 503/24 505/6	committing [1] 522/5
Center [2] 316/9	checking [1] 589/11	564/8	505/12 505/23 506/7	common [10] 321/3
316/20	chief [8] 322/23 434/19		506/15 508/8 508/16	323/1 442/13 442/14
central [4] 349/18	437/19 437/20 437/21	Cliver's [2] 582/12	509/20 509/23 510/21	447/8 448/15 448/22
417/18 418/11 578/2	447/5 484/18 495/4	582/18	512/20 513/6 514/5	455/20 472/18 495/7
CEO [23] 318/21 324/6	choice [3] 579/17	close [7] 413/12	515/12 515/23 516/5	common-share [1]
324/7 325/17 411/4	580/19 580/23 choosing [2] 583/17	531/17 535/6 588/15 588/20 588/22 589/4	517/1 518/8 520/9 521/1 521/10 521/17	455/20 commonly [2] 523/6
435/9 444/10 447/9	583/21	closing [1] 538/13	522/2 522/15 523/8	599/8
457/4 488/13 490/11	choreographed [1]	closure [1] 362/15	523/14 526/9 526/22	commonplace [1]
491/18 512/12 518/7	368/13	cluster [1] 488/7	527/13 528/10 531/21	597/18
518/7 518/18 525/10	chose [1] 583/22	co [2] 401/13 401/15	533/5 533/11 535/14	communicate [5]
559/13 569/19 584/13 585/10 588/9 589/4	Chris [27] 323/18	co-counsel [2] 401/13	535/17 536/10 536/22	318/21 319/8 363/4
CEO's [2] 447/10	324/1 325/5 327/9	401/15	538/20 539/4 539/9	455/2 585/14
587/23	340/9 340/13 343/10	Coast [1] 418/13	539/22 546/1 546/24	communicated [3]
CEOs [5] 319/7 328/6	344/4 346/23 347/7	code [3] 439/10 445/15	547/3 547/13 554/24	458/8 492/21 581/8
512/19 520/4 606/9	367/3 367/6 367/19	445/21	555/11 555/22 556/13 557/8 561/22 562/2	communicating [1]
certain [5] 414/1 414/3	367/24 368/21 369/15 372/10 404/5 423/20	cognizant [1] 427/4 coincidence [1] 597/21	562/16 562/22 563/8	communication [3]
434/22 496/10 552/4	424/3 431/13 431/18	colleague [9] 463/11	564/19 574/17 574/21	387/5 575/10 575/11
certainly [13] 319/12	432/10 473/4 473/17	463/19 480/20 540/6	576/23 581/22 582/1	communications [4]
319/16 333/10 343/24	545/14 603/13	545/4 582/13 582/18	586/8 587/1 587/6	428/14 492/10 527/7
355/13 381/22 390/4	Chris - Just [1] 603/13		587/19 590/6 596/4	592/13
438/18 462/8 527/5 529/10 569/19 591/5	Christine [5] 403/6	colleagues [1] 381/1	596/15 597/16 597/23	companies [8] 355/7
certainty [1] 413/12	403/17 469/15 500/13	colloquial [1] 598/16	598/10 598/15 599/9	449/18 454/11 502/13
certifying [1] 508/20	500/16	colloquially [5] 551/16	599/13 599/22 601/1	502/14 538/20 539/3
cetera [1] 557/21	CHRISTOPHER [3]	598/11 599/16 600/4	601/4 601/16 602/18	611/6
cfo [4] 324/5 366/12	317/6 516/18 516/22	600/10	604/10 605/4 611/4	company [31] 334/13
485/17 556/3	chronology [2] 357/10 432/11	COLUMBIA [207] 316/3 329/21 330/2	612/13 612/20 612/22 Columbia's [22]	336/2 353/2 367/18 369/24 389/16 400/18
chain [6] 415/3 420/16	circle [1] 488/17	331/20 336/15 339/13	329/12 380/19 419/7	436/2 440/5 440/11
479/24 531/12 576/8	circling [1] 372/9	339/16 339/20 339/23	453/4 454/4 454/13	448/5 448/7 455/21
582/8	circuiting [1] 496/9	340/24 346/4 346/9	461/23 465/6 477/17	482/4 498/6 502/4
chains [1] 491/4 chair [8] 435/2 435/3	circulate [2] 367/21	347/23 348/3 348/11	478/11 481/8 484/8	505/22 506/3 506/15
435/7 435/16 435/20	374/12	350/8 355/3 360/13	491/19 503/15 507/4	507/11 508/20 512/20
436/3 436/4 478/20	circumstances [1]	361/23 365/5 367/14	514/4 514/16 519/2	541/7 554/21 559/14
chairman [2] 380/13	528/17	368/10 375/3 380/1	555/10 556/3 590/24	569/19 596/17 597/15
570/14	citation [1] 405/19	381/9 384/1 384/17	603/24	598/9 599/17 600/11
chairs [1] 470/4	cited [1] 532/19 Civil [1] 316/3	384/18 385/15 396/6 398/12 398/13 398/22	combination [2] 450/8 497/12	336/1 345/23 413/6
challenged [1] 457/8	clarification [1] 441/10		combinations [1]	comparable [1] 441/18
challenges [2] 442/22	clarify [1] 357/4	402/12 402/17 404/15	440/16	compete [1] 612/15
455/5	clarifying [1] 529/23	406/10 407/14 407/20	comes [3] 556/21	competition [10]
challenging [2] 445/6 448/5	clarity [2] 396/17	407/20 412/12 412/18	575/11 585/24	335/11 351/11 352/24
chance [5] 360/8	595/22	413/17 416/9 416/21	comfort [3] 449/11	353/4 355/14 370/3
383/19 392/19 393/22	clause [1] 328/22	417/1 417/3 417/14	452/24 551/4	421/2 421/12 539/19
592/10	clean [1] 417/22	419/6 419/10 419/18	comfortable [6] 327/21	
Chancellor [1] 316/13	clear [21] 343/18 343/19 351/8 351/10	421/17 422/9 422/12 422/13 423/18 425/15	340/20 367/10 450/22 578/7 578/10	complement [1] 436/17
CHANCERY [3] 316/1	351/10 354/14 364/7	425/16 436/8 436/10	coming [5] 443/11	complete [3] 378/13
316/9 316/20	364/22 381/9 388/20	436/13 436/16 436/18	585/16 589/10 605/5	378/21 555/22
change [4] 476/18	390/17 392/2 392/14	436/21 437/7 438/3	615/6	completely [5] 389/1
504/7 508/22 510/11 changed [3] 504/17	490/18 532/23 542/21	438/5 438/12 439/11	commence [1] 444/14	395/19 397/6 397/20
504/23 542/5	543/11 551/10 570/2	439/15 440/22 441/1	comment [11] 363/17	486/3
changes [8] 374/9	572/21 575/9	441/7 441/14 442/2	368/15 405/6 440/12	compliance [7] 519/19
374/18 374/20 505/6	clearly [5] 394/2 433/1	444/18 446/1 446/2	445/2 473/14 523/18	525/21 527/10 553/11
538/12 541/12 541/18	572/6 581/1 595/14	446/16 447/24 449/15	571/8 571/15 571/15	579/8 589/2 596/17
543/18	clerks [1] 377/18 click [1] 537/23	449/20 451/4 458/16 460/12 460/22 461/3	571/18 commented [2] 456/23	comply [2] 362/14
Changing [1] 469/15	client [6] 554/5 556/24		497/22	complying [1] 526/15
characterize [2]	557/18 559/7 567/19	465/19 466/8 466/19	commenting [1] 512/8	component [8] 443/3
561/18 571/7	570/23	466/21 467/22 472/1	comments [13] 336/24	
characterized [1] 345/20	clients [2] 544/2 544/5		357/1 369/1 379/21	452/12 452/15 457/23
chart [1] 614/16	clip [21] 381/5 381/7	476/10 476/12 477/2	409/23 412/8 503/23	458/4
Chartered [1] 434/11	381/15 473/22 473/24	479/12 479/19 486/23	504/3 541/8 541/12	computer [1] 613/9
chase [1] 569/10	474/8 477/24 478/1	487/5 487/17 489/9	541/17 541/18 543/9	Conaway [1] 317/13
chat [1] 414/21	478/14 498/3 498/4 498/21 499/19 500/3	492/16 492/22 492/22 493/23 494/3 494/9	committee [4] 435/17 436/4 436/5 459/20	concededly [1] 400/10 concept [2] 409/15
	700121 700110 00010	700120 70410 40410	7007 7000 400120	501166bt [2] 400/10
	i	i e		i

C consequently [1] 442/10 concept... [1] 422/18 conservative [3] concern [6] 372/16 525/16 525/20 591/12 606/6 607/11 608/5 consider [7] 339/12 608/20 609/4 361/21 373/18 446/16 concerned [2] 421/10 449/22 519/12 523/9 461/5 considerable [2] 442/8 concerning [1] 419/3 461/14 concerns [2] 445/4 consideration [15] 497/11 442/9 448/23 449/4 conclude [3] 457/4 449/24 452/11 455/12 481/15 484/11 456/15 457/17 457/20 concluded [4] 372/11 472/19 476/13 477/7 475/5 481/19 524/3 479/7 479/11 486/16 conclusion [2] 456/20 considerations [5] 536/16 442/16 442/17 442/19 concurrence [1] 494/12 494/13 367/22 considered [4] 375/18 condition [2] 455/23 566/2 566/19 566/20 482/11 considering [1] 412/17 conditioned [3] 328/5 consistent [12] 329/4 408/3 578/15 329/5 330/1 418/2 conditioning [1] 444/18 445/1 446/4 479/10 475/3 513/12 546/10 conditions [5] 452/16 558/4 594/16 452/18 452/19 455/3 CONSOLIDATED [1] 455/18 316/3 conduct [1] 503/6 constellation [7] 439/8 conducted [2] 482/2 439/9 447/3 517/6 492/7 517/11 569/3 569/8 conducting [1] 482/9 constitute [12] 324/10 confident [2] 458/13 324/12 403/19 403/21 485/20 431/5 512/10 513/1 confidential [6] 347/16 513/7 565/11 576/4 508/11 508/15 515/16 577/18 579/5 528/19 529/2 constructive [2] confidentiality [3] 362/16 383/24 389/15 509/3 539/6 Cont'd [1] 318/8 confirm [15] 327/10 contact [1] 360/19 341/16 369/1 403/18 contacted [1] 320/24 406/3 424/10 508/19 contain [3] 344/5 513/9 536/15 577/11 460/20 505/13 578/20 580/6 586/2 contained [4] 382/6 614/1 614/19 410/10 505/18 562/20 confirmation [9] 326/1 contemplates [1] 326/22 431/4 431/18 552/7 432/7 440/19 520/18 contemplation [1] 526/21 587/1 318/20 confirmed [8] 507/23 contemporaneous [1] 513/16 513/21 514/2 542/3 515/13 524/23 549/10 contemporaneously 549/20 **[1]** 613/9 **confirming [2]** 520/2 contest [1] 510/17 549/15 context [33] 327/11 confirms [1] 494/2 332/13 333/12 333/16 connection [13] 319/1 335/11 355/12 355/14 320/17 378/16 385/23 370/23 371/7 384/5 398/13 422/11 456/11 390/1 392/7 397/7 503/2 543/12 551/22 397/16 406/4 408/6 552/16 553/4 599/21 409/21 413/3 415/9 consciously [3] 390/18 520/4 548/12 551/2 392/2 392/15 559/13 561/13 562/8 consensus [1] 475/8 577/13 577/20 577/21 consent [5] 419/7 578/21 579/15 580/3 459/21 567/3 568/10 588/6 594/20 612/15 continue [4] 475/10 consents [2] 525/1 481/17 585/8 606/8 586/2 continued [2] 399/9

452/24 continues [2] 485/16 501/12 583/1 **continuing [7]** 325/14 411/1 412/10 415/18 452/20 488/16 518/15 contract [1] 595/8 contractually [1] 417/1 contradiction [1] 325/7 contrast [1] 384/15 contravention [7] 325/18 327/12 404/6 406/5 411/5 518/18 577/14 control [1] 510/11 convened [1] 373/18 conversation [43] 323/17 324/10 327/21 327/23 328/6 342/22 343/14 343/21 352/15 448/20 360/18 361/18 366/13 369/11 371/5 403/18 472/17 407/19 423/21 435/16 512/10 513/1 516/3 516/14 518/10 532/1 547/16 574/16 575/5 575/23 576/3 576/10 575/11 577/17 578/11 579/4 579/16 579/20 580/7 580/9 588/12 597/7 604/13 610/13 610/15 600/22 613/23 conversations [14] 325/3 329/6 409/6 409/7 423/19 423/22 512/12 518/6 527/7 547/13 548/15 557/12 562/14 562/19 convey [3] 491/14 555/13 571/10 conveyed [4] 345/21 347/2 447/11 447/15 cooperative [3] 505/24 507/10 547/10 cooperatively [1] 552/3 coordinate [1] 340/19 copies [2] 331/17 568/19 copy [6] 363/9 373/7 467/13 540/9 550/13 596/22 copying [1] 426/8 core [2] 554/21 557/1 Cornelius [7] 380/10 380/12 380/20 380/22 381/2 381/5 381/8 Cornelius's [1] 381/18 corner [2] 545/14 546/20 corporate [19] 435/24 437/20 469/17 469/24 501/9 501/12 501/14 369/24 501/16 501/19 502/24 528/3 540/18 540/21 541/23 542/2 542/8 542/9 542/13 556/22 Corporation [5] 317/16

436/3 482/5 482/6 correct [304] corrected [1] 386/8 correctly [21] 378/17 379/17 384/4 387/8 389/19 392/5 403/23 404/9 405/3 408/21 411/7 421/4 471/15 486/5 488/24 497/14 546/8 568/12 594/4 594/7 606/10 correspondence [3] 340/9 403/5 403/11 cost [2] 465/8 477/17 counsel's [3] 482/22 591/20 605/13 counseled [1] 326/17 counsels' [1] 340/22 counter [2] 447/10 counteroffer [1] counterparties [3] 336/4 351/7 381/11 counterparty [4] 383/12 383/23 465/20 couple [8] 330/7 335/17 375/1 422/15 427/7 596/20 597/3 course [15] 438/11 499/14 502/10 507/20 511/9 513/7 529/17 533/23 535/8 537/20 549/17 579/16 580/1 609/18 614/24 Court's [8] 407/7 438/9 463/9 532/9 532/11 592/21 592/24 593/3 Courtroom [1] 316/9 cover [4] 382/19 391/3 462/11 493/9 covered [3] 518/22 576/24 577/2 coy [1] 425/11 CPG [11] 321/2 321/3 322/24 323/1 387/2 387/7 429/3 429/6 429/23 493/14 582/6 CPG's [1] 431/6 cquire [1] 509/24 crafted [1] 363/3 create [6] 378/13 442/22 454/11 556/12 556/18 613/13 created [2] 492/5 571/5 creating [4] 357/16 357/22 357/23 358/4 creation [1] 448/13 credibility [1] 413/12 **credible [2]** 369/12 credit [4] 444/12 444/23 452/21 452/23 Cromwell [39] 331/17 331/19 356/13 356/18 356/22 367/14 374/14

378/18 378/20 379/18 379/22 382/12 382/21 383/2 383/5 385/7 385/13 389/9 389/14 390/7 390/16 390/24 391/4 392/8 392/13 393/5 395/4 397/10 400/11 404/2 412/13 416/20 416/24 430/10 430/20 606/15 607/6 607/8 609/10 cross [10] 377/6 463/10 463/14 465/17 482/22 483/6 483/18 496/9 540/7 540/10 cross-examination [6] 377/6 463/10 463/14 465/17 496/9 540/10 crossed [2] 338/23 589/13 crucial [1] 342/10 crystallized [1] 529/19 CST [1] 589/22 current [4] 390/3 393/1 407/6 433/6 currently [1] 436/1 custom [1] 540/17 cut [3] 400/16 400/22 569/9 cutting [1] 407/11 cyclical [1] 440/4

data [4] 318/18 515/18 517/6 517/16 date [19] 320/16 320/18 330/17 330/17 330/19 348/17 358/1 358/2 394/17 397/18 414/1 414/3 437/9 458/20 466/12 467/1 486/18 545/17 584/17 dated [28] 318/16 331/15 340/7 343/5 346/16 353/16 356/4 362/7 391/8 397/13 425/2 426/8 445/9 489/21 503/21 508/1 509/12 512/1 517/20 521/22 523/16 525/23 526/20 531/13 536/21 538/18 554/19 589/21 dates [4] 357/12 388/22 397/19 511/22 dating [1] 344/20 David [2] 358/24 359/1 day [24] 324/8 327/3 328/23 349/23 358/11 390/3 396/21 403/7 405/23 426/21 428/15 445/11 489/19 535/6 540/13 542/22 542/22 544/19 574/19 595/18 597/24 605/18 605/18 615/10 days [11] 331/6 331/10 418/9 422/15 425/18

426/21 493/16 494/10

554/23 556/21 589/11

D	declining [3] 350/19	494/16	478/17 479/5 483/1	403/4 406/15 416/1
Dearwestern actes of process and process	350/19 352/23	detailed [2] 332/17	485/16 486/5 487/17	422/2 423/4 425/1
DBRS [1] 440/6	deem [1] 374/10	538/24	488/23 490/18 494/9	425/23 426/15 432/
de [1] 451/6	deemed [1] 552/5	determine [2] 328/21	497/14 497/15 499/22	432/22 434/3 472/1
de-risks [1] 451/6	Defendant [1] 317/16	613/20	502/13 502/16 502/18	473/1 482/17 482/2
deal [78] 333/1 333/3	Defendants [1] 433/19	determined [2] 471/7	503/11 503/12 503/13	483/8 487/3 489/23
342/11 360/21 361/3	define [2] 593/8 593/9	553/10	503/16 503/19 504/19	491/12 497/4 500/2
361/5 361/10 361/17	definitely [2] 401/16	developed [1] 362/13	505/16 505/6 505/9	559/17 587/9 605/1
362/15 372/7 373/23	473/2			
375/11 391/17 400/16	1 0 0 7 100 0 100 0 0 0	development [5]	506/10 506/21 507/2	directed [3] 345/24
400/19 402/12 407/24	definition [7] 407/2	436/23 437/20 453/15	507/18 508/6 508/13	410/23 613/20
416/9 420/10 422/19	407/2 563/9 578/2	490/12 556/23	508/17 508/21 509/6	direction [6] 328/4
427/4 438/16 441/24	594/13 594/19 599/8	developments [1]	510/3 510/13 510/22	379/19 398/22 408/
450/12 450/23 452/24	definitive [4] 334/12	455/1	511/5 511/11 511/17	578/15 578/18
456/3 456/4 456/6	341/2 446/12 453/2	device [2] 333/2	513/9 513/24 515/3	Directionally [1]
456/9 457/21 458/4	DEGNAN [1] 317/9	613/10	515/6 515/22 516/5	474/21
458/18 474/21 477/1	degree [2] 434/9 501/3	dialogue [2] 448/1	516/9 518/4 519/12	Directionally - don'
477/6 478/7 478/24	DELAWARE [7] 316/1	499/10	519/21 520/7 520/19	474/21
479/20 479/22 480/15	316/10 316/21 331/22	dialogues [1] 438/9	520/24 521/12 521/18	directly [10] 342/14
481/4 485/22 486/12	378/6 600/24 601/8	dictionary [4] 593/22	522/20 524/13 524/17	351/12 380/7 380/8
486/24 487/5 488/5	deliberation [2] 447/11		524/22 525/2 525/13	548/16 561/20 562/
488/14 503/9 503/17	448/19	did [250] 319/16 321/9	526/8 526/21 527/9	583/15 583/18 583/
506/1 517/11 517/14	deliberations [1]	321/13 321/14 321/16	527/12 528/8 528/22	director [8] 319/14
521/9 522/4 528/11	472/23	321/17 321/19 321/20	529/8 529/10 530/1	380/15 380/17 435/
528/14 529/18 531/22	delivered [4] 369/8	322/2 323/17 323/19	531/20 532/3 534/7	436/6 464/17 465/2
532/5 533/11 535/1	447/12 479/19 493/22	324/19 325/2 325/20	535/22 536/23 537/2	570/13
538/5 538/7 542/22	delivering [1] 446/2	326/2 326/3 326/6	537/14 538/6 538/8	directors [15] 331/
544/14 553/22 562/3	delivery [1] 396/16	326/10 329/8 329/11	538/9 538/13 538/21	331/21 341/18 383/
581/18 595/24 596/4	delta [1] 350/14	329/12 329/15 329/20	539/8 539/11 539/21	435/18 439/5 444/3
598/14 600/23 601/5	demonstrative [5]	329/23 330/13 332/16	543/11 546/17 547/24	446/21 448/9 454/1
604/6 604/24 605/19	490/7 550/5 550/7	332/19 333/21 333/24	548/2 549/5 549/8	460/6 468/16 469/2
605/22	550/14 552/13	335/2 335/2 336/13	565/13 571/10 577/8	473/17 518/21
deal - try [1] 474/21	department [2] 501/9	336/21 337/14 339/19	578/3 579/11 580/5	directors' [1] 330/1
dealing [3] 424/11	501/13	342/7 342/9 347/19	590/7 592/15 602/20	disadvantage [1]
534/4 535/5	depends [3] 385/17	347/21 347/22 350/8	603/5 607/11 608/19	388/3
dealt [1] 333/18	396/9 547/18	350/11 350/20 350/24	609/16 609/18	disadvantages [3]
	deposition [33] 377/15	351/1 351/3 351/14	didn't [41] 370/1 380/5	334/21 334/24 335/
debated [2] 334/24 336/8	377/15 382/10 385/6	352/9 352/12 354/18	405/23 407/11 409/15	disagree [7] 381/18
debrief [2] 582/6 582/7	386/4 401/14 412/17	354/19 355/2 355/5	418/8 455/17 459/10	422/17 466/23 547/
	420/11 421/6 463/20	356/14 360/6 360/8	461/14 465/18 486/23	560/9 592/20 594/1
debt [2] 442/6 442/9	463/21 464/2 473/2	360/10 360/12 360/13	487/12 492/22 515/11	disappointment [1]
December [21] 399/15	473/8 473/21 474/11	361/12 361/21 361/22	520/13 520/14 520/16	488/11
400/24 401/1 435/21	474/14 474/18 477/23	361/23 362/23 365/19	520/17 529/17 534/13	discharging [1] 54
470/12 470/14 470/14 471/21 509/12 509/15	478/16 498/1 498/24	365/20 365/23 369/7	535/24 536/1 536/9	disclose [13] 419/1
[- 1941년 - 1941년 1일 전 - 1941년 1	499/16 547/21 547/23	369/13 369/14 369/19	537/5 538/11 560/5	422/5 494/8 504/8
514/14 559/12 561/23	548/5 548/8 549/5	369/23 371/12 372/1	560/6 560/9 562/18	528/20 565/16 566/
565/14 567/8 597/7	549/8 561/1 561/3	374/16 374/22 374/23	571/23 571/24 581/6	566/22 568/10 572/
597/14 597/16 598/5	563/18 592/8	375/6 375/7 375/8	583/13 588/1 591/13	607/21 608/9 608/9
598/12 598/19	depth [2] 473/8 498/9	375/15 379/9 380/8	592/11 592/11 603/3	disclosed [4] 422/2
December 1st [2]	derailing [1] 461/6	380/19 380/21 380/22	605/14 609/1 612/21	528/19 529/3 608/1
509/12 509/15	describe [1] 502/8	382/4 382/8 387/8	difference [2] 397/14	disclosing [2] 424/
December 2015 [1]	described [4] 378/9	387/9 389/19 392/5	604/19	529/16
470/12	409/1 511/18 597/9	395/10 401/1 401/17	different [12] 329/1	disclosure [28] 38
December 2nd [2]	describes [1] 445/23	402/2 402/14 403/23	364/14 409/3 418/19	419/6 419/13 419/1
470/14 471/21	describing [1] 364/21	404/9 405/3 405/24	492/10 560/21 588/6	419/20 424/6 424/9
December 3rd [1]	Description [1] 569/7	408/21 408/22 409/4	592/1 594/21 595/19	471/11 502/3 504/1
470/14	designed [2] 356/7	409/5 409/17 409/18	604/11 614/18	506/18 506/19 510/
decide [6] 326/13	462/5	411/7 411/8 411/15	differential [1] 461/23	510/21 511/3 528/1
336/8 449/3 455/11	desire [2] 456/24 457/3	4리 - [2] 시작 시작 시 [2]	differently [1] 561/18	529/20 535/5 535/1
538/7 538/9	despite [1] 409/13	421/4 421/5 422/20	difficult [1] 370/9	546/6 566/12 567/3
decided [6] 398/18	destroy [9] 347/13	424/13 424/15 425/20	digest [1] 334/4	572/8 573/3 574/8
417/10 508/8 522/9	347/16 348/20 398/23	427/20 431/17 434/12	diligence [8] 334/11	607/18 607/22 608/
524/6 608/15	415/14 415/15 508/2	434/24 436/7 436/9	503/7 517/15 523/1	disclosures [1] 424
decision [10] 332/14	508/11 509/6	440/21 440/24 444/4	596/3 599/14 601/14	disconnect [3] 357
402/24 407/7 412/3	destroy' [1] 347/8	444/6 446/15 446/17	601/23	357/22 357/23
498/7 538/10 591/22	destroyed [2] 508/14	449/3 455/11 458/9	direct [37] 318/8	discount [4] 370/2
591/24 592/24 593/3	515/16	458/16 458/17 459/5	362/24 377/24 378/8	450/16 450/20 456/
decision-making [1]	destruction [2] 508/19	464/13 465/2 471/15	379/13 385/22 387/13	discounted [1] 441
332/14	509/5	471/16 474/1 474/13	390/22 393/3 393/10	discuss [16] 328/6
decisions [1] 602/23	detail [3] 373/17 405/5	474/15 475/6 478/17	393/14 399/7 402/6	330/8 333/4 341/1
		10 11 0/0 4/0/1/	550/11/500// 402/0	200,0 000,4 04 1/1

3/4 406/15 416/13 2/2 423/4 425/1 5/23 426/15 432/8 2/22 434/3 472/13 3/1 482/17 482/23 3/8 487/3 489/23 1/12 497/4 500/21 9/17 587/9 605/13 ected [3] 345/24 0/23 613/20 ection [6] 328/4 9/19 398/22 408/2 8/15 578/18 ectionally [1] 4/21 ectionally - don't [1] 4/21 ectly [10] 342/14 1/12 380/7 380/8 8/16 561/20 562/15 3/15 583/18 583/24 ector [8] 319/14 0/15 380/17 435/23 6/6 464/17 465/22 0/13 ectors [15] 331/20 1/21 341/18 383/7 5/18 439/5 444/3 6/21 448/9 454/17 0/6 468/16 469/21 3/17 518/21 ectors' [1] 330/11 advantage [1] advantages [3] 4/21 334/24 335/21 agree [7] 381/18 2/17 466/23 547/15 0/9 592/20 594/18 appointment [1] 8/11 charging [1] 544/13 close [13] 419/1 2/5 494/8 504/8 8/20 565/16 566/3 6/22 568/10 572/20 7/21 608/9 608/9 closed [4] 422/2 8/19 529/3 608/17 closing [2] 424/23 9/16 closure [28] 387/1 9/6 419/13 419/19 9/20 424/6 424/9 1/11 502/3 504/12 6/18 506/19 510/20 0/21 511/3 528/13 9/20 535/5 535/12 6/6 566/12 567/3 2/8 573/3 574/8 7/18 607/22 608/22 closures [1] 424/12 connect [3] 357/16 7/22 357/23 count [4] 370/2 0/16 450/20 456/5 counted [1] 441/16 cuss [16] 328/6

D
discuss [12] 342/6 351/23 354/21 372/18
351/23 354/21 372/18 373/1 438/4 515/6
522/24 525/11 574/21
576/23 584/13
discussed [23] 327/6 333/7 333/15 335/9
335/23 345/11 357/19
368/23 394/15 405/5 446/5 454/22 461/8
462/13 472/13 497/4
512/17 515/8 515/15
515/19 526/14 575/15 575/16
discussing [9] 384/6
387/15 392/21 395/9 397/10 441/8 525/9
585/15 590/20
discussion [58] 324/9
328/15 328/16 328/24 331/1 333/10 333/12
334/15 335/5 335/18
341/6 345/23 348/21
348/22 352/9 353/21 354/9 355/1 355/9
361/9 362/17 362/20
371/6 395/1 406/13 408/18 408/19 410/7
413/14 432/12 432/21
438/1 447/6 447/14
447/22 462/24 469/3 478/6 479/14 482/21
483/9 500/2 509/16
511/5 511/12 511/15 513/5 520/3 525/1
525/18 525/18 531/8
538/5 585/9 586/2
586/23 603/14 604/20 discussions [90]
318/14 318/18 318/24
319/22 321/7 321/7 321/10 321/21 321/24
325/13 325/14 326/7
326/13 326/16 328/20
331/2 337/18 341/17 342/7 345/18 346/9
346/10 346/23 349/9
350/13 351/9 361/16 364/23 375/3 389/24
398/19 399/4 400/16
400/23 401/2 402/19
405/9 407/24 410/24 411/2 419/1 422/3
422/16 423/18 423/22
424/2 425/19 437/23
441/5 441/8 443/17 444/10 444/17 445/16
447/7 449/17 456/19
458/10 462/4 471/5 477/1 481/4 488/7
493/1 493/17 495/5
495/13 507/4 507/9
507/9 508/10 511/12 513/20 514/1 518/14
518/15 519/9 520/24
521/16 529/6 529/18 531/23 532/5 533/13
12.1.20 002/0 000/10

535/10 535/16 536/1 577/24 588/3 588/4 disinfected [1] 435/14 dispositions [1] 502/12 dispute [22] 400/3 417/20 468/2 468/9 468/14 468/22 469/5 469/11 471/17 475/1 476/4 481/1 486/8 489/2 489/6 489/11 489/13 489/16 493/21 494/4 494/24 584/7 disputed [1] 595/15 disputing [3] 388/20 392/24 397/19 distilled [1] 409/9 distinction [1] 551/3 distinguish [2] 552/24 563/22 distinguishing [1] 551/5 diversify [1] 465/12 divest [1] 449/10 divestiture [1] 449/12 divestitures [1] 458/3 dividend [2] 441/17 442/13 doctrines [1] 595/4 documentation [1] 334/12 documents [5] 357/6 395/20 464/5 594/24 does [33] 336/6 336/10 343/13 343/18 344/5 344/7 348/16 348/18 359/12 364/2 364/5 366/16 374/13 388/14 388/23 394/1 394/2 400/1 400/2 406/23 410/3 410/4 410/8 444/21 448/12 505/12 505/14 536/2 536/5 555/11 558/7 607/20 614/11 doesn't [15] 361/18 363/7 388/1 392/22 407/1 410/2 410/5 422/5 462/20 555/22 570/17 572/13 577/19 579/12 579/12 doing [11] 328/13 328/14 330/24 408/16 408/17 431/13 488/3 501/3 512/19 532/16 596/17 dollars [2] 342/11 409/22 **Dominion [6]** 322/7 336/5 350/22 351/5 354/4 398/14 **Don [2]** 437/21 512/6 don't [182] 320/12 320/17 326/4 326/4 326/11 326/11 330/17 331/9 333/9 342/18 342/21 343/5 345/17 346/13 347/5 358/5

358/16 360/17 361/17 367/5 368/13 369/9 369/10 372/20 384/22 385/2 388/21 389/21 393/1 394/17 395/13 395/17 397/1 397/3 397/18 399/17 400/2 405/13 408/8 409/5 410/10 410/10 411/9 411/9 411/15 411/16 411/21 412/5 412/5 415/12 417/19 417/20 419/21 421/9 421/13 423/19 424/17 424/19 427/13 432/10 432/21 433/6 433/23 440/6 446/7 446/8 453/18 453/22 456/7 458/20 462/11 466/12 469/5 471/17 474/3 474/6 474/6 474/21 475/19 477/20 479/14 481/22 483/3 483/18 487/14 489/2 489/13 490/3 490/17 491/16 493/3 493/5 494/5 494/14 495/19 496/2 496/8 497/22 498/8 498/18 499/9 500/1 504/20 511/22 512/9 512/24 523/13 533/6 543/21 543/22 546/2 546/14 547/18 549/10 549/15 549/23 554/1 556/14 558/14 558/20 558/20 558/23 558/24 558/24 560/16 560/18 561/16 563/11 565/5 565/7 566/14 566/24 567/5 567/16 569/6 569/17 569/22 570/18 574/14 575/21 579/14 581/11 582/14 583/6 583/6 584/9 584/10 584/12 589/15 589/15 589/24 590/1 590/3 590/17 590/18 590/21 590/21 591/2 591/3 591/14 591/14 591/17 591/17 593/1 593/2 594/18 595/13 599/5 600/14 602/5 604/8 604/13 604/20 606/16 607/15 607/21 610/6 610/10 610/12 611/12 612/22 614/24 don'ts [1] 552/18 done [12] 348/2 372/4 372/7 375/23 396/13 456/24 480/15 485/22 486/11 533/16 594/2 609/20 dos [1] 552/18 dotted [1] 589/13 doubt [4] 498/5 523/7 569/11 602/3 down [32] 322/22 334/4 334/18 347/1 354/2 366/4 399/3

399/10 429/2 430/3 461/20 475/14 481/21 481/24 485/23 487/24 493/14 497/8 541/21 545/18 553/17 553/20 554/24 555/17 555/19 556/16 556/22 561/23 565/24 568/3 579/5 610/23 downgrade [1] 452/22 downgraded [1] 350/17 draft [34] 356/11 360/1 367/9 424/21 426/12 462/11 462/16 473/18 501/23 501/24 503/13 503/14 503/23 504/4 521/15 523/13 526/7 531/17 538/22 539/7 541/2 541/2 541/6 541/15 543/8 570/22 586/20 611/2 611/10 611/22 612/7 612/18 613/13 614/24 drafted [7] 336/23 385/13 390/7 497/22 526/16 587/13 591/6 drafting [3] 363/11 364/4 374/14 drafts [1] 610/23 draw [2] 475/13 497/2 drawing [2] 565/23 611/17 drawn [1] 426/4 due [6] 334/11 503/6 517/15 523/1 596/2 599/14 duly [2] 434/1 500/17 duplicative [2] 594/23 595/13 during [22] 332/18 375/3 375/8 375/20 377/24 378/8 386/4 401/20 403/4 419/2 429/20 438/11 471/20 473/8 476/24 502/10 507/15 507/16 549/17 583/14 584/8 590/4 duties [12] 330/11 331/21 332/12 354/5 395/8 509/2 600/21 600/24 601/4 601/16 604/1 604/10 duty [2] 375/18 375/21 dynamic [1] 421/24 E **EA [3]** 369/3 475/14 476/16

e-mail [2] 341/16 586/1 each [11] 319/4 319/14 322/20 351/6 351/12 476/20 478/3 512/19 515/13 553/11 579/18 earlier [36] 326/12 339/18 341/9 346/24 356/17 364/21 390/6 400/9 403/7 406/14 409/11 412/8 428/15

432/8 432/12 432/21 445/2 449/17 456/23 475/4 481/11 481/13 481/22 482/6 487/4 490/24 543/2 543/6 543/7 553/17 559/4 562/15 575/5 577/4 588/1 613/5 earliest [1] 418/5 early [14] 390/1 409/8 428/19 436/11 514/9 514/16 514/20 574/14 575/22 584/12 584/12 584/19 588/6 597/16 earned [1] 454/12 easier [9] 338/10 339/4 355/16 355/17 359/9 439/1 467/13 550/8 550/12 easily [1] 534/17 East [1] 418/13 Eastern [1] 603/15 easy [1] 359/7 **EBITDA [1]** 441/17 economic [1] 383/24 edition [3] 593/22 594/12 594/13 educational [2] 434/6 500/24 effect [4] 365/12 506/22 509/4 509/7 effective [2] 399/9 515/10 effectively [1] 412/4 effort [5] 336/8 373/2 375/10 448/15 521/8 efforts [2] 437/6 438/16 eight [1] 335/22 eighth [1] 334/5 either [16] 344/18 375/22 388/11 410/13 435/2 440/7 440/15 456/4 484/2 504/8 506/17 541/21 581/6 588/14 591/6 613/9 elements [1] 438/19 eliminate [1] 450/9 else [10] 339/12 344/18 373/21 430/21 487/21 525/19 536/14 539/22 607/4 614/7 else ...' [1] 607/4 email [179] 318/16 318/17 319/20 323/24 324/1 324/16 324/20 325/10 327/4 327/10 328/12 330/5 330/18 330/20 331/15 337/3 340/12 340/14 340/18 341/4 341/8 341/24 342/8 343/4 343/6 343/9 344/3 344/5 344/9 344/18 346/21 347/4 348/7 348/10 348/19 349/1 349/24 351/21 352/13 352/14 353/16 355/21 358/24

359/22 362/7 364/12

E	end
<u> </u>	ene
email [133] 366/3 366/5 366/6 366/15	356
366/24 367/1 367/3	435
367/8 367/13 367/19	Ene
367/24 368/7 368/20	eng
368/21 369/15 372/15	429
373/6 374/4 374/7	472
382/19 391/3 403/5	eng
403/10 404/1 404/22	eng
405/10 406/3 407/22	eng
408/11 409/5 409/9	450
410/20 412/4 418/14	eng 517
420/11 420/15 420/17	Eng
420/18 420/22 426/7	594
431/20 432/10 445/8	Eng
479/23 480/6 480/7	594
480/10 489/19 490/24	enjo
503/21 504/1 508/1 509/11 509/13 509/14	eno
511/4 511/24 512/6	412
516/17 516/20 517/2	413
517/19 518/1 518/4	413
518/13 519/1 519/12	446
520/6 520/7 521/21	ens
521/23 523/16 523/17	508
523/23 523/23 524/2	526 553
524/13 524/18 524/24	610
525/5 525/8 525/23	ens
526/4 526/7 526/14	enta
526/19 526/24 527/23	ente
528/8 531/12 531/14	337
536/20 537/17 537/19 538/17 538/18 554/8	444
554/9 554/13 559/10	523
561/14 575/20 575/21	608
576/13 576/21 577/6	ente
577/12 580/20 584/22	363
584/24 586/15 586/20	426
587/16 587/22 588/21	466
589/16 589/18 589/20	ente 537
590/17 590/19 591/6	ente
591/7 601/22 602/3	enti
602/10 603/11 603/17	enti
605/14 605/14 605/16	482
606/10 608/1 608/14 emails [19] 323/18	entr
323/21 327/2 340/6	entr
344/3 346/16 352/10	env
358/14 358/22 372/13	EPS
415/22 423/20 481/3	equ
508/19 512/3 517/22	398 442
521/19 525/24 576/8	442
emerged [1] 460/21	449
emphasize [2] 328/14	456
408/17	485
emphasized [1] 392/1	514
employed [3] 390/18	558
392/3 392/15	Eric
enclosing [1] 355/24 end [14] 319/2 321/5	480
324/7 334/18 349/16	esp
381/15 413/17 474/8	ESC
478/14 498/21 500/3	317
549/2 561/10 564/8	317
ended [7] 482/18 483/8	317
514/19 532/5 535/3	317 317
536/16 611/12	ess

```
endpoint [1] 553/9
    rgy [7] 317/16
    6/4 434/23 435/1
    5/4 464/14 501/12
    ergy's [1] 478/13
    age [7] 336/3
    9/23 471/10 471/12
    2/1 495/5 495/12
    aged [1] 599/21
    agement [1] 598/6
    agements [1]
    0/19
    aging [2] 515/12
    7/14
    lish [2] 593/17
    1/17
    lish-language [1]
    1/17
    oy [1] 592/2
    ugh [12] 374/11
    2/19 412/24 413/3
    3/5 413/5 413/8
    3/12 413/14 423/3
    6/14 585/20
    ure [11] 340/20
    3/13 518/9 524/15
    6/15 527/10 528/12
    3/11 585/6 591/13
    0/18
    uring [1] 525/21
    ail [1] 456/6
    er [11] 336/12
    7/17 431/15 444/10
    1/17 449/1 515/11
                          527/9
    3/1 547/5 607/13
    3/21
    ered [10] 336/15
    3/2 381/10 426/17
    6/20 431/7 466/8
    6/11 466/12 611/5
    ering [2] 333/22
    7/13
    ertaining [1] 321/23
    ire [1] 569/19
    itled [3] 355/22
    2/22 582/6
    ries [1] 545/20
    ry [2] 493/11 545/22
    elope [1] 362/22
    5 [1] 441/17
    ity [23] 319/19
    3/20 440/16 442/10
    2/23 443/2 445/4
    9/8 449/14 449/20
    9/23 455/20 455/20
    6/14 457/17 471/7
    5/18 508/9 510/1
                          496/7
    1/4 555/22 556/2
    3/1
    [3] 348/12 348/13
    ecially [1] 423/21
    2 [15] 317/2 317/2
    7/4 317/5 317/6
    7/6 317/7 317/9
    7/9 317/11 317/12
    7/12 317/14 317/14
    7/15
    entially [5] 449/13
```

```
458/2 511/17 522/24
                        527/23 529/4 529/9
                        529/13 531/9 531/14
529/20
establish [5] 390/17
                        536/20 537/17 538/17
392/2 392/14 432/3
                        574/11
464/10
                       exchanged [2] 481/3
established [2] 400/9
                        489/3
404/18
                       exchanging [1] 610/23
Estate [1] 436/5
                       exclusivity [86] 333/18
et [1] 557/21
                        333/22 334/14 334/22
evaluate [2] 370/5
                        335/6 335/19 336/9
                        336/13 336/16 336/19
440/16
evaluation [1] 439/11
                        337/4 337/8 337/21
even [23] 328/5 399/9
                        339/10 346/11 349/17
407/23 408/3 419/17
                        349/20 350/4 350/9
422/22 440/8 462/21
                        350/12 350/21 351/22
511/21 523/1 535/4
                        352/3 354/6 354/13
535/9 536/9 560/2
                        354/19 354/24 355/2
562/19 569/6 578/15
                        355/18 356/18 362/14
                        363/2 365/4 365/7
586/12 588/15 588/17
591/22 601/7 610/11
                        365/14 365/17 367/11
evening [1] 318/19
                        369/18 412/13 412/20
evening's [2] 353/19
                        412/24 413/18 413/24
                        414/8 415/5 415/19
353/23
event [5] 325/15 411/3
                        416/3 417/7 417/15
460/21 492/8 518/16
                        417/17 426/17 426/20
events [2] 416/18
                        427/1 427/6 431/6
538/24
                        431/14 431/19 431/23
eventually [1] 611/12
                        475/16 475/24 475/24
everybody [1] 430/21
                        476/5 476/10 479/12
                        479/15 520/24 521/4
everyone [4] 318/1
                        521/12 522/3 522/4
377/2 452/3 531/2
everything [5] 370/18
                        522/15 523/4 537/8
397/4 409/8 526/13
                        595/23 596/1 604/22
                        604/23 605/4 605/5
evidence [1] 496/8
                        605/9 606/5 609/20
exact [3] 415/12
                        610/21 610/23 611/2
524/15 526/16
                        612/19
exactly [4] 427/16
                       exclusivity' [1] 607/2
483/4 514/13 610/19
                       exclusivity' - which [1]
exam [1] 393/3
                        607/2
examination [18]
                        excuse [4] 346/17
318/8 377/6 377/24
                        358/16 363/13 391/15
378/8 385/22 387/14
                        excused [2] 433/18
390/23 393/15 403/5
                        500/11
416/13 434/3 463/10
                        execute [6] 437/2
463/14 465/17 496/9
                        438/17 442/24 448/16
500/21 540/10 605/13
                        449/12 450/18
examined [2] 434/2
                       executed [6] 382/3
500/17
                        443/4 449/7 522/14
examining [1] 570/13
                        606/6 608/5
example [3] 323/6
                       executing [7] 354/6
354/4 482/5
                        354/12 413/17 440/19
excellent [1] 566/7
                        451/9 522/4 540/18
                       execution [9] 357/2
except [2] 361/6 595/2
                        445/3 450/6 450/9
exception [1] 419/9
excerpt [3] 493/8 496/6
                        451/7 454/24 455/7
                        462/15 537/9
exchange [44] 323/17
                       executive [19] 322/23
323/21 352/10 361/4
                        331/1 375/24 378/9
361/14 361/16 372/13
                        387/14 387/19 388/1
374/4 419/14 421/20
                        392/20 394/13 394/16
421/24 423/13 424/5
                        434/19 437/14 447/5
424/11 451/5 455/5
                        461/2 461/19 495/4
504/13 504/24 504/24
                        497/7 557/1 559/19
505/3 505/4 510/6
                       executives [4] 471/5
511/5 511/24 516/17
                        514/22 559/4 569/23
517/20 520/6 520/7
                       exert [4] 566/13 572/7
521/19 521/21 523/16
                        573/3 574/8
523/23 524/2 525/23
                        exerting [1] 381/22
```

```
exhibit [102] 318/15
319/21 320/2 320/5
 320/9 320/13 320/19
 323/20 323/21 325/9
325/22 327/1 327/4
330/4 330/5 331/14
332/20 332/22 334/2
337/2 337/7 340/4
 340/15 342/17 343/1
 344/1 344/2 344/23
 344/24 346/14 346/15
 346/15 348/5 349/12
 351/17 353/15 355/20
 356/3 357/12 357/14
 357/15 358/13 358/22
 359/20 362/6 363/6
 363/17 366/2 366/23
 368/4 368/21 370/6
 373/5 373/14 374/2
 377/13 382/15 382/16
 383/16 386/13 386/14
 387/20 393/11 394/20
 395/15 395/23 403/11
406/2 418/20 426/5
438/23 439/3 444/1
 445/8 446/19 453/12
 454/15 459/1 496/7
496/11 496/12 503/21
 506/6 507/24 509/11
511/24 516/17 517/19
517/19 521/21 521/24
522/14 523/16 525/22
526/19 527/22 528/15
531/12 536/20 538/16
567/24 601/18
Exhibit 1059 [1] 368/4
exhibits [2] 438/23
489/20
exist [2] 461/2 560/16
existence [4] 566/4
566/22 570/11 572/20
expect [10] 324/5
368/18 403/15 403/16
438/10 478/5 498/6
569/21 569/22 579/7
expectation [5] 340/24
341/5 454/11 507/7
510/15
expectations [1]
319/15
expected [5] 424/18
461/24 588/2 588/9
588/10
expecting [1] 525/19
expedited [1] 362/15
expense [1] 334/11
expensive [2] 442/11
455/21
experience [13] 342/12
396/5 409/23 435/23
441/14 483/21 502/5
502/8 505/9 505/11
534/4 535/4 536/4
experienced [1]
319/10
experiencing [1]
319/18
expert [4] 382/11
400/10 532/18 607/18
```

E 464/11 468/7 469/10 469/11 541/4 expertise [1] 437/1 factually [1] 533/3 expiration [3] 350/3 fair [63] 378/14 379/4 350/8 350/21 379/14 381/13 384/8 expire [2] 414/1 416/4 386/7 391/3 402/21 expired [10] 349/18 407/10 423/3 432/4 352/4 355/18 365/6 446/14 466/7 466/17 417/8 475/15 475/17 469/23 471/20 472/15 476/1 476/5 476/16 473/9 476/9 476/23 expiring [1] 346/12 477/4 477/12 477/16 explain [2] 415/21 490/19 498/14 499/20 526/12 540/1 542/17 546/14 explained [4] 372/5 547/10 547/11 553/14 389/14 482/11 607/2 553/15 557/4 561/12 explaining [1] 397/4 565/2 567/5 567/14 explanation [3] 509/18 569/20 573/8 573/17 513/17 538/24 578/24 581/15 583/19 explanatory [1] 482/19 586/4 586/13 590/15 explicit [5] 328/4 363/5 595/16 599/7 600/15 408/2 578/14 578/18 603/22 604/2 604/3 explore [2] 437/6 593/6 604/14 604/24 607/23 **exploring [1]** 435/5 610/15 612/19 612/23 express [5] 524/12 612/24 613/1 613/13 579/10 580/11 585/5 615/3 fairly [1] 550/23 expressed [2] 321/4 fairness [3] 613/22 444/19 613/24 614/11 expressing [4] 564/17 faith [2] 365/1 533/22 565/1 565/10 573/23 fall [3] 329/1 466/5 expression [1] 581/13 503/10 expressly [3] 479/12 falling [1] 397/3 587/1 587/16 familiar [5] 337/20 extend [2] 385/9 537/8 348/14 467/4 468/3 extended [2] 436/18 600/23 476/1 famous [1] 601/8 extension [7] 350/12 far [3] 319/9 350/9 352/17 414/7 415/5 354/20 415/19 416/5 416/8 Fargo [16] 348/15 extensive [1] 335/4 371/4 432/10 432/14 extensively [1] 335/9 432/14 432/18 433/1 extent [10] 328/24 480/1 489/19 490/24 419/24 504/9 515/12 517/3 517/14 597/21 532/7 541/11 541/17 599/20 600/1 613/21 548/20 555/14 583/24 fashion [1] 505/24 extra [1] 339/15 fast [3] 371/20 485/23 extract [1] 353/3 486/12 fault [1] 435/11 favor [1] 464/17 face [3] 342/24 388/9 feasible [3] 417/11 394/6 449/10 457/24 facilitate [1] 501/20 February [2] 413/20 fact [42] 319/22 325/1 441/9 340/1 343/12 345/2 February/March [1] 401/18 419/1 440/14 441/9 441/23 467/9 468/2 federal [2] 504/17 468/10 468/12 469/6 504/20 475/19 476/8 492/11 feedback [5] 319/15 508/13 508/14 508/24 487/9 487/12 487/14 516/9 516/11 520/14 487/16 526/21 528/9 529/22 feel [5] 356/8 370/2 529/22 530/3 535/18 458/8 532/3 536/5 536/9 543/7 562/23 feeling [2] 361/4 578/9 583/16 588/20 588/19 591/2 591/7 596/24

fees [1] 524/7

fellow [1] 434/10

felt [5] 353/1 370/20

412/19 448/2 571/8

few [20] 425/18 460/20

597/1 598/5 605/5

factors [1] 335/12

facts [7] 398/1 464/4

609/24

476/18 489/19 493/14 493/16 494/10 502/13 515/7 540/16 544/16 554/23 557/16 565/18 570/12 571/4 589/8 592/10 592/12 592/17 fide [3] 337/13 339/11 522/19 fiduciary [19] 331/21 332/11 337/24 354/5 372/6 375/18 375/21 395/8 397/3 523/7 523/7 523/11 600/21 600/24 601/16 603/4 603/13 603/24 604/9 field [3] 375/11 441/20 535/11 fields [1] 436/20 fifth [1] 487/24 figure [2] 338/15 384/16 filed [5] 320/16 385/23 386/5 606/23 609/12 final [13] 345/20 348/1 379/2 379/5 415/21 444/7 444/10 446/12 495/14 495/19 496/1 506/6 531/17 finalized [1] 470/8 finally [2] 556/10 589/9 finance [3] 439/21 455/21 461/11 financed [1] 450/7 financial [16] 319/18 336/1 345/24 361/6 432/14 434/19 437/1 437/20 480/1 481/1 482/6 516/24 527/13 597/22 609/1 615/1 financing [3] 440/1 440/17 474/22 find [7] 380/22 383/15 448/15 551/14 609/18 612/8 614/21 finding [2] 539/13 592/17 findings [3] 439/14 439/19 592/21 fine [14] 328/13 338/19 338/20 369/9 370/18 371/13 408/7 408/10 408/16 423/6 516/11 534/2 538/11 596/9 fingers [1] 338/22 finished [2] 318/12 589/7 firm [14] 385/10 389/22 412/19 412/24 413/1 413/3 413/8 413/10 434/17 441/22 446/10 501/5 516/13 607/8 firmly [1] 457/5 firmness [1] 412/18 firms [1] 581/18 fit [3] 435/6 436/14 464/22 flip [2] 391/7 417/23 flipping [3] 393/18 394/13 555/24

Florence [1] 331/16 flow [1] 441/16 fluidly [1] 589/6 fly [1] 435/10 focus [22] 325/10 335/17 366/9 367/2 367/23 414/17 418/18 418/21 427/24 483/5 545/21 550/12 555/5 555/8 570/6 582/1 582/22 602/13 603/9 605/16 610/15 613/4 focused [1] 550/18 focusing [3] 384/3 424/20 498/8 folks [1] 602/18 follow [5] 511/12 520/13 571/8 607/16 608/19 follow-up [1] 511/12 followed [1] 345/10 following [7] 345/15 353/20 387/1 426/21 435/17 529/9 603/13 follows [11] 318/7 381/7 434/2 473/24 478/1 498/4 499/19 500/18 548/10 561/5 563/21 football [2] 441/20 614/16 footprint [3] 436/15 436/17 436/18 forbade [1] 411/10 forbids [1] 383/21 force [2] 506/19 509/4 Forecasts [1] 374/8 foreign [6] 424/13 532/9 532/14 532/15 532/19 533/2 forgive [1] 371/20 form [10] 333/1 391/17 442/11 455/21 538/22 548/24 550/10 561/8 564/1 607/1 formal [16] 328/12 328/24 384/7 384/12 384/22 389/22 396/9 396/14 396/18 397/5 408/15 409/3 410/2 448/24 526/22 593/13 formally [1] 599/21 former [1] 377/18 formulate [1] 429/9 Fornell [7] 348/13 480/7 480/23 481/2 598/5 614/7 614/21 forth [7] 370/17 438/20 446/1 495/17 550/22 553/22 566/18 forths [1] 438/11 forward [32] 328/3 339/8 340/22 361/7 371/13 401/24 406/17 407/8 408/1 411/19 411/22 412/10 413/7 442/3 446/11 450/22 460/5 462/18 462/22 498/19 498/20 523/17

524/11 524/13 537/11 556/2 568/22 568/22 578/12 584/11 606/2 611/3 forwarded [5] 368/14 404/1 408/11 526/5 538/4 forwarding [2] 367/8 524/18 forwards [1] 509/13 found [6] 338/4 370/21 401/9 402/24 412/3 592/12 four [4] 346/16 398/24 501/3 539/3 four-year [1] 501/3 fourth [1] 497/8 FP [1] 614/19 frame [3] 415/16 441/2 441/9 Francois [21] 370/20 371/9 372/1 372/4 372/9 372/19 399/14 437/19 438/3 485/16 485/20 509/12 511/8 527/7 567/20 573/7 575/5 575/12 575/22 606/1 614/20 freaking [1] 480/14 free [1] 356/8 frequent [2] 396/13 527/6 Friday [2] 318/19 418/10 friend [5] 464/6 532/14 532/22 550/6 553/18 friend's [1] 532/13 friendliest [1] 562/19 friendly [10] 547/13 548/15 548/20 551/5 551/12 551/15 553/1 553/1 553/6 562/14 friends [6] 463/12 472/11 566/17 570/16 587/13 606/19 front [10] 377/12 396/1 410/18 432/21 438/24 460/18 467/17 470/18 473/5 581/11 Frumkin [22] 323/22 324/18 324/22 328/8 328/11 328/18 329/3 330/6 342/1 344/12 363/10 363/11 404/2 404/4 404/21 405/9 405/19 408/12 408/14 408/24 607/2 607/9 Frumkin's [3] 321/14 352/14 409/14 fulfilling [1] 601/16 full [13] 389/13 391/16 391/18 403/14 410/19 428/4 482/20 488/16 509/4 545/8 556/1 607/1 612/2 fully [2] 332/14 364/9 fulsome [1] 595/20 fun [1] 547/20 funny [1] 570/10

583/3 583/13 583/18 583/19 583/24 584/4 further [13] 334/11 585/11 585/14 586/12 429/1 430/3 433/10 590/4 590/19 591/2 433/11 448/19 452/15 591/8 597/7 597/14 463/5 468/18 475/14 given [18] 329/19 500/4 511/5 610/15 331/12 332/18 336/24 future [2] 430/11 580/9 343/12 347/17 379/21 fuzzy [1] 346/10 395/11 421/2 423/24 FW [1] 568/22 427/2 429/23 528/14 585/3 600/1 604/15 604/17 612/17 gained [1] 528/12 gives [3] 352/18 353/7 games [3] 371/10 357/8 371/12 388/24 giving [8] 330/1 357/1 gas [7] 352/22 436/16 525/16 546/23 557/3 436/18 436/20 464/14 564/22 583/16 606/5 484/22 486/10 glad [2] 561/18 561/19 gather [1] 540/5 glasses [1] 467/14 gauged [1] 435/5 Glen [2] 374/12 414/22 gauging [1] 329/18 goal [2] 354/16 372/8 gave [10] 385/7 395/4 goes [10] 333/4 337/19 425/24 491/22 534/21 340/15 357/7 522/24 544/1 547/23 561/13 541/7 542/17 546/5 564/11 601/14 556/2 607/4 gears [2] 398/10 Goldman [25] 335/24 469/15 348/22 363/14 366/4 Geddes [1] 317/10 366/17 367/13 369/8 general [16] 333/18 371/5 373/2 373/7 356/14 375/2 378/12 373/22 420/6 420/17 380/19 396/5 421/8 420/18 428/15 429/3 503/15 514/16 526/14 429/6 432/9 432/13 536/9 544/8 568/19 432/16 432/18 433/1 574/20 575/10 595/7 433/3 516/24 517/14 generally [13] 380/23 gone [2] 373/24 481/24 382/7 396/15 409/14 good [28] 318/10 446/7 506/12 536/4 318/11 324/2 327/5 552/2 568/8 600/23 355/13 355/15 365/1 602/22 606/17 607/17 374/9 377/4 377/8 generated [1] 566/6 389/3 434/5 435/6 gentleman [1] 594/9 436/14 436/17 463/7 gentleman's [7] 605/8 463/16 463/18 463/23 606/9 606/12 608/9 464/22 488/18 489/8 608/21 609/4 610/21 500/14 500/23 502/10 George [2] 351/23 524/24 530/5 533/22 409/21 good-bye [1] 500/14 Germaninvestments good-faith [1] 533/22 [2] 532/12 532/17 got [34] 327/16 344/18 gets [1] 397/4 348/21 361/10 394/19 getting [15] 326/3 409/15 417/1 423/16 332/13 344/17 370/17 424/19 431/18 457/11 411/18 440/18 488/22 458/1 488/12 519/8 517/15 535/7 572/5 537/23 545/16 549/14 582/6 588/20 588/22 557/6 567/7 567/18 589/4 601/10 570/21 571/13 572/14 Gibson [1] 599/1 579/6 586/19 589/21 girlfriends [1] 537/22 593/20 593/22 601/7 Girling [49] 320/24 602/3 603/2 610/9 334/9 402/7 402/10 610/20 610/22 402/15 403/1 403/8 gotten [2] 418/14 403/19 407/17 407/18 494/16 412/23 435/9 435/15 govern [1] 504/21 437/15 441/22 443/9 **governance** [3] 435/3 445/9 447/12 448/2 435/17 501/21 448/9 453/14 457/5 graduated [2] 501/2 457/8 466/18 467/20 501/3 468/14 490/11 509/13 grant [2] 412/20 525/11 556/4 559/12 412/24 559/13 576/2 581/8

granted [1] 593/11

granting [1] 334/21 great [6] 362/5 390/14 412/10 436/4 464/10 594/10 Great-West [1] 436/4 greenshoe [1] 457/23 **GREGORY [1]** 317/4 Grossmann [2] 317/4 317/8 grounds [1] 448/15 group [5] 316/3 372/1 414/21 439/12 556/11 growth [1] 436/24 **GS [1]** 362/12 guaranteed [1] 457/22 guess [9] 413/23 444/4 514/24 551/9 570/13 597/13 600/17 612/23 612/23 guest [1] 467/15 guidance [1] 482/16 guy [3] 569/15 569/18 594/10 guys [4] 381/12 430/18 431/15 605/18 hadn't [6] 327/23 352/17 524/6 528/24 537/9 609/20 halfway [1] 592/1 halt [1] 360/2 halted [6] 359/7 359/14 359/15 359/18 421/20 530/3 halting [1] 529/24 hand [6] 396/24 397/2 463/12 540/6 545/13 546/20 handbook [1] 389/4 handed [2] 332/3 332/6 handing [1] 464/1 handle [2] 463/9 465/24 hands [7] 606/7 606/13 608/6 608/8 609/5 610/1 610/8 handshake [1] 522/4 handwriting [1] 545/8 handy [1] 597/2 happen [8] 325/3 326/3 422/20 507/9 507/10 520/3 576/11 580/10 happened [12] 322/12 368/11 400/21 413/20 433/6 481/20 514/12 522/11 533/4 537/18 583/14 584/8 happening [11] 346/2 349/21 350/5 360/19 409/10 415/17 421/24 424/13 524/1 579/19 589/6 happens [1] 483/20 happy [10] 404/11 423/4 473/15 486/24 487/18 551/1 569/9 575/3 594/3 611/13 hard [4] 414/20 461/9

467/13 547/1 haven't [5] 348/9 377/9 388/3 463/17 516/23 having [26] 318/6 321/18 347/8 347/12 353/24 354/21 361/15 383/19 389/24 393/22 396/12 402/6 402/19 423/22 434/1 453/19 453/22 454/9 500/16 507/3 513/5 516/14 518/8 536/13 539/16 542/9 he's [11] 319/22 325/4 377/18 426/11 426/11 520/2 556/24 557/3 559/23 560/18 578/10 head [2] 437/20 556/22 headed [1] 537/24 header [2] 439/7 568/16 heading [3] 470/22 555/6 565/24 heads [1] 347/7 heads-up [1] 347/7 hear [4] 368/18 479/1 480/13 485/16 heard [8] 379/12 398/23 480/7 528/10 543/2 543/6 606/1 609/8 hearsay [5] 443/10 443/14 443/15 533/18 608/14 heavily [1] 368/23 held [5] 386/19 461/8 516/13 532/13 613/24 help [12] 342/12 358/5 362/4 372/8 395/20 425/12 454/7 454/7 574/22 587/21 604/5 613/12 Helped [1] 503/6 helpful [8] 370/10 406/20 412/1 425/22 427/16 432/1 433/8 441/11 helping [2] 374/20 596/19 her [17] 324/14 324/24 325/1 341/9 341/13 347/2 347/5 347/6 367/9 368/15 369/5 369/7 369/10 369/20 374/7 533/3 533/21 Heyden [3] 344/13 358/23 374/5 Hi [3] 346/23 347/7 559/22 high [6] 444/14 458/12 509/18 546/5 546/11 546/13 high-level [1] 509/18 higher [5] 440/7 442/22 457/11 457/22 497/13 highlighted [2] 338/9 339/3 him [28] 321/18 372/7

372/18 428/14 443/20 486/3 509/17 511/12 511/12 511/15 511/17 516/23 554/14 576/2 577/10 578/7 578/9 578/10 578/17 580/5 580/12 580/20 580/21 580/22 581/1 584/1 584/1 586/1 hindsight [1] 375/17 his [34] 325/4 342/19 352/14 372/15 377/19 381/6 381/24 405/1 405/7 442/1 443/19 480/20 482/24 483/5 483/6 492/21 496/9 518/10 519/11 524/12 528/12 553/22 555/9 557/1 559/8 559/16 560/9 560/18 579/18 580/15 580/16 580/20 582/20 591/20 history [3] 434/12 434/14 501/7 hmm [1] 488/9 hoc [2] 396/10 396/21 hold [4] 338/14 434/8 534/7 614/11 holidays [2] 514/10 556/7 home [1] 593/20 **HON [1]** 316/13 honest [1] 380/23 honestly [2] 421/12 596/12 Honor's [1] 407/7 honors [1] 434/8 hook [1] 486/3 hope [1] 613/15 hopefully [2] 365/1 550/7 hoping [1] 482/12 hostile [1] 506/3 hours [3] 419/19 419/21 420/3 house [1] 542/9 housekeeping [1] 433/24 however [6] 325/12 410/21 410/24 457/1 518/13 579/5 huge [1] 493/10 huh [1] 567/11 I'd [11] 319/9 386/11 387/18 439/5 479/23 542/11 557/16 565/20 565/21 582/21 611/16 I'II [22] 443/11 466/14 474/3 479/17 480/9

533/24 545/4 546/3 546/21 548/5 548/14 550/6 561/14 573/18 578/20 581/10 595/9 600/20 601/19 602/12 611/24 614/23

l'm [123] 319/19 320/7 320/16 320/19 323/13

	454/23 476/20 477/18
	478/3 478/5 478/7
'm [118] 330/16	478/13 482/13
330/18 332/24 334/3	impetus [1] 406/17
334/20 346/10 356/21	implicate [1] 557/8
358/21 371/19 374/3	importance [1] 335/5
374/9 374/17 374/24	
380/17 382/18 383/18	important [9] 335/8
386/16 388/3 388/10	368/10 372/6 379/15
388/10 388/15 388/24	413/23 440/10 524/6
391/2 391/13 392/24	571/16 571/24
393/15 395/17 395/20	imposed [1] 600/24
397/4 397/18 403/13	imprecise [3] 570/19
	570/21 570/24
404/11 407/5 415/14	impression [1] 534/22
416/1 418/23 423/4	improper [1] 322/2
425/8 425/10 425/10	improved [1] 447/24
425/12 428/3 432/1	in-house [1] 542/9
432/2 432/20 433/4	
435/11 436/3 438/7	in-person [1] 524/4
438/8 438/22 439/2	inaccuracies [1] 390/9
445/7 453/11 458/13	inaccurate [2] 379/6
464/7 466/4 467/4	386/9
467/5 468/3 472/10	inbound [25] 352/19
472/12 473/15 482/4	353/8 353/9 361/24
484/13 485/3 486/2	362/13 373/1 373/10
	426/1 426/1 426/12
487/24 492/3 493/4	427/19 429/7 430/17
495/21 496/21 502/3	430/17 432/5 432/18
503/20 506/5 511/23	433/2 433/3 535/8
513/14 514/13 516/16	536/22 536/24 537/4
523/21 526/18 536/19	537/14 538/3 538/14
546/16 547/2 550/18	
551/1 551/7 551/8	inbounds [1] 367/9
551/9 551/16 556/14	INC [1] 316/3
556/16 557/23 561/18	inclined [1] 415/7
561/19 563/17 569/9	include [4] 436/2
570/8 572/10 572/13	448/21 472/18 558/8
572/20 578/17 579/1	included [3] 452/19
	469/14 611/3
579/1 579/23 581/21	includes [2] 398/1
583/20 589/17 589/23	503/21
590/11 593/24 594/3	including [17] 327/13
594/4 596/7 600/7	336/4 339/24 374/9
608/3 611/13 614/3	380/3 381/11 382/23
've [25] 319/7 319/10	
341/14 343/7 412/6	392/10 406/6 434/23
434/21 459/10 463/21	455/6 456/14 457/8
466/10 469/2 482/10	481/2 524/10 562/15
483/17 494/11 497/21	577/14
499/7 501/16 508/17	inclusion [1] 612/17
526/3 526/4 572/14	incomplete [1] 535/1
590/13 593/20 596/21	incorrect [3] 473/12
602/7 602/9	474/7 560/18
	increase [16] 354/16
dea [9] 409/2 451/3	461/21 462/6 462/20
483/19 524/24 551/14	462/20 482/7 497/9
606/12 606/15 606/16	497/19 498/13 498/17
610/20	499/6 499/24 565/15
dentical [1] 587/12	
dentify [8] 320/4	566/2 566/21 572/19
320/12 443/9 554/2	increases [2] 445/2
554/4 567/4 586/19	445/4
601/20	increasing [3] 451/7
dentity [1] 422/5	456/17 458/4
I [1] 316/16	indeed [3] 347/12
ii [1] 497/22	398/6 601/7
	independence [1]
III-drafted [1] 497/22	602/19
Illinois [1] 317/15	independent [2]
mmediately [1] 555/21	380/15 380/17
mminent [1] 535/21	indicate [5] 357/18
mminently [1] 360/3	401/5 407/24 516/6
mpact [9] 454/6	614/5
where MAC	014/0

```
indicated [20] 320/24
                        408/20
322/24 328/2 334/9
                        initiated [2] 588/21
360/21 367/21 400/6
                        589/18
401/23 402/11 409/19
                        injunction [1] 593/12
422/19 442/6 449/16
                        input [1] 340/22
450/24 455/1 469/2
                        inquiries [2] 361/24
489/5 495/4 578/12
                        430/12
599/3
                        inquiry [5] 429/7
indicates [2] 356/19
                        430/11 536/22 536/24
559/8
                        537/4
                        inserted [1] 421/13
indicating [6] 422/15
425/18 453/23 453/24
                        Insofar [1] 484/15
492/24 493/17
                        instance [5] 535/2
indication [15] 321/9
                        548/17 560/15 593/11
323/5 328/15 395/3
                        609/1
408/18 409/16 410/6
                        instead [6] 369/20
446/16 452/14 455/12
                        471/7 522/2 580/22
468/17 490/18 565/3
                        605/8 606/8
565/10 574/4
                        Institute [1] 434/11
indications [3] 322/21
                        insufficiently [1] 413/1
363/19 456/2
                        intelligent [2] 569/15
indicative [12] 322/1
                        569/18
322/8 322/13 323/6
                        intend [1] 431/21
326/16 335/24 413/11
                        intended [7] 419/19
449/4 452/10 581/13
                        535/16 562/9 562/10
583/3 585/15
                         581/1 581/2 590/15
indirect [3] 559/18
                        intending [3] 369/1
559/20 610/12
                        491/13 585/13
individual [1] 437/16
                        intensity [1] 440/9
individuals [1] 546/20
                        intensive [1] 440/4
                        intent [3] 553/7 581/14
industrial [1] 436/14
industry [6] 336/2
                        583/3
369/21 440/4 440/4
                        interact [1] 534/5
461/10 461/13
                        interest [23] 321/9
                        322/21 336/3 336/16
influence [3] 506/16
510/11 560/2
                        353/2 363/19 374/3
info [1] 480/17
                        409/16 413/7 430/17
inform [4] 402/3 454/7
                        435/5 452/14 455/13
466/1 548/12
                        468/17 481/17 508/9
informal [3] 321/24
                         514/15 541/9 555/13
384/14 384/22
                         565/4 565/10 574/4
                         598/14
information [19]
332/10 332/12 332/17
                        interested [22] 321/1
347/16 361/2 368/16
                        322/24 336/4 401/21
                        401/24 402/4 402/11
396/7 459/22 460/3
460/7 499/9 504/9
                        402/16 430/12 512/21
508/11 508/15 515/17
                        513/6 515/12 516/3
528/21 532/8 536/13
                         538/20 539/4 539/13
614/18
                         562/3 588/17 596/3
informative [3] 454/2
                        596/15 598/20 599/13
481/12 484/15
                        interesting [2] 539/15
informed [23] 326/17
                        539/18
332/15 335/7 343/11
                        interests [2] 596/16
349/17 380/9 401/13
                        604/11
402/19 405/6 424/8
                        interloper [12] 460/13
428/14 437/5 466/19
                        460/20 461/2 461/6
467/20 468/15 468/16
                        461/18 462/22 463/2
471/22 477/5 507/13
                        476/17 497/3 497/17
507/22 507/23 562/7
                        498/16 498/19
599/12
                        interlopers [3] 461/8
informs [1] 428/21
                        461/15 462/19
Ingrassia [2] 348/10
                        internal [6] 321/7
                        360/3 386/15 420/16
initial [7] 445/24 503/5
                        544/21 615/2
503/23 518/13 522/15
                        internally [2] 430/20
523/22 526/6
                         542/24
initially [4] 471/12
                        interpret [3] 413/4
471/24 605/3 611/8
                        481/5 497/23
initiate [2] 328/17
                        interpretation [13]
```

```
385/17 519/8 519/17
524/16 576/9 577/17
 580/16 580/17 591/4
591/6 591/18 595/8
600/18
interpreted [4] 369/2
384/11 580/4 609/8
interpreting [2] 408/9
423/12
interprets [1] 411/23
interrupt [1] 615/10
introduce [1] 353/4
introductory [1]
552/16
invested [2] 481/15
521/6
investor [2] 535/7
536/11
investors [3] 480/13
535/10 535/11
invitation [17] 333/15
387/3 389/18 509/20
519/11 519/19 520/8
524/12 560/14 562/21
563/2 576/22 578/18
 579/10 580/11 587/18
590/6
invite [2] 585/5 586/8
invited [3] 573/15
573/20 584/3
involve [1] 358/14
involved [13] 324/6
356/24 363/11 363/14
372/13 373/2 373/3
373/3 434/22 482/4
494/17 521/16 603/23
involvement [1] 381/2
iPad [1] 613/11
is [419]
ish [1] 399/18
Isherwood [1] 445/9
isn't [42] 378/22 379/9
383/20 385/16 387/24
390/19 392/23 395/9
 399/2 399/13 400/14
401/3 402/1 406/22
409/1 410/1 413/16
413/24 416/19 423/7
426/5 427/1 427/18
498/14 505/3 542/10
546/19 553/14 561/21
565/1 577/10 578/1
578/21 581/14 591/11
591/21 595/1 597/24
600/22 605/2 608/11
612/20
issuance [6] 319/19
442/14 450/10 451/8
453/1 456/12
issue [33] 333/17
335/19 358/4 360/6
 360/14 360/22 361/8
 361/11 376/4 422/14
 425/17 439/22 442/9
443/3 449/8 450/13
455/20 457/15 462/4
471/7 492/24 493/16
528/16 531/23 532/4
533/5 533/12 534/22
```

			Ť
	JEROEN [1] 317/5	528/11 529/6	killed [1] 486/2
issue [5] 535/15	job [2] 375/6 540/18	JR [1] 317/12	killing [2] 485/22
535/22 536/6 595/15	Joe [28] 351/23 363/10	JTL [1] 316/4	486/11
613/21	381/4 393/17 409/22 464/6 467/7 475/21	JTX [27] 377/13 391/1 391/11 410/18 414/14	kind [5] 342/24 45 505/23 538/4 608/
issued [2] 359/17	477/24 490/8 496/15	420/15 427/24 470/13	kinds [3] 449/13 5
457/20	498/3 546/21 551/19	472/24 474/18 476/16	577/4
issues [5] 336/7	554/6 555/3 555/18	479/24 493/7 494/19	King [2] 316/10 31
370/21 535/5 569/3	559/9 568/6 570/5	496/19 550/4 550/10	Kipp [1] 480/21
569/8	582/11 582/22 597/10	554/2 565/19 575/1	knew [15] 379/6 3
issuing [3] 360/3 445/3	601/19 603/14 606/19	582/2 584/20 587/24	386/8 390/16 392/8
457/16	611/15 611/24	605/15 611/23 612/7	392/14 402/20 416
item [5] 460/12 499/8 509/24 510/10 541/10	Joe/George [1] 351/23	613/15	518/5 539/24 543/2
items [11] 357/9	Johannson [12] 485/6	JTX 05 [1] 470/13	580/15 608/16 609
459/15 459/19 459/21	485/14 485/19 486/9	JTX 1092 [1] 494/19	612/20
459/22 460/1 460/2	487/22 488/2 488/10	JTX 1244 [1] 496/19	knowing [1] 507/1
460/4 460/7 509/21	488/20 489/3 489/7	JTX 1291 [1] 427/24	knowledge [6] 393
552/4	489/14 491/7	JTX 1732 [1] 414/14	454/10 469/3 484/
itself [14] 383/5 383/15	Johansson [1] 484/21	JTX 1774 [1] 493/7	527/14 608/23
391/7 395/10 410/15	Johnston [43] 323/18	JTX 290 [1] 420/15	known [1] 523/6
516/4 550/2 550/8	323/22 324/1 324/21 326/1 327/4 327/9	JTX 305 [1] 550/10 JTX 413 [1] 554/2	knows [1] 472/11
551/21 558/8 570/4	337/3 340/9 340/13	JTX 506 [1] 575/1	KPMG [2] 434/16 434/16
570/9 572/5 607/15	340/17 344/4 344/9	JTX 517 [1] 565/19	Kristine [2] 568/19
J	346/18 346/21 359/22	JTX 587 [1] 391/1	568/19
	367/3 367/7 368/8	JTX 621 [1] 410/18	KWAWEGEN [1] 3
Jackson [2] 435/7	368/22 369/15 403/6	JTX 622 [1] 582/2	
478/20 JAMES [4] 317/6	403/17 405/22 407/17	JTX 623 [1] 587/24	<u></u>
317/12 377/9 377/18	411/15 411/20 424/3	JTX 647 [1] 605/15	Labaton [1] 317/3
January [58] 318/13	431/13 431/18 469/16	JTX 654 [1] 611/23	lack [2] 364/3 365/
318/14 318/17 319/2	469/19 469/24 470/16	JTX 655 [1] 612/7	landed [1] 488/4
319/13 320/23 321/9	473/4 474/17 500/13	JTX 813 [1] 584/20	language [40] 343
323/16 324/2 341/7	500/16 500/23 527/2	JTX 913 [1] 613/15	363/3 363/7 363/1
382/22 390/1 390/24	540/12 555/5 584/22	JTX numbers [1]	363/17 368/23 370
391/5 391/8 392/8	Johnston's [9] 325/10	391/11	374/10 383/15 383
393/5 394/16 396/17	341/12 407/22 408/11	JTX tabs [1] 377/13	383/20 384/21 384 385/18 387/11 404
399/15 399/18 401/18	410/20 412/4 473/10 473/17 474/19	judge's [1] 484/4 July [3] 316/11 600/1	427/5 431/2 535/2
402/8 403/7 412/11	join [5] 362/23 434/17	600/2	550/2 551/1 551/3
412/19 412/23 438/4	501/11 603/19 604/4	junctures [1] 597/5	553/3 556/14 558/8
512/1 512/7 513/19	joined [1] 493/12	June [1] 434/18	562/7 566/14 578/
514/10 514/20 516/18	joining [2] 435/5	Justice [2] 316/9	579/18 580/13 580
517/13 517/21 520/10 520/15 520/23 521/3	464/12	316/20	581/1 593/5 593/18
521/22 556/8 575/2	joins [1] 603/21	JX [4] 358/4 545/6	594/17 595/3 595/5
576/1 576/6 576/14	Joint [47] 320/2 325/22		595/6 612/4 612/8
576/21 581/7 584/4	330/4 343/1 344/22	JX 314 [1] 545/6	lapse [4] 354/18
587/21 588/7 588/13	346/15 348/5 353/15	JX 827 [1] 589/21	354/19 354/24 355
595/24 599/11 600/3	358/13 370/6 373/5	K	lapsed [1] 417/17
600/6 600/8 606/2	377/13 386/12 386/14	Karl [1] 484/21	large [2] 369/12 50
January 11 [1] 318/17	387/19 393/11 394/20 395/15 395/22 403/11	keen [1] 606/2	largely [1] 437/3 last [23] 320/20 34
January 19th [1] 600/8	406/2 418/20 426/5	keep [10] 338/22	368/22 368/22 372
January 25 [5] 318/14	438/23 439/3 444/1	339/22 528/18 534/19	391/22 391/22 410
320/23 321/9 323/16	445/8 446/19 453/12	568/6 572/2 606/13	429/2 429/21 439/
324/2	454/15 496/7 503/21	608/8 608/22 610/7	444/8 448/18 454/2
January 25th [2] 517/21 521/3	506/6 507/24 509/11	keeping [1] 427/4	456/16 499/2 509/1
January 28th [1]	511/24 516/17 517/19	keeps [1] 338/12	510/19 517/6 525/6
521/22	521/21 522/14 523/16	Kentaro [1] 525/7	556/10 556/16 611
January 5th [3] 512/1	525/22 526/19 527/22	kept [5] 437/5 437/11	lasted [1] 331/6
512/7 513/19	531/12 536/20 538/16	438/16 529/2 610/22	LASTER [1] 316/1
January 7 [1] 318/13	joke [1] 344/18	Kettering [3] 414/22	lastly [1] 453/2
January 9th [2] 516/18	Jones [5] 359/2 501/5	493/13 493/23	later [17] 323/22 3
517/13	501/8 501/10 528/3	KEVIN [1] 317/12 key [3] 438/20 439/14	360/20 374/18 386 405/23 418/9 428/5
Jay [1] 540/8	Joseph [3] 342/1 516/18 516/22	439/19	428/20 445/11 513
Jeffrey [1] 582/4	Journal [12] 350/1	kicking [1] 588/16	520/11 565/8 567/2
JENKINS [1] 317/9	350/4 421/16 428/12	kickoff [2] 544/20	571/4 576/6 598/18
jeopardized [2] 456/3	453/9 479/21 481/8	545/10	latest [1] 455/2
456/10	481/20 484/8 527/19	kill [1] 485/15	latitude [1] 442/8
		5. 85	1000 1000

law [19] 331/22 407/6 g [2] 485/22 407/9 469/16 501/2 501/4 505/4 532/9 **[5]** 342/24 458/1 532/14 532/15 532/19 23 538/4 608/13 533/2 569/16 600/24 **[3]** 449/13 507/8 607/13 607/16 607/18 607/22 608/19 [2] 316/10 316/21 laws [7] 419/14 423/12 504/13 504/17 504/18 [15] 379/6 382/5 504/21 504/21 3 390/16 392/8 lawyer [15] 483/8 4 402/20 416/7 501/12 527/6 535/5 5 539/24 543/22 542/8 542/9 547/2 5 608/16 609/9 557/1 560/20 590/10 590/13 590/14 603/24 ing [1] 507/10 605/19 605/22 ledge [6] 393/1 lawyers [17] 340/7 0 469/3 484/14 373/7 502/20 566/7 567/15 584/24 586/15 **n [1]** 523/6 586/21 595/17 595/21 605/6 605/7 607/12 s [1] 472/11 608/11 609/12 610/22 **G [2]** 434/16 611/9 Lazard [4] 581/17 ine [2] 568/19 581/21 581/24 583/4 **WEGEN [1]** 317/5 lead [12] 380/15 380/17 420/9 421/2 436/6 437/15 480/8 ton [1] 317/3 481/2 542/24 556/23 **[2]** 364/3 365/17 570/13 608/20 d [1] 488/4 leadership [1] 437/14 iage [40] 343/9 leading [5] 318/13 3 363/7 363/11 319/3 323/16 326/16 7 368/23 370/19 556/7 0 383/15 383/16 leak [49] 350/1 350/5 20 384/21 384/23 354/20 355/1 355/4 8 387/11 404/12 359/13 361/3 361/9 5 431/2 535/2 361/23 365/17 421/11 2 551/1 551/3 421/11 421/22 423/21 3 556/14 558/8 428/12 453/15 453/19 7 566/14 578/16 453/22 454/1 455/14 8 580/13 580/24 455/15 476/19 477/1 593/5 593/18 477/6 477/13 477/17 7 595/3 595/5 478/4 478/8 478/10 6 612/4 612/8 480/10 481/4 481/8 **[4]** 354/18 481/12 483/2 484/8 9 354/24 355/2 488/6 490/12 490/21 d [1] 417/17 491/18 491/19 492/12 [2] 369/12 502/4 527/19 528/10 528/18 ly [1] 437/3 528/24 529/9 529/22 **23]** 320/20 349/15 531/8 615/6 22 368/22 372/9 leaked [4] 420/23 22 391/22 410/19 453/9 528/14 529/6 2 429/21 439/18 leaking [1] 479/22 3 448/18 454/21 leaks [2] 534/5 534/6 6 499/2 509/1 learn [2] 466/10 538/13 9 517/6 525/6 learned [4] 471/6 0 556/16 611/18 476/24 581/6 584/13 least [17] 400/3 419/19 **ER [1]** 316/13 420/2 424/7 437/13 442/4 442/12 444/5 **[17]** 323/22 340/2 462/22 542/2 546/11 20 374/18 386/18 546/19 553/13 554/3 23 418/9 428/5 580/16 599/7 605/13 20 445/11 513/17 leave [3] 443/11 498/10 1 565/8 567/22 558/10 4 576/6 598/18 leaves [1] 535/3 led [5] 321/7 321/8 442/20 447/5 456/19

F43 077/40
leeway [1] 377/19
legal [14] 345/24
360/13 405/10 405/13
437/21 494/6 524/5
527/13 542/22 564/5
571/17 577/16 594/19
594/24
legally [1] 420/1
lengthy [1] 409/7
Leonard [2] 316/9
316/20
less [6] 349/2 442/24
539/19 563/12 564/6
573/17
LESSNER [1] 317/11
let [28] 338/5 338/23
362/20 366/14 370/5
374/11 382/16 383/17
391/11 402/22 403/12
405/15 441/4 482/15
485/23 486/21 515/1
537/3 542/19 548/3
566/4 573/10 594/5
594/11 602/14 603/15
612/6 612/24
let's [111] 318/3
318/15 320/2 320/3
320/19 322/17 323/20
[- [- [- [- [- [- [- [- [- [-
323/24 325/22 326/23
327/1 330/4 331/14
334/1 340/4 343/1
344/22 344/23 345/1
348/5 349/12 351/17
353/15 355/20 357/14
358/13 359/4 359/20
362/6 362/10 364/11
366/2 366/9 366/23
367/2 370/6 371/22
371/23 372/2 373/5
374/2 376/8 383/14
388/12 388/16 390/24
391/10 393/8 394/19
395/14 397/21 399/21
399/22 403/10 414/10
417/21 420/14 443/13
451/13 483/10 483/23
483/24 484/2 487/21
493/7 496/3 496/15
499/17 523/22 531/3
534/19 544/16 548/4
550/1 550/17 551/19
554/2 554/12 557/14
559/3 559/7 560/24
563/18 565/18 567/23
568/3 568/16 574/12
575/1 576/7 577/9
581/17 581/24 582/8
582/17 582/18 582/19
582/22 584/11 584/21
597/6 598/3 601/18
603/8 604/22 605/15
606/24 608/1 611/22
613/3 614/2
10 NO 10 NO 1 NO 20 NO 10 NO 1
letter [33] 337/15
337/17 347/8 347/13
347/20 348/20 351/6
353/11 355/23 355/24
ÎI.

356/2 356/7 356/9 356/12 356/15 357/7 359/5 415/14 415/15 508/3 508/7 508/21 509/1 509/6 522/21 522/23 553/17 553/21 554/24 556/22 561/23 597/22 598/7 letterhead [1] 331/19 letters [2] 398/24 399/3 letting [2] 347/10 535/20 level [7] 368/24 452/21 462/21 494/16 509/18 535/11 546/11 leverage [12] 442/6 492/6 565/15 566/1 566/3 566/13 566/21 568/8 572/7 572/19 573/3 574/9 life [1] 441/8 Lifeco [1] 436/4 light [1] 455/1 likely [8] 347/9 442/4 453/23 458/5 477/17 535/21 556/6 614/17 Likewise [1] 377/22 limit [1] 443/10 limited [1] 505/11 limits [1] 451/1 **LINDA [1]** 317/15 line [16] 334/5 334/19 334/20 337/12 349/2 372/24 381/6 498/9 509/1 512/24 517/4 517/8 535/19 551/18 563/20 614/2 lines [10] 335/21 430/4 471/3 473/22 522/17 548/8 561/3 566/15 566/16 614/4 lines 10 [1] 473/22 links [1] 359/6 list [6] 509/21 509/24 546/19 554/20 601/14 604/15 listed [1] 361/15 listen [1] 487/16 listened [1] 406/21 lists [1] 601/24 literally [2] 385/14 406/10 litigation [3] 316/3 469/7 604/5 litigator [1] 604/4 Litowitz [2] 317/4 317/8 little [22] 339/4 398/11 414/20 415/24 429/1 434/6 457/11 460/10 472/22 475/14 490/7 500/24 501/6 527/18 542/20 545/18 550/7 550/11 551/10 593/4 593/7 610/12 LLP [5] 317/3 317/4 317/8 317/13 317/16 loaded [1] 606/20 locked [1] 364/9

long [13] 334/3 339/2 348/9 349/13 365/22 370/22 388/4 458/6 496/11 501/14 542/9 547/18 602/9 long-winded [1] 458/6 longer [6] 448/13 455/3 455/4 476/10 496/10 496/11 longer-term [1] 448/13 looked [18] 348/9 357/6 382/11 400/11 408/23 414/13 422/2 450/11 492/20 496/4 496/17 569/2 575/22 587/24 602/10 605/12 608/2 609/11 looking [19] 320/16 330/17 339/3 360/2 385/12 389/7 397/8 403/14 407/22 413/13 428/4 429/20 430/3 441/18 512/19 521/4 537/4 593/12 614/3 looks [6] 357/5 358/8 547/1 570/16 598/2 602/5 loop [1] 535/6 Lord [1] 344/16 lost [1] 425/10 lot [11] 332/16 358/10 377/19 379/12 413/13 438/1 495/21 498/7 607/22 609/20 614/17 lovely [1] 606/21 low [2] 420/10 476/18 lower [8] 344/2 344/3 421/3 455/19 456/1 488/19 489/9 491/20 lowered [1] 492/12 lowest [1] 465/8 lowest-cost [1] 465/8 **LOWING [1]** 317/7 lunch [4] 450/2 451/12 451/13 452/9 ma'am [6] 540/24 549/4 550/9 553/12 558/7 564/11 Madam [1] 608/7 mail [2] 341/16 586/1 main [3] 510/15 528/2 538/4 maintain [1] 362/16 major [1] 360/18 majority [2] 437/13 460/6 make [72] 319/14 323/15 328/21 332/9 335/7 339/4 341/19 345/3 354/14 364/22 365/23 371/9 371/11 374/3 374/20 384/7 384/17 387/6 399/8

399/20 409/10 416/17

419/6 419/12 424/6

424/8 424/12 427/21

logic [1] 436/14

472/17 475/10 476/12 477/7 477/14 485/23 486/2 504/12 506/18 510/5 510/8 510/21 515/9 515/17 520/9 525/12 532/22 538/12 541/11 541/12 541/12 541/17 542/3 542/12 546/6 550/11 551/15 552/11 553/6 560/14 561/14 563/2 572/13 585/5 586/3 586/8 589/1 589/5 589/12 590/7 594/4 makes [5] 420/18 488/14 499/12 554/18 612/4 making [26] 328/14 332/14 341/5 387/3 389/17 408/18 411/11 419/20 422/11 479/6 498/18 519/6 519/10 519/18 520/16 524/11 547/3 548/20 563/17 567/2 573/11 573/19 574/3 578/1 578/4 593/14 manage [3] 319/8 319/10 362/13 management [77] 329/13 330/1 335/3 335/23 345/23 346/1 348/11 367/14 373/7 387/4 412/12 420/13 422/12 422/12 426/8 426/13 429/8 430/9 436/12 437/10 438/13 438/14 440/14 440/21 440/24 441/7 444/9 444/16 447/15 447/23 448/20 450/19 450/24 455/1 455/4 456/2 461/9 465/24 466/3 466/20 467/20 468/24 471/4 471/6 471/9 471/22 472/17 476/11 477/6 477/14 478/23 479/4 480/15 481/7 483/2 484/7 485/1 491/6 494/12 495/6 495/12 495/18 497/16 497/21 498/11 499/5 499/11 503/8 507/4 510/12 527/8 541/7 544/6 548/18 555/10 613/20 614/1 management's [3] 345/21 429/22 592/13 manager [1] 319/9 manner [1] 396/14 Marc [5] 502/21 605/21 605/22 605/22 605/24 Marcellus [2] 465/3 465/7 March [142] 340/13 341/17 343/5 345/7 346/16 346/17 348/17 349/14 349/19 349/24

431/10 448/20 470/6

351/20 353/16 356/4 357/17 357/18 358/9 358/15 362/7 365/4 386/19 388/2 388/11 388/17 388/21 392/23 395/12 396/3 397/13 397/17 398/6 414/4 414/6 414/18 415/4 416/3 416/4 416/19 417/10 417/14 417/18 418/4 418/10 421/15 421/18 422/8 424/2 424/2 424/21 424/22 425/3 425/6 425/7 425/16 426/8 426/22 427/2 428/5 428/7 428/20 428/20 429/17 430/16 439/4 441/2 441/9 444/2 444/18 445/9 446/20 447/9 447/15 448/18 452/9 453/8 453/14 454/16 458/19 472/12 472/16 472/23 473/3 473/11 475/9 475/17 475/23 476/1 476/6 476/11 476/24 477/8 477/10 479/5 479/18 479/21 481/3 485/6 485/14 486/1 486/9 486/15 486/19 486/23 487/4 488/1 489/4 489/20 489/21 490/1 490/10 490/20 490/24 491/4 491/4 491/7 491/9 491/9 492/16 492/19 492/22 493/12 493/24 494/7 494/18 523/17 523/24 525/24 526/20 527/24 529/5 531/13 536/15 536/21 584/12 584/13 584/19 584/23 588/8 589/21 603/11 613/3 613/4 613/17 March 10 [3] 349/14 349/24 529/5 March 10th [11] 351/20 358/15 453/8 479/21 481/3 488/1 489/4 489/20 489/21 490/24 491/4 March 11 [3] 353/16 357/17 453/14 March 11th [8] 356/4 357/18 358/9 362/7 365/4 490/10 490/20 491/9 March 14 [1] 493/12 March 14th [7] 454/16 492/16 492/19 492/22 493/24 494/7 494/18 March 16th [1] 396/3 March 17th [1] 458/19 March 2 [1] 476/1 March 2016 [1] 472/12 March 3 [1] 340/13 March 3rd [2] 523/17 523/24 March 5 [3] 341/17

М	502/23 518/22 576/23
March 5 [2] 345/7	577/2 602/20 603/4 Matthew [1] 599/1
346/17	maximize [6] 350/18
March 5th [1] 526/20 March 6 [1] 346/16	375/12 390/19 392/4
March 6th [1] 525/24	392/16 601/5 may [31] 324/5 324/9
March 8 [2] 349/19	337/16 350/14 358/1
475/23 March 8th [1] 475/17	380/14 417/22 419/2
March 9 [7] 473/11	420/9 421/2 426/19
476/11 477/8 477/10	433/21 453/15 457/23 464/5 471/9 477/1
479/18 485/14 486/15 March 9th [15] 452/9	486/2 487/7 488/18
472/16 472/23 473/3	489/8 490/12 500/19
475/9 476/6 476/24	504/8 515/19 522/22 569/21 592/5 604/21
479/5 485/6 486/1 486/9 486/19 491/4	609/8 611/17
491/7 491/9	maybe [8] 331/7 331/9
March the [2] 343/5	335/21 426/20 488/21 564/5 597/10 605/14
348/17	Mayer [38] 317/16
Marchand [3] 437/21 512/6 568/18	502/21 512/9 513/15
MARGARET [1] 317/7	521/14 521/22 524/22 525/2 525/8 526/3
MARIE [1] 317/9	526/6 538/7 538/17
marked [20] 438/22 439/2 444/1 445/7	549/12 549/16 549/21
453/11 454/14 459/1	565/6 565/13 566/7 566/17 569/1 570/6
462/11 503/20 506/5	570/17 571/22 572/15
509/10 511/23 516/16 517/18 521/20 522/14	584/15 585/1 587/13
523/15 526/18 531/11	591/5 591/19 601/13
536/19	601/22 602/17 603/7 603/18 603/24 605/19
market [15] 350/19 350/19 363/18 409/19	611/3
409/24 421/1 441/19	Mayer Brown [2] 512/
454/2 457/18 470/22	513/15 mean [27] 351/9
484/16 490/20 497/10 497/11 534/5	360/18 384/5 384/12
marketplace [5] 443/2	390/3 400/2 405/5
443/5 451/2 478/12	407/11 409/22 413/12 449/13 462/20 495/15
482/2	498/8 507/17 510/8
markets [1] 534/6 marks [3] 608/15 609/7	510/24 511/19 519/5
610/10	528/22 547/8 547/18 572/1 572/12 580/23
MARTIN [1] 317/11	594/20 610/6
MASSENGILL [4] 317/14 548/23 561/7	meaning [2] 450/12
563/24	558/10 meaningful [2] 413/5
match [5] 459/6 462/7 463/2 498/20 545/23	465/2
Matchstick [2] 545/24	means [8] 385/18
546/3	440/17 446/9 481/23 501/22 550/21 593/14
material [11] 330/7 396/14 412/7 438/19	594/22
505/22 506/14 510/2	meant [17] 453/16
528/20 529/16 542/17	455/18 509/17 510/3 510/13 510/22 511/7
558/1	511/13 513/3 528/23
materials [13] 396/24 439/3 441/13 459/1	529/2 534/12 579/14
459/9 459/12 460/19	579/16 595/16 607/3 610/14
497/1 499/22 501/23	meantime [1] 416/10
540/6 545/9 614/15 Matt [1] 370/17	mechanism [1] 396/16
matter [8] 383/23	media [7] 454/23 476/19 477/1 477/6
419/11 421/8 424/4	477/17 481/4 615/5
443/19 463/20 595/8 601/23	median [1] 448/13
matters [7] 460/5	Medow [2] 603/21

604/4

```
446/15 463/22 463/23
512/12 592/10
meeting [110] 318/13
319/1 319/3 319/12
319/17 321/8 323/17
325/13 330/24 331/3
331/4 331/12 331/16
332/4 332/6 332/18
333/8 334/16 335/14
341/1 341/21 343/11
353/20 353/23 353/24
357/8 372/11 386/20
388/2 395/11 396/2
396/8 396/10 396/10
396/18 396/19 399/16
399/19 401/20 408/7
410/24 428/8 428/10
428/21 429/8 429/17
429/20 430/15 433/16
438/2 438/6 438/8
438/17 439/4 444/2
444/4 445/11 446/20
447/8 448/2 448/19
452/10 454/16 454/19
454/22 456/19 457/13
459/2 470/14 470/15
470/17 471/22 472/24
473/3 473/11 475/9
476/6 476/24 479/6
479/18 485/21 499/4
499/21 500/2 514/20
514/23 515/2 518/14
519/9 521/3 541/1
544/21 545/2 545/10
545/13 546/15 547/2
547/20 574/16 577/17
578/19 589/10 598/13
599/1 613/4 613/6
613/17 613/19 614/8
615/5
meetings [19] 319/4
319/16 319/23 378/10
378/14 378/18 396/13
396/24 435/17 437/8
437/12 469/20 470/2
501/22 501/24 502/2
514/22 540/21 540/22
member [8] 434/10
494/6 499/13 566/8
571/6 571/11 571/17
602/20
member's [1] 573/8
members [16] 319/4
319/24 426/8 437/10
444/11 447/11 455/6
457/7 470/5 484/24
503/16 510/17 553/22
555/15 560/23 604/11
memo [28] 332/8 332/9
333/11 383/1 391/4
391/10 394/3 394/15
397/3 397/10 512/9
553/21 554/14 565/17
565/19 566/6 569/2
569/8 569/10 569/11
569/24 570/4 570/9
571/19 571/19 572/2
572/6 603/2
```

meet [7] 377/20 401/18 memorandum [10] 331/20 332/1 332/16 332/21 382/21 383/5 390/23 391/7 395/8 395/10 memory [2] 343/19 609/15 mention [2] 394/24 398/23 mentioned [1] 554/8 mentions [1] 582/5 merely [1] 406/16 merger [21] 316/3 320/17 355/8 385/24 397/16 398/19 400/23 449/1 459/5 465/1 465/6 465/10 465/19 524/4 538/23 539/16 585/20 588/5 588/18 589/8 607/12 Merriam [3] 593/21 594/5 594/11 message [8] 349/4 351/9 369/5 479/18 489/23 491/13 493/22 517/4 messaged [1] 492/15 messages [8] 414/13 453/12 485/5 488/1 488/23 489/4 489/18 492/21 met [9] 377/9 435/7 435/8 435/15 455/4 463/17 516/23 518/10 540/13 methodologies [2] 441/16 441/18 metrics [1] 614/18 MICHAEL [2] 317/14 496/14 Michigan [1] 378/2 mid [5] 399/15 434/21 455/17 514/14 598/12 mid-**\$47** [1] 455/17 mid-2015 [1] 434/21 mid-December [3] 399/15 514/14 598/12 middle [17] 324/17 341/11 347/5 348/7 348/24 359/6 363/16 366/10 368/4 386/17 480/6 493/10 512/6 567/7 584/22 600/1 601/21 midnight [3] 418/11 418/14 517/6 midpoint [1] 413/10 midstream [1] 352/23 midway [1] 474/20 might [27] 329/1 338/10 339/4 342/19 344/20 345/8 358/18 359/9 360/14 460/9 478/7 485/18 491/23 522/3 525/13 528/10 539/17 557/4 557/8 562/2 564/18 564/23 565/8 573/24 584/14 589/4 591/15

328/19 338/3 393/18 395/15 467/12 467/13 474/3 527/6 554/9 563/23 600/18 minds [1] 438/17 mine [1] 524/16 minimal [1] 482/13 minimize [1] 498/8 minute [9] 325/11 338/3 356/8 367/5 395/22 474/4 578/22 578/22 578/23 minutes [70] 334/1 345/6 345/15 357/18 358/3 371/19 378/18 378/21 379/1 379/5 379/10 387/14 387/19 388/2 388/4 388/7 388/9 388/14 388/17 389/2 389/21 390/6 390/10 390/16 392/20 392/20 392/23 394/14 394/24 394/24 395/4 444/2 444/19 444/22 446/20 448/18 454/15 456/17 459/12 469/20 470/1 470/6 470/11 470/15 471/3 471/18 472/5 472/15 473/18 473/19 494/19 494/20 494/24 495/10 495/11 495/20 495/23 501/24 540/22 541/13 542/2 542/13 542/17 544/17 582/13 582/19 596/20 600/22 613/13 614/24 misheard [2] 534/16 579/23 misread [1] 578/3 miss [1] 359/7 misspoke [1] 534/18 misstated [1] 339/17 mistaken [1] 380/14 mixed [9] 449/4 450/3 452/11 455/12 476/13 477/7 479/6 479/11 486/16 **Mm** [1] 488/9 **Mm-hmm [1]** 488/9 model [1] 462/5 modify [1] 470/3 modifying [1] 552/18 moment [18] 322/11 340/3 343/6 344/22 371/16 542/19 545/7 545/21 550/1 552/11 554/10 565/21 579/24 602/12 604/23 608/2 608/3 613/4 moments [3] 557/16 565/18 570/12 Monday [1] 347/9 month [1] 459/3 months [14] 448/12 457/2 471/14 472/2 476/18 481/16 546/6 546/24 547/4 547/21

Mike [1] 523/21

mind [13] 322/5 326/3

M 466/19 468/14 468/15 473/7 475/22 480/21 months... [4] 557/20 480/23 481/2 481/6 592/10 592/12 592/17 484/1 485/6 485/6 months ... 485/13 485/14 485/19 TransCanada [1] 486/1 486/9 486/11 557/20 486/22 487/22 487/22 Moody's [2] 440/5 488/2 488/10 488/20 440/15 489/3 489/3 489/7 morning [20] 318/10 489/7 489/14 489/14 318/11 324/2 327/5 490/10 491/7 491/7 347/9 376/9 377/4 492/20 492/21 493/12 377/8 418/4 418/14 493/13 493/13 493/22 421/15 429/13 429/13 493/23 493/23 494/1 433/5 479/21 485/22 496/18 508/7 509/13 537/21 576/14 585/10 509/13 509/14 511/6 593/21 512/1 512/5 512/8 most [6] 442/11 454/23 513/10 514/19 514/24 455/20 517/9 566/7 514/24 515/3 515/3 584/2 516/9 519/21 520/19 mostly [2] 502/12 521/24 524/18 524/19 524/5 525/11 537/2 543/19 Mountain [2] 576/19 545/4 553/16 553/21 576/20 554/5 554/5 555/12 mouthful [1] 336/6 556/1 556/4 556/11 move [20] 328/2 339/8 557/9 557/19 559/11 361/7 371/13 406/17 559/12 559/13 559/16 407/24 411/22 413/7 561/22 561/22 565/9 416/12 442/3 446/11 569/14 569/15 570/12 474/18 498/20 554/6 570/14 574/15 574/16 555/13 574/12 578/12 574/20 575/18 577/6 582/8 600/20 606/2 581/8 582/12 582/18 moved [3] 335/8 585/11 585/14 585/24 490/21 571/2 586/12 587/5 587/22 moving [8] 340/22 590/4 597/7 597/8 407/8 411/19 422/7 598/19 598/20 599/12 450/22 470/12 472/12 599/12 604/4 607/9 603/20 614/21 615/9 Mr. [204] 318/16 Mr. Bob [3] 575/18 318/17 319/7 319/9 587/5 587/22 320/24 320/24 321/4 Mr. Bousquette [2] 321/14 322/21 323/22 366/6 366/10 324/18 324/22 328/8 Mr. Cliver's [2] 582/12 328/11 328/18 329/3 582/18 330/6 334/6 334/8 Mr. Cornelius [4] 334/9 342/14 342/15 380/20 380/22 381/2 343/4 343/15 344/6 381/8 345/21 349/17 352/14 Mr. Cornelius's [1] 353/17 355/6 362/8 381/18 363/11 364/13 366/6 Mr. Fornell [3] 480/23 366/10 375/10 375/10 481/2 614/21 375/16 375/17 375/22 Mr. Frumkin [18] 375/22 377/8 377/18 323/22 324/18 324/22 378/1 380/10 380/20 328/8 328/11 328/18 380/22 381/2 381/8 329/3 330/6 363/11 381/18 401/17 401/20 404/2 404/4 404/21 401/21 402/7 402/8 405/9 405/19 408/12 402/10 402/15 402/16 408/14 408/24 607/9 403/1 403/8 403/8 Mr. Frumkin's [3] 403/18 403/19 404/2 321/14 352/14 409/14 404/4 404/21 405/9 Mr. Girling [29] 320/24 405/19 407/17 407/18 334/9 402/7 402/10 407/19 408/12 408/14 402/15 403/1 403/8 408/24 409/14 412/23 403/19 407/17 407/18 414/15 426/7 428/21 412/23 443/9 447/12 429/22 430/23 433/13 448/2 448/9 457/8 443/9 447/12 448/2 466/18 468/14 509/13 448/9 457/8 463/10 525/11 556/4 559/12 463/16 463/19 466/18 559/13 581/8 585/11

585/14 586/12 590/4 597/7 Mr. James [1] 377/18 Mr. Johannson [12] 485/6 485/14 485/19 486/9 487/22 488/2 488/10 488/20 489/3 489/7 489/14 491/7 Mr. Kettering [2] 493/13 493/23 Mr. Kipp [1] 480/21 Mr. Medow [1] 604/4 Mr. Noreuil [3] 521/24 524/18 585/24 Mr. Orrico [1] 570/12 Mr. Poirier [31] 401/20 492/21 493/13 493/23 494/1 509/13 509/14 511/6 512/1 513/10 514/19 514/24 515/3 520/19 553/16 553/21 554/5 554/5 555/12 556/1 556/11 557/9 557/19 559/11 559/16 561/22 565/9 569/14 569/15 574/15 599/12 Mr. Poirier's [2] 512/5 512/8 Mr. Pourbaix [12] 485/6 485/13 486/1 486/11 487/22 489/3 489/7 489/14 491/7 492/20 493/12 493/22 Mr. R [1] 430/23 Mr. Robert [1] 574/20 Mr. Sampas [6] 342/14 342/15 343/4 343/15 344/6 355/6 Mr. Skaggs [29] 318/16 318/17 319/7 319/9 320/24 321/4 322/21 334/6 334/8 345/21 349/17 353/17 362/8 364/13 375/10 375/16 375/22 402/8 402/16 403/8 403/18 407/19 426/7 428/21 429/22 466/19 468/15 597/8 598/19 Mr. Smith [19] 375/10 375/17 375/22 377/8 378/1 380/10 401/17 401/21 414/15 433/13 508/7 514/24 515/3 516/9 537/2 543/19 561/22 577/6 598/20 Mr. Smith's [1] 519/21 Mr. Sperber [1] 524/19 Mr. Stephen [1] 599/12 Mr. Steve [1] 574/16 Mr. Vanaselja [9] 463/10 463/16 475/22 481/6 484/1 486/22 490/10 496/18 570/14 Mr. Varallo [2] 473/7 615/9 Mr. Varallo's [1] 463/19 Mr. Wills [1] 545/4

Ms. [33] 323/22 324/21 N 325/10 326/1 327/4 327/9 337/3 340/17 341/12 344/9 346/18 346/21 359/22 367/7 368/8 405/22 407/17 407/22 408/11 410/20 411/15 411/20 412/4 469/19 469/24 470/16 473/10 474/17 474/19 500/23 527/2 540/12 555/5 Ms. Johnston [25] 323/22 324/21 326/1 327/4 327/9 337/3 340/17 344/9 346/18 346/21 359/22 367/7 368/8 405/22 407/17 411/15 411/20 469/19 469/24 470/16 474/17 500/23 527/2 540/12 555/5 Ms. Johnston's [8] 325/10 341/12 407/22 408/11 410/20 412/4 473/10 474/19 much [7] 364/5 364/6 368/16 433/15 457/17 520/11 530/8 multiples [2] 441/17 441/17 must [3] 559/24 560/3 579/23 mutual [1] 505/24 mutually [4] 551/23 552/6 552/17 553/4 my [91] 324/8 325/5 338/8 339/21 343/18 355/11 356/16 358/16 365/9 367/8 377/8 377/18 381/1 392/21 405/6 415/23 426/22 431/20 432/10 434/12 434/13 434/21 435/5 435/10 435/11 435/18 441/14 445/1 456/21 462/23 463/11 463/12 464/6 465/9 467/14 467/15 468/21 472/11 473/8 473/16 475/3 475/8 481/13 483/14 487/17 491/22 493/2 494/15 502/10 507/19 514/2 519/7 519/16 527/5 532/13 532/14 532/21 535/4 537/22 537/23 538/1 538/1 540/5 540/6 541/5 545/4 548/13 550/6 552/1 553/18 554/10 570/12 572/21 576/9 576/11 582/14 583/23 591/4 591/4 591/18 593/20 593/21 596/22 600/18 600/18 606/19 608/19 608/23 609/14 610/10 610/17 myself [1] 457/8

name [12] 348/14 369/19 377/8 435/18 439/10 445/15 445/21 536/9 546/2 569/1 582/4 603/21 named [2] 435/20 539/2 names [1] 517/9 narrow [1] 441/23 national [2] 504/23 505/2 natural [4] 352/22 436/16 484/22 486/10 nature [2] 322/1 478/10 navigate [1] 481/18 NDA [41] 327/13 339/20 355/22 381/9 381/13 383/12 392/10 394/11 406/6 423/8 466/18 503/10 503/11 503/13 503/18 503/23 505/12 506/6 506/11 508/23 515/14 516/15 518/11 520/5 527/16 529/3 543/3 543/13 543/13 543/17 544/18 544/19 545/22 557/8 560/17 573/2 574/7 577/14 579/9 585/4 586/7 NDAs [11] 351/7 354/3 354/8 379/18 380/2 382/23 392/10 398/14 399/9 417/12 539/10 near [1] 337/10 necessarily [5] 446/9 477/20 547/17 570/18 609/9 necessary [3] 332/11 374/10 542/5 NED [1] 317/2 need [30] 321/5 338/3 352/18 356/7 357/11 370/7 392/1 392/14 409/10 411/24 442/24

near [1] 337/10
near [1] 337/10
necessarily [5] 446/9
477/20 547/17 570/18
609/9
necessary [3] 332/11
374/10 542/5
NED [1] 317/2
need [30] 321/5 338/3
352/18 356/7 357/11
370/7 392/1 392/14
409/10 411/24 442/24
443/3 458/3 488/17
489/7 520/16 520/17
525/13 534/22 535/6
535/10 541/12 547/9
557/9 560/14 576/22
578/23 585/4 588/23
615/9
needed [8] 355/7
390/17 419/18 529/23
532/4 533/16 587/17
614/1
needing [1] 589/9
needs [2] 342/19

496/12

negate [1] 532/16

negative [4] 443/1

477/18 478/13 549/14

465/19 503/11 507/18

negotiate [9] 334/12

365/1 380/5 412/13

521/12 552/3

negotiated [12] 328/16 336/19 337/1 381/10 408/19 410/7 422/19 449/2 506/7 507/19 543/3 589/9 negotiating [9] 347/24 350/9 380/2 381/3 381/13 381/23 422/23 547/9 572/5 negotiation [9] 362/23 370/3 375/9 380/6 438/12 543/13 543/13 547/5 566/13 negotiations [24] 328/17 329/8 360/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 415/10 415/18 419/2 438/20 444/13 453/9 454/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 435/12 361/16 362/14 435/12 361/16 362/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 new [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 300/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 no [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 nonorer [2] 328/15 anonorer [1] 449/10 nondisclosure [2] 328/17 320/13 387/1 471/11 170n-guaranteed [1] 47/22 non-public [2] 328/15 38/13 382/1 328/15 381/3 382/1 328/15 381/3 382/15 381/3 382/15 381/13 382/5 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 466/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 535/20 none [2] 370/21 482/23 nonpublic [2] 409/16 410/6 nonsensical [1] 46/2/23 non [1] 603/14 Nope [1] 471/19 Noreuil [9] 502/22 521/14 521/24 524/18 584/22 585/24 601/22 521/14 521/24 524/18 584/22 585/24 601/22 521/14 521/24 524/18 584/22 585/24 505/7 North [3] 316/10 316/21 465/8 note [1] 347/10 520/14 44/11 368/7	N	471/11
336/19 337/1 422/19 448/19 506/7 507/19 549/2 506/7 507/19 549/2 506/7 507/19 549/2 506/7 507/19 533/3 588/9 negotiating [9] 347/24 350/9 380/2 381/3 381/13 381/23 422/23 547/9 572/5 negotiation [9] 362/23 370/3 375/9 380/6 438/12 543/13 543/13 547/5 566/13 negotiations [24] 328/17 329/8 360/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 445/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/11 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 Nextera [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 562 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 non-disclosure [2] 387/1 471/11 non-guaranteed [1] 457/22 408/18 noncore [1] 449/10 non-public [2] 328/15 408/18 noncore [1] 449/10 non-public [2] 328/15 408/18 noncore [1] 449/10 non-guaranteed [1] 457/22 408/18 noncore [1] 449/10 non-public [2] 328/15 408/18 noncore [1] 449/10 non-disclosure [19] 379/13 379/22 380/6 381/3 382/1 382/5 394/10 410/9 418/18 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 505/19 505/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 505/19 505/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 505/19 505/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 505/19 505/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 505/19 51/10 531/19 505/19 51/10 531/19 505/19 51/10 531/19 505/19 51/10 531/19 505/19 51/10 531/19 505/19 51/10 531/19 505/19 51/10 531/19 505/19 50/	negotiated [12] 328/16	
449/2 506/7 507/19 543/3 589/9 negotiating [9] 347/24 350/9 380/2 381/3 381/13 381/23 422/23 547/9 572/5 negotiation [9] 362/23 370/3 375/9 380/6 438/12 543/13 543/13 547/5 566/13 negotiations [24] 328/17 329/8 360/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 415/10 415/18 419/2 438/20 444/13 453/9 454/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 577/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 356/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 Nextera [5] 322/8 a50/13 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nicer [5] 435/14 nicer [5] 322/8 350/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 377 14 373/17 No. 61 [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 No. 62 [1] 323/20 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 37/14 375/14 375/14 No. 4 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 37/14 375/14 375/14 No. 4 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 37/14 375/14 No. 4 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 37/14 375/14 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 621 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/16 6/6/15 466/18 467/3 449/9 503/7 507/20 502/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 410/6 410/6 410/6 nonsensical [1] 462/23 noon [1] 603/14 Nope [1] 475/19 nor [2] 370/21 482/23 136/15 506/19 515/10 531/19 516/8 66/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 503/20 13 37/13 379/22 380/6 381/3 382/1 382/5 338/10 410/4 10/9 418/18 418/19 466/8 466/11 410/6 nonsensical [1] 462/23 noon [1] 603/14 Nope [2] 370/21 482/23 424/11 424/15 424/10 403/19 403/20 419/5 506/15 506/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 506/15 506/19 505/17 507/2 503/14 422/10 403/14 424/10 403/14 424/10 403/14 424/10 403/14 424/10 403/14 424		\$(F)G(-1) (3)
449/2 506/7 507/19 regotiating [9] 347/24 350/9 380/2 381/3 381/13 381/23 422/23 370/3 375/9 380/6 438/12 543/13 543/13 547/5 566/13 regotiations [24] 328/17 329/8 360/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 415/10 415/18 419/2 438/20 444/13 453/9 454/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 Nextera [5] 322/8 350/33 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nicen [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 5319/21 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 379/13 379/22 382/15 384/18 180/20 48/6/10 149/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/3 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/3 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/3 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/3 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/3 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/3 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/3 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/3 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/3 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/3 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/3 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/3 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 46/8 466/11 471/3 472/7 503/6 394/10 410/9 418/18 410/6 608/14 48/19 409/16 410/6 605/17 605/17 North [3] 316/10 316/21 465/8 605/17 405/10 536/12 478/10 536/20 478/10 400/10 410/8 400/10 410/8 400/10 41		
negotiating [9] 347/24 350/9 380/2 381/3 381/13 381/23 422/23 547/9 572/5 negotiation [9] 362/23 370/3 375/9 380/6 438/12 543/13 543/13 547/5 566/13 negotiations [24] 328/17 329/8 360/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 415/10 415/18 419/2 438/20 444/13 453/9 454/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 575/3 593/22 593/22 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 320/19 323/20 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 no. [11] 335/14 nice [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 370/3 375/9 380/6 438/12 349/13 549/10 nondisclosure [19] 379/13 379/22 380/6 381/3 382/1 382/1 382/1 382/1 384/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 466/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 506/15 506/19 500/17 605/17 Nore [1] 341/1 324/11 324/11 324/11 324/11 324/11 324/11 324/12 320/3 131/16 505/19 515/10 531/19 506/15 506/19 500/17 605/17 Nore [1] 341/10 516/21 465/8 nore [2] 370/21 482/23 none [2] 370/21 482/23 none [2] 370/21 482/23 none [2] 370/21 482/23 none [1] 471/19 Nore [1] 324/11 324/12 324/13 322/10 520/17 507/10 500/17 605/17 North [3] 316/10 316/21 465/8 nore [1] 341/14 53/14 16/57 17/17 53/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 506/15 506/19 500/17 605/17 Nore [1] 341/14 366/11 366/17 466/18 564/22 377/14 474/20 474/14 541/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/16 574/9 549/17 575/3 593/22 593/22 573/18 535/20 10 11 320/17 10 11 320/17 10 11 320/17 10		
negotiating y 34//24 350/9 380/2 381/3 381/13 381/23 422/23 547/9 572/5 negotiation 9 362/23 370/3 375/9 380/6 438/12 543/13 543/13 547/5 566/13 negotiations 24 328/17 329/8 360/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 445/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 nevither 2 394/10 419/5 nesday 11 415/7 never 12 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new 21 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 331/3 82/1 382/1		
381/13 381/23 422/23 547/9 572/5 negotiation [9] 362/23 370/3 375/9 380/6 438/12 543/13 543/13 547/5 566/13 negotiations [24] 328/17 329/8 360/20 455/14 375/4 408/20 415/10 415/18 419/2 438/20 444/13 453/9 454/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 nevire [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [9] 463/22 488/21 nice [9] 463/22 488/21 nice [9] 463/22 488/21 nice [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 nice [1] 335/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 328/12 No. 621 [1] 328/12 No. 621 [1] 328/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 646/8 466/11 418/19 466/8 466/11 418/19 466/8 466/11 418/19 466/8 466/11 418/19 466/8 466/11 418/19 466/8 466/11 418/19 466/8 466/11 418/19 466/8 466/11 418/19 466/8 466/11 418/19 466/8 466/11 410/6 nonsensical [1] 462/23 noon [2] 370/21 482/23 noon [1] 603/14 Nope [1] 471/19 nor [1]] 324/11 324/12 403/19 403/20 419/5 419/6 506/15 506/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 535/20 none [2] 370/21 482/23 noon [1] 603/14 Nope [1] 471/19 nor [1]] 324/11 324/12 403/19 403/20 419/5 419/6 506/15 506/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/20 none [2] 370/21 482/23 noon [1] 603/14 Nope [1] 471/19 nor [1]] 324/11 324/12 403/19 403/20 419/5 419/6 506/15 506/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/20 145/10 6/8 466/11 410/6 nonsensical [1] 462/23 noon [1] 603/14 Nope [1] 471/19 nor [1]] 324/11 324/12 403/19 403/20 419/5 419/6 506/15 506/19 505/19 515/10 531/19 505/20 1410/6 16/0/6 18/10/6 846/8 466/11 410/6 18/10/6 846/8 466/11 410/6 18/10/6 846/8 466/11 410/6 18/10/6 10/6/8 466/8 466/11 410/6 18/10/6 10/6/8 466/11 410/6 18/10/6 10/6/8 466/11 410/6 18/10/6 10/		
381/13 381/23 422/23 547/9 572/5 negotiation [9] 362/23 370/3 375/9 380/6 438/12 543/13 543/13 547/5 566/13 negotiations [24] 328/17 329/8 360/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 445/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 577/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 356/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 335/14 nicel [1] 335/22 No. 101 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 378/18 346/10 576/21 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/13 382/1 382/5 381/3 382/1 382/5 381/10 410/9 418/18 418/19 466/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 none [2] 370/21 482/23 nonpublic [2] 409/16 410/6 nonsensical [1] 462/23 10/6/13 466		
negotiation [9] 362/23 370/3 375/9 380/6 438/12 543/13 543/13 547/5 566/13 negotiations [24] 328/17 329/8 360/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 415/10 415/18 419/2 438/20 444/13 453/9 454/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 577/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 356/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/13 5393/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 328/12 No. 621 [1] 328/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 328/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 328/17 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 Algx/18 457/22 488/17 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 Algx/18 457/22 488/17 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 Algx/18 457/22 488/17 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 Algx/18 457/22 488/17 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 Algx/18 457/22 488/17 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 Algx/18 457/22 488/17 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 Algx/18 457/22 488/17 No. 844 [1] 344/1	Section 2011 10 Tests of Basic Land Control Co	national and
379/3 375/9 380/6 438/12 543/13 543/13 547/5 566/13 negotiations [24] 328/17 329/8 360/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 415/10 415/18 419/2 438/20 444/13 453/9 454/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 577/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 488/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 66 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17 Norember [22] 322/13 503/22 506/23 508/2 504/20 545/10 545/16		
438/12 543/13 543/13 547/5 566/13 negotiations [24] 328/17 329/8 360/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 415/10 415/18 419/2 438/20 444/13 453/9 454/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neitther [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 577/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 66 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17 VORMB 46/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 515/20 none [2] 370/21 482/23 None [3] 140/6 nonsensical [1] 462/23 none [2] 370/21 482/23 volo6 [3] 140/6 nonsensical [1] 462/23 none [2] 370/21 482/23 volo6 [3] 140/6 nonsensical [1] 462/23 none [2] 370/21 482/20 volo6 [3] 316/10 316/21 465/8 note [11] 341/4 366/11 368/22 377/14 474/20 475/24 78/19 518/20 582/24 586/6 614/23 note [3] 337/16 522/22 532/10 noting [2] 444/22 555/9 notwithstanding [4] 4	[- [- [- [- [- [- [- [- [- [-	
547/5 566/13 negotiations [24] 328/17 329/8 360/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 415/10 415/18 419/2 438/20 444/13 453/9 454/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 577/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nice [1] 335/22 No. 101 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 535/20 none [2] 370/21 482/23 none [2] 370/21 482/21 Nope [1] 471/19 nor [1] 324/11 324/12 1403/19 403/20 419/5 149/6 506/15 506/19 13 24/14 521/24 524/18 13 26/13 25/14 521/24 524/18 13 26/13 26/14 13 26/14 521/24 524/18 13 26/13 26/14 13 26/14 52/12 143/14 471/19 13 24/14 36/11 13 26/12 143/14 476/16 14/16 16 13/16 17 471/19 17 40/6 106/17 17 406/17 17 406/17 17 406/17 17 406/17 17 41		381/3 382/1 382/5
## 18/19 466/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 535/20 ## 18/19 466/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 535/20 ## 18/19 466/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 535/20 ## 18/19 466/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 535/20 ## 18/19 466/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 535/20 ## 18/19 46/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 535/20 ## 18/19 46/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 531/19 535/20 ## 18/19 46/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 531/19 535/20 ## 18/19 46/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 531/19 531/19 531/10 471/9 ## 18/19 46/11 48/19 403/19 403/20 419/5 540/12 571/13 591/19 ## 18/19 46/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 515/10 531/19 ## 18/19 46/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 515/10 531/19 ## 18/19 46/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 515/10 531/19 ## 18/19 46/8/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 531/19 ## 18/19 46/8/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/19 515/10 531/19 515/10 531/19 ## 18/19 46/8/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/20 31/19 515/10 531/19 ## 18/19 46/8/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/20 31/19 515/10 531/19 ## 18/19 46/8/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/20 31/19 515/10 531/19 ## 18/19 46/8/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/20 31/19 515/10 531/19 ## 18/19 46/8/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/20 31/19 515/10 531/19 ## 18/19 46/8/8 466/11 471/23 472/7 503/6 505/20 300/6 51/9 506/20 30/19 506/20 506/20 30/19 506/20 506/20 308/19 506/20 506/20 308/19 506/20 50		394/10 410/9 418/18
328/17 329/8 360/20 365/14 375/4 408/20 415/10 465/14 345/9 454/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 57/1/3 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 53/1/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 30/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/14 A08/18 457/22 468/17 Nowember [22] 322/13 30/22 506/23 508/2 504/20 545/10 545/16	See the second see that the second se	[2017] [2017] [2017] - [2017] [2017] [2017] [2017] [2017] [2017] [2017] [2017] [2017] [2017] [2017]
365/14 375/4 408/20 415/10 415/18 419/2 438/20 444/13 453/9 454/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 577/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 84 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17 Nowember [22] 322/13 302/25 506/23 508/2 544/20 545/10 545/16		
415/10 415/18 419/2 438/20 444/13 453/9 454/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 4365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17 Nowember [22] 322/13 302/22 506/23 508/2 544/20 545/10 545/16		
438/20 444/13 453/9 454/10 466/1 478/4 494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17 478/18 457/22 468/17 482/23 500/12 482/23 noon [1] 603/14 Nope [1] 471/19 nor [11] 603/14 Nope [1] 471/19 nor [11] 603/14 Nope [1] 471/19 nor [11] 324/11 2403/19 403/20 419/5 506/15 506/19 510/7 591/19 591/19 Noreuil [9] 502/22 521/14 521/24 524/18 584/22 585/24 601/22 605/17 005/17 North [3] 316/10 316/21 465/8 note [2] 370/21 482/23 11 461/19 12 403/19 403/20 419/5 506/15 506/19 Noreuil [9] 502/22 521/14 521/24 524/18 584/22 585/24 601/22 605/17 005/17 North [3] 316/10 316/21 465/8 note [2] 473/3 473/10 473/13 473/17 473/23 474/10 474/19 162 584/22 585/24 601/22 1605/17 005/17 North [3] 316/10 316/21 465/8 note [2] 370/14 474/20 475/2 478/19 518/20 588/22 377/14 474/20 475/2 478/19 518/20 588/22 377/14 474/20 475/2 478/19 518/20 588/22 377/14 474/20 475/2 478/19 518/20 588/22 377/14 474/20 475/2 478/19 518/20 588/22 377/14 474/20 475/2 478/19 518/20 588/22 377/14 474/20 475/2 478/19 518/20 588/22 377/14 474/20 475/2 478/19 518/20 588/22 536/24 601/22 588/17 584/22 585/24 601/22 588/17 584/22 586/6 614/23 note [2] 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 444/11 44		
494/9 503/7 507/20 520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 377/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 4408/18 457/22 468/17 466/15 466/18 467/3 466/15 466/18 467/3 466/15 466/18 467/3 466/15 466/18 467/3 466/15 466/18 467/3 466/15 466/18 467/3 466/15 466/18 467/3 466/15 466/18 467/3 466/15 466/18 467/3 466/15 466/18 467/3 466/15 466/18 467/3 410/6 nonsensical [1] 462/23 noon [1] 603/14 Nope [1] 371/19 nor [11] 324/11 324/11 324/12 403/19 403/20 419/5 419/6 506/15 506/19 510/7 591/19 591/19 Noreuil [9] 502/22 521/14 521/24 524/18 588/22 585/24 601/22 605/17 605/17 North [3] 316/10 316/21 465/8 note [11] 341/4 366/11 368/22 377/14 474/20 475/2 478/19 518/20 588/22 4586/6 614/23 noted [8] 326/12 444/11 448/23 471/10 529/14 539/5 545/12 558/7 notes [20] 473/3 473/10 473/13 473/17 478/18 546/10 576/21 582/24 586/6 614/23 noted [8] 326/12 444/11 448/23 471/10 529/14 539/5 545/12 558/7 notes [20] 473/3 473/10 473/13 473/17 478/18 546/10 576/21 582/24 586/6 614/23 noted [8] 326/12 444/11 448/23 471/10 529/14 539/5 545/12 558/7 notes [20] 473/3 473/10 473/13 473/17 478/18 546/10 576/21 582/24 586/6 614/23 noted [8] 326/12 444/11 448/23 471/10 529/14 539/5 545/12 558/7 notes [20] 473/3 473/10 473/3 473/10 473/10 473/3 473/10 473/10 473/3 473/10 473/10 473/3 473/10 473/10 473/3 473/10 473/10 47	Control of the Contro	
520/11 524/4 529/23 536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nicely [1] 435/14 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 324/1 No. 64 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 A08/18 457/22 468/17 44/20 545/10 545/16 545/10 545/16	454/10 466/1 478/4	
536/16 574/9 589/7 neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 334/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 403/19 403/20 419/5 506/15 506/19 507/22 558/24 601/22 521/14 521/24 524/18 584/22 585/24 601/22 605/17 605/17 North [3] 316/10 316/10 316/10 316/10 316/10 326/12 326/12 327/14 474/20 475/2 478/19 518/20 582/24 586/6 614/23 noted [8] 326/12 444/11 448/23 471/10 529/14 539/5 545/12 558/7 notes [20] 473/3 473/10 473/13 473/17 473/23 474/16 476/10 576/21 582/19 586/23 587/16 613/5 613/8 613/12 613/5 613/8 613/12 613/5 613/8 613/12 613/5 613/8 613/12 613/5 613/8 613/12 613/6 613/8 613/12 613/6 614/23 77/14 476/16 476/17 7478/18 546/10 576/21 582/24 586/6 614/23 77/14 478/18 536/10 529/14 539/5 545/12 558/7 notes [20] 473/3 473/10 473/3	494/9 503/7 507/20	
neither [2] 394/10 419/5 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
nor [11] 324/11 324/12 nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 300/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 627 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 403/19 403/20 419/5 419/6 506/15 506/19 510/7 591/19 591/19 Noreuil [9] 502/22 521/14 521/24 524/18 584/22 585/24 601/22 605/17 605/17 North [3] 316/10 316/21 465/8 note [11] 341/4 366/11 368/22 377/14 474/20 475/2 478/19 518/20 582/24 586/6 614/23 noted [8] 326/12 444/11 448/23 471/10 529/14 539/5 545/12 558/7 notes [20] 473/3 473/10 473/13 473/17 478/18 546/10 576/21 582/24 586/6 614/23 noted [8] 326/12 444/11 448/23 471/10 529/14 539/5 545/12 558/7 notes [20] 473/3 473/10 473/13 473/17 478/18 546/10 576/21 582/24 586/6 614/23 noted [8] 326/12 444/11 448/23 471/10 529/14 539/5 545/12 555/7 notes [20] 473/3 473/10 473/13 473/17 478/18 546/10 576/21 582/22 532/10 613/56 613/8 613/12 613/66 nothing [7] 433/9 433/11 461/17 483/20 500/6 529/19 532/20 notice [3] 337/16 522/22 532/10 notify [1] 420/2 notig [2] 444/22 555/9 notwithstanding [4] 435/14 457/14 509/4 571/21 November [22] 322/13 322/16 322/20 398/18 400/23 420/19 466/9 466/15 466/18 467/3 47/10 467/19 468/23 503/22 506/23 508/2 544/20 545/10 545/16		
nesday [1] 415/7 never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 627 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
never [12] 378/6 393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17 North [3] 316/10 316/21 452/2 585/24 601/22 605/17 605/17 North [3] 316/10 316/21 465/8 note [11] 341/4 366/11 368/22 377/14 474/20 475/2 478/19 518/20 582/24 586/6 614/23 noted [8] 326/12 444/11 448/23 471/10 529/14 539/5 545/12 558/7 notes [20] 473/3 473/10 473/13 473/17 473/13 473/13 473/10 473/13 473/17 473/13 473/17 473/13 473/17 473/13 473/13 473/10 473/13 473/17 473/13 473/13 473/17 473/13 473/17 473/13 473/17 473/13 473/17 473/13 473/17 473/13 473/17 473/13 473/17 473/13 473/13 473/10 473/13 473		
393/24 409/1 423/7 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17	nesday [1] 415//	
Noreuil [9] 502/22 424/14 424/15 424/20 424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
424/21 483/17 571/12 571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
571/13 591/19 new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
new [21] 317/7 354/6 354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
354/12 361/16 362/14 365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
365/7 416/12 421/24 424/11 431/6 431/14 431/19 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		- · · · ·
424/11 451/0 447/14 514/17 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17	[12] [2] [2] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4] [4	
431/19 44//14 514/1/ 515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17	424/11 431/6 431/14	
515/11 533/24 574/15 575/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17	431/19 447/14 514/17	
5/5/3 593/22 593/22 594/12 newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
newly [2] 363/1 426/17 news [6] 511/2 529/23 531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17	[2] 전경 : [1] 전경 (1) : [2] - [
529/14 539/5 545/12 558/7 notes [20] 473/3 473/10 473/13 473/17 473/23 474/16 474/19 475/14 476/16 476/17 478/18 546/10 576/21 582/19 586/23 587/16 475/14 476/16 476/17 478/18 546/10 576/21 582/19 586/23 587/16 613/5 613/8 613/12 613/16 nothing [7] 433/9 433/11 461/17 483/20 500/6 529/19 532/20 notice [3] 337/16 522/22 532/10 notify [1] 420/2 noting [2] 444/22 555/9 notwithstanding [4] 435/14 457/14 509/4 571/21 November [22] 322/13 322/16 322/20 398/18 400/23 420/19 466/9 466/15 466/18 467/3 467/10 467/19 468/23 503/22 506/23 508/2 544/20 545/10 545/16		
531/18 535/3 536/10 536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
536/15 NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		558/7
NextEra [5] 322/8 350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		notes [20] 473/3
350/23 351/5 356/3 398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17	1. 3.7 A.3.4 S.48. G.48. G.	장에도, 물건지, 우리 경이 그렇게 말하고, 어디어는 걸린 경험이 없어지다.
398/14 nice [5] 463/22 488/21 489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/3 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
1		
489/15 540/12 540/13 nicely [1] 435/14 nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17	nice [5] 463/22 488/21	SANAGAMA PENERA NASPENDANCE VIRGINIANSAN SIPERANGAN INC.
nickname [1] 439/15 night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
night [2] 418/10 517/6 nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17	nicely [1] 435/14	
nine [1] 335/22 No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
No. [10] 318/15 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
No. [10] 316/13 319/21 320/3 320/19 323/20 325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17	nine [1] 335/22	그리아 경영에 있다는 이번도 아니라면서 있었다고 하면 있다면 하게 되었다면서 되었다.
325/32 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
325/22 340/4 344/1 357/14 373/17 No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
No. 191 [1] 357/14 No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		notify [1] 420/2
No. 4 [1] 320/3 No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		noting [2] 444/22
No. 46 [1] 320/19 No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		
No. 564 [2] 318/15 319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17	No. 46 [1] 320/19	
319/21 No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		The state of the s
No. 6 [1] 373/17 No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17		THE RESERVE TO A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P
No. 620 [1] 323/20 No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17	No. 6 [1] 373/17	
No. 621 [1] 325/22 No. 827 [1] 340/4 No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17	No. 620 [1] 323/20	
No. 844 [1] 344/1 non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17	No. 621 [1] 325/22	
non [6] 328/15 387/1 408/18 457/22 468/17 503/22 506/23 508/2 544/20 545/10 545/16	No. 827 [1] 340/4	
100 [6] 328/15 38//1 108/18 457/22 468/17 544/20 545/10 545/16		
1 /108/18 /15//// /168/17		- ''() [[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[
	408/18 45//22 468/1/	

November 24 [2] 467/10 467/19 November 24th [4] 322/16 322/20 466/18 467/3 November 25th [2] 398/18 508/2 November 6th [1] 503/22 November 9th [3] 466/9 466/15 506/23 number [17] 320/8 320/20 345/16 349/1 459/15 459/16 459/19 469/13 501/20 502/11 502/14 517/3 547/21 /23 567/4 568/5 592/13 608/15 number 3 [1] 349/1 2/23 numbered [3] 558/19 602/13 602/16 numbers [2] 391/11 12 420/17 numerous [1] 535/8 ny [1] 420/23 oath [1] 547/24 object [2] 443/7 496/7 objecting [1] 499/3 objection [8] 443/14 443/15 496/6 499/23 533/17 548/23 561/7 563/24 objective [1] 455/23 obligation [1] 529/20 obligations [14] 325/18 411/5 413/2 431/6 503/18 508/22 509/3 516/15 518/19 528/13 535/19 537/6 544/7 560/19 observe [2] 375/9 375/15 obtain [2] 431/3 594/15 obvious [1] 342/9 **obviously [5]** 453/2 453/4 478/3 547/2 596/16 occasion [1] 380/20 occur [1] 609/16 occurred [4] 319/2 327/2 400/19 432/10 occurring [3] 331/2 346/24 353/10 **Oddly [1]** 480/16 off [12] 338/9 338/12 360/4 360/11 400/16 400/22 407/11 431/12 484/13 486/3 488/13 508/10 offense [1] 484/1 offer [181] 321/23 322/4 324/11 325/6 325/16 326/14 326/15 327/10 328/5 328/21 335/24 341/5 341/19

November 2015 [1]

468/23

345/3 345/4 345/7 345/9 345/10 345/19 345/21 345/22 346/1 346/5 346/7 384/7 385/10 403/19 404/6 404/14 406/3 406/9 406/12 406/16 406/18 406/23 406/24 407/2 407/3 408/3 411/3 412/18 413/8 413/11 422/8 422/12 422/13 425/17 438/20 446/12 447/8 447/14 447/16 447/24 448/3 448/5 448/20 448/24 449/4 449/23 450/5 450/7 452/11 453/6 454/24 455/3 455/4 456/14 456/18 457/10 458/2 458/14 461/22 461/23 461/24 462/6 462/20 462/21 463/2 468/18 476/12 477/7 477/9 477/14 479/6 479/19 486/17 486/23 488/3 492/12 492/23 493/15 495/6 495/14 497/9 497/13 497/20 498/13 498/17 498/19 499/6 499/24 510/1 510/7 510/9 513/7 519/7 519/10 519/18 520/9 520/17 524/11 525/12 526/22 550/22 557/23 557/24 560/15 562/20 562/23 563/7 563/9 563/13 563/23 564/6 564/7 565/1 565/11 565/16 566/4 566/12 566/22 568/10 570/11 570/15 570/17 571/23 572/20 573/12 573/17 576/4 577/11 577/12 577/18 577/19 577/22 578/1 578/5 578/15 578/17 578/21 579/5 579/6 579/7 579/12 579/16 579/17 579/21 580/2 580/8 580/9 580/17 581/3 581/14 583/1 583/4 583/7 584/7 585/6 586/9 587/2 590/4 590/7 590/8 591/1 591/11 591/15 593/10 593/15 594/22 594/23 605/8 offered [13] 420/9 421/1 435/18 448/7 456/22 487/4 487/6 487/13 487/18 488/5 531/21 533/21 606/9 offering [15] 398/20 443/18 451/4 456/9 457/23 506/13 506/13 508/9 514/5 533/2 533/3 534/13 550/20 555/22 556/2 offers [2] 341/2 426/1 offhand [4] 571/8

571/14 571/15 571/18 office [3] 342/6 434/16 538/1 officer [9] 322/23 434/19 437/19 437/21 437/22 447/5 484/19 495/4 554/21 officers [1] 557/20 officially [2] 399/4 399/10 offside [1] 585/7 offsite [2] 394/18 396/22 often [2] 441/15 595/18 Oh [6] 358/18 362/2 362/11 549/17 596/10 606/21 oil [1] 464/14 ok [1] 370/19 **OLSEN [1]** 317/14 omission [1] 375/16 once [7] 360/3 372/11 431/7 449/1 542/4 545/20 557/15 one-on-one [2] 319/4 319/23 one-on-ones [2] 319/12 319/13 ones [6] 319/12 319/13 547/14 548/22 551/12 562/14 onsidered [1] 572/18 open [11] 482/18 483/8 535/3 545/5 551/19 554/1 555/4 565/20 586/18 587/8 613/15 open-ended [3] 482/18 483/8 535/3 operated [1] 574/13 operates [1] 440/3 operating [2] 437/19 484/18 operation [1] 520/20 operations [2] 436/19 440/9 operative [1] 515/14 opined [1] 424/4 opinion [7] 401/9 401/12 533/2 613/22 613/24 614/11 614/22 opp [2] 488/18 489/9 opportunities [2] 436/23 436/24 opportunity [17] 350/22 355/3 385/14 417/6 421/12 432/6 437/24 443/8 474/10 480/13 480/21 481/9 483/2 484/9 491/20 492/5 570/23 opposed [3] 332/17 409/3 548/21 opposite [1] 516/10 opposition [1] 479/5 optionality [1] 371/11 options [1] 600/18 order [23] 328/21 332/11 343/17 353/4 377/16 398/1 398/5

384/1 510/1 550/22 O particularly [1] 461/5 412/12 449/12 470/13 557/24 parties [19] 322/14 472/12 475/24 495/16 order... [16] 399/22 323/7 340/20 354/1 539/4 570/15 411/22 417/23 419/13 354/15 365/18 398/1 Permian [1] 436/20 423/2 450/9 464/3 P.A [1] 317/10 430/12 457/2 464/3 permissible [3] 321/12 468/4 504/12 596/23 p.m [11] 347/6 349/18 467/10 468/11 476/20 328/22 420/1 596/23 597/9 599/16 417/18 418/10 451/16 478/7 481/12 552/3 permission [3] 385/9 599/23 605/4 611/11 452/2 512/7 530/10 552/7 553/8 567/2 463/9 591/1 ordinarily [1] 505/3 531/1 589/22 615/12 parties' [3] 383/7 permit [1] 507/8 organization [1] Pacific [1] 576/19 481/17 515/4 permitted [2] 548/21 442/12 package [4] 395/11 partner [5] 434/16 551/13 organized [1] 474/22 396/2 397/5 545/9 528/2 570/12 573/4 person [7] 380/1 orient [4] 463/24 page 007 [1] 570/9 607/12 380/23 470/16 472/10 465/16 468/5 470/11 page 62 [1] 473/21 partners [1] 502/21 524/4 540/13 542/22 orienting [1] 466/4 pages [6] 439/6 460/20 parts [2] 334/4 550/5 person's [1] 584/8 original [3] 517/24 496/10 506/8 537/9 personal [2] 592/21 party [30] 322/20 550/10 576/13 600/14 322/23 322/24 323/9 602/21 ORRICO [3] 317/6 paid [3] 595/18 595/21 336/16 362/23 364/8 personally [2] 465/19 463/8 570/12 597/13 364/22 369/24 370/4 592/18 ortunity [1] 489/9 par [1] 609/17 400/15 410/14 419/1 personnel [1] 517/4 other's [1] 515/13 paragraph [62] 320/13 419/5 420/2 422/4 perspective [9] 357/5 others [8] 336/5 320/21 322/23 324/4 422/5 428/14 428/17 442/21 443/20 451/6 350/23 363/10 445/9 325/9 332/22 334/3 429/7 429/23 429/23 454/3 520/21 539/16 461/10 512/1 554/9 334/19 336/12 339/2 430/11 471/8 504/8 555/9 564/5 605/17 341/13 349/13 385/3 505/21 523/2 550/19 peruse [2] 392/20 otherwise [3] 516/12 386/18 386/24 389/7 550/22 553/11 394/23 529/2 576/4 pesky [2] 605/6 608/11 389/10 389/13 391/16 Party D [4] 322/20 ought [1] 529/16 391/22 391/23 398/4 322/23 322/24 323/9 **phase [1]** 543/13 ours [1] 432/16 399/23 403/15 410/20 party's [1] 478/3 Phillips [2] 358/24 ourselves [1] 448/10 417/24 418/22 418/24 pass [3] 376/6 583/17 359/1 outline [1] 353/21 423/5 428/5 429/16 583/22 phone [10] 353/11 outlines [1] 461/22 429/21 430/4 444/8 passed [1] 569/11 444/5 446/22 446/24 outreach [2] 340/2 447/3 448/18 454/21 passing [1] 559/23 447/1 454/19 488/13 514/14 455/10 456/16 467/8 past [1] 552/9 494/20 537/23 597/14 outright [1] 510/5 467/15 475/21 518/12 path [3] 401/24 481/18 phrased [1] 427/13 outs [4] 351/4 351/15 519/1 550/18 556/1 555/12 picked [1] 608/11 353/10 353/12 556/11 556/16 558/19 pause [2] 371/17 550/1 picture [1] 545/19 outside [21] 340/22 565/24 570/4 582/23 pay [1] 454/24 pinpoint [3] 591/1 419/12 423/16 423/23 585/9 585/19 597/9 pencils [5] 553/17 591/11 591/15 424/3 424/9 502/16 598/4 598/24 599/15 553/20 554/24 556/22 **PIPELINE [4]** 316/3 504/11 507/13 507/22 599/22 602/14 602/16 561/23 439/12 460/12 464/18 513/13 513/20 521/7 607/1 penny [1] 349/2 pipelines [2] 484/22 521/10 523/18 524/14 paragraphs [5] 389/8 penultimate [1] 386/23 486/10 527/9 537/5 543/12 555/6 555/8 555/17 place [28] 324/7 people [12] 351/21 549/12 549/21 555/19 360/16 362/9 363/14 327/22 327/23 328/7 over [20] 346/22 371/3 parallel [1] 415/17 333/3 333/13 340/21 367/13 517/7 517/10 388/19 394/21 412/8 parameters [1] 437/23 521/7 538/4 554/20 365/5 365/7 406/18 436/22 438/20 440/22 paraphrase [1] 422/24 569/23 582/15 406/19 417/1 419/2 441/8 448/11 473/7 Pardon [1] 331/8 per [36] 321/2 323/1 419/2 419/3 438/2 481/16 484/2 497/12 parens [1] 419/24 345/15 345/19 447/8 443/18 459/2 495/21 503/14 507/20 535/8 447/13 447/16 448/21 parentheses [1] 614/5 514/8 532/2 539/1 555/24 589/1 597/24 parse [3] 358/6 560/12 449/4 450/3 450/4 575/19 577/24 578/11 overly [2] 421/10 579/4 579/6 580/7 595/3 452/11 453/6 455/15 525/15 parsing [1] 595/4 places [1] 589/8 462/1 466/22 467/22 overrule [2] 534/8 part [18] 334/5 344/2 469/1 472/18 476/12 plain [3] 562/7 580/17 534/12 354/2 456/12 457/1 477/7 479/6 479/11 597/20 oversee [1] 380/6 457/3 502/10 525/17 479/20 486/16 487/4 plaintiff [1] 469/9 oversight [4] 351/2 541/22 553/13 554/4 492/23 495/6 495/13 plaintiffs [8] 317/10 381/12 381/22 381/23 573/7 577/11 579/18 504/21 566/4 570/11 377/9 463/8 468/6 overture [1] 574/22 584/2 595/10 600/22 571/22 581/8 598/22 606/7 608/5 609/5 overtures [8] 362/14 605/13 613/20 609/24 548/20 551/5 551/6 participate [1] 337/18 plaintiffs' [8] 550/6 percent [8] 448/23 551/12 551/15 553/1 particular [17] 399/22 449/23 451/4 452/12 605/6 605/7 606/14 553/6 437/15 438/6 438/8 456/14 457/16 457/19 608/8 608/11 610/7 overview [1] 471/4 438/11 439/6 502/16 472/19 610/22 own [7] 361/6 361/6 511/11 511/14 512/22 perfectly [1] 516/11 plan [4] 354/11 372/18 507/18 507/19 521/6 541/10 543/23 561/14 perhaps [3] 328/5 372/22 439/21 537/6 615/2 568/4 568/16 590/19 341/1 586/18 planned [8] 327/21 ownership [5] 383/24 597/1 period [9] 349/18 396/22 422/14 424/22 509/14 511/6 512/1

425/17 492/24 493/15 578/11 plans [1] 439/24 play [9] 370/4 381/4 415/19 427/6 446/6 465/22 499/15 499/18 548/5 played [8] 381/7 473/24 478/1 498/4 499/19 548/10 561/5 563/21 player [2] 369/12 369/21 playing [5] 371/10 371/12 375/10 388/24 535/11 please [61] 318/15 320/2 320/20 322/11 323/20 325/23 327/1 330/4 331/14 334/1 337/2 338/6 338/23 339/5 340/4 341/16 342/5 343/2 344/1 344/22 344/23 348/6 348/8 349/12 351/11 351/17 353/16 355/20 358/14 359/5 359/20 362/2 362/6 366/2 366/24 368/21 371/2 371/20 373/6 374/2 377/3 434/5 434/14 452/4 499/18 502/9 525/7 531/3 540/8 545/5 551/20 552/12 554/7 555/4 559/10 567/24 582/11 582/22 584/23 603/15 613/15 pleasure [2] 377/20 433/16 point [57] 321/22 333/21 335/18 342/10 343/16 344/8 346/8 348/1 349/9 365/4 380/1 407/8 417/21 419/17 420/22 421/19 433/2 449/9 497/8 498/12 510/19 511/4 513/15 514/4 518/5 519/6 519/9 520/23 524/6 527/3 527/12 528/24 529/17 531/20 532/4 533/11 534/18 537/7 539/24 565/6 565/8 568/4 583/23 588/5 588/18 588/19 589/7 592/11 596/1 598/9 598/15 599/9 599/17 599/24 600/15 601/13 614/8 pointed [2] 372/5 391/15 points [4] 330/7 364/14 497/10 582/15 Poirier [50] 399/14 401/10 401/17 401/20 402/2 437/19 438/3 492/21 493/13 493/23 494/1 509/12 509/13

Ī	nine i			[
	P	409/24 470/4 473/16	pretrial [18] 377/16	375/9 375/20 378/9	608/24
	Poirier [34] 513/10	473/16 607/16 609/18	397/24 398/5 399/22	378/16 379/15 380/9	prospectus [1] 374/15
		610/8	417/23 423/1 464/3	398/11 398/13 399/5	protect [2] 506/2
	514/19 514/24 515/3	practices [3] 397/2	468/4 540/9 596/23	399/10 402/20 409/8	591/10
	520/19 553/16 553/21	540/17 596/18	596/23 597/9 598/3	411/19 420/7 420/23	protection [3] 333/2
	554/5 554/5 554/8		A GRADO STREET AND A STREET AND		
	555/12 556/1 556/11	preceded [1] 562/21	598/24 599/16 599/22	458/11 465/16 507/16	339/16 391/17
	557/9 557/19 559/11	precedent [2] 532/11	606/19 606/22	508/17 615/6	protections [1] 372/6
		532/18	pretty [2] 531/17	professional [1]	protocol [1] 373/10
	559/16 561/6 561/22	precise [2] 458/20	590/14	464/13	prove [1] 532/14
	565/9 567/20 568/18	466/12	prevents [1] 407/13	professor [1] 532/18	proverbial [1] 588/16
	569/14 569/15 569/18	preclude [4] 325/2	previous [2] 329/5	progressed [1] 520/12	provide [11] 332/16
	574/15 574/19 583/17				- "1시에 15 15 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	583/17 584/1 598/6	326/2 506/12 548/15	447/7	prohibit [6] 387/2	334/13 350/21 369/13
	598/13 599/12 614/20	precluded [3] 324/13	previously [10] 318/6	506/11 507/3 547/14	397/1 419/18 474/14
	Poirier's [2] 512/5	403/21 576/5	385/5 398/12 400/15	562/10 562/14	501/21 509/17 523/1
	512/8	precursor [1] 554/14	404/18 407/11 410/23	prohibited [15] 385/14	540/8
		predicated [1] 456/13	420/7 499/7 528/18	389/17 515/23 558/15	provided [23] 322/8
	poor [4] 405/15 579/17	preference [1] 449/16	price [50] 321/2 323/1	558/24 564/14 564/19	337/13 369/16 395/18
	580/19 580/23		353/4 365/2 370/3	564/24 573/24 574/4	396/14 396/15 471/4
	poorly [1] 462/16	preliminary [2] 318/20			
	portable [1] 613/10	328/20	420/9 420/10 421/1	574/7 574/8 578/2	474/10 474/11 478/16
	portion [2] 448/22	premise [1] 385/19	421/3 422/22 438/20	580/18 600/19	481/13 491/19 497/1
	472/19	premium [4] 421/1	440/2 442/22 444/10	prohibiting [2] 423/9	497/18 498/24 503/8
		421/3 442/1 454/12	444/12 444/17 444/23	611/4	508/12 521/15 522/18
	position [7] 319/18	prepare [1] 383/2	445/2 445/24 446/3	prohibition [1] 419/10	523/14 543/7 579/8
	347/23 353/1 390/2	prepared [24] 330/11	446/6 447/12 450/17	prohibitions [2]	598/6
	511/1 571/13 581/2	332/1 332/3 347/8	455/19 456/1 457/15	552/19 553/13	provides [2] 480/21
	positioned [1] 480/22				
	positioning [1] 336/2	347/13 356/11 356/18	466/21 467/22 476/20	prohibitive [1] 524/10	503/23
	positions [1] 573/11	356/21 379/18 382/21	477/17 477/19 478/3	prohibits [3] 509/22	providing [6] 321/11
	Positive [1] 497/10	383/5 390/16 390/24	478/5 478/7 478/11	550/18 550/19	337/16 405/20 447/6
	[March 1987 1987 1987 1982 1985] [The House Hall 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987 1987	393/5 395/17 430/9	478/13 481/21 481/24	Project [6] 439/8 439/9	519/16 522/22
	possibility [3] 449/21	469/20 494/3 522/4	488/19 489/10 492/6	447/3 517/5 569/2	provincially [1] 504/22
	557/7 615/5	526/6 564/19 571/19	496/1 497/13 524/7	569/7	provision [45] 321/12
	possible [10] 361/24	571/20 605/7	524/11 525/9 585/9	projects [2] 437/2	322/3 326/4 326/11
	418/5 460/21 541/2				
	542/6 552/17 553/4	preparing [2] 330/14	586/24 601/5 613/21	465/6	327/13 337/20 339/6
	564/18 565/24 580/16	348/19	price up [1] 365/2	promptly [1] 537/24	354/3 354/7 382/6
	possibly [1] 551/23	preread [1] 439/3	prices [6] 454/6 564/18	proposal [48] 324/11	389/15 390/19 392/3
		presence [1] 465/2	564/23 573/24 584/14	325/16 328/12 329/1	392/16 406/6 410/11
	post [4] 319/19 366/15	present [5] 376/1	590/20	337/12 337/14 339/11	411/10 411/12 418/19
	401/9 402/24	392/9 440/21 446/24	pricing [2] 450/13	373/18 373/19 384/5	418/21 423/8 472/7
	post-equity [1] 319/19	454/19	585/15	384/7 384/12 384/15	505/13 505/16 505/17
	post-trial [2] 401/9	SITURE APPLY AND DESCRIPTION	174 Hay (Add 16 64 66)		
	402/24	presentation [13]	Primarily [1] 502/20	384/15 384/17 384/23	506/9 509/7 510/16
	potency [1] 391/24	331/11 349/16 393/4	principal [1] 43//16	385/8 387/3 387/7	511/13 513/16 515/23
	potent [1] 392/10	393/4 393/9 393/14	principally [1] 437/11	389/17 389/22 403/20	519/13 520/20 523/4
	potential [31] 331/2	393/19 394/1 394/7	principals [1] 575/19	408/15 409/3 409/4	527/4 527/16 543/17
		394/9 394/15 395/5	prior [22] 319/12 354/6	411/3 412/20 412/23	543/22 558/20 577/15
	333/13 336/17 351/7	497/18	354/12 383/21 385/16	429/24 447/10 449/23	611/4 611/8 611/20
	398/13 413/5 422/3	presented [8] 429/22	396/7 401/4 402/2	459/7 462/7 518/17	612/12 612/18
	426/1 436/11 438/5	441/13 441/20 443/20	402/14 404/15 404/19	522/20 563/1 563/3	provisions [13] 324/13
	440/9 440/16 447/16				
	448/13 454/11 454/12	445/10 496/22 499/22	405/5 405/9 409/17	563/4 563/12 563/15	381/10 391/24 392/9
	460/20 461/15 461/24	541/20	418/3 419/7 419/19	563/23 564/4 564/6	417/11 505/10 511/6
	476/19 477/5 477/13	preserve [3] 440/11	430/23 455/14 505/10	573/16 573/19 577/3	511/19 544/18 548/14
	505/20 505/24 523/10	440/20 581/2	538/13 563/2	589/5 593/10	553/10 590/1 590/21
	535/11 568/8 591/1	preserving [1] 445/5	private [5] 328/15	proposal' [2] 318/20	proxy [19] 320/15
		president [4] 434/19	408/18 409/15 410/6	337/16	322/18 374/8 374/16
	597/23 599/21 612/14	469/16 484/21 486/9	502/12	proposals [7] 322/9	374/17 385/23 386/5
	potentially [7] 336/4	press [24] 359/18	probably [10] 344/18	322/13 323/6 363/21	386/8 386/12 427/23
	443/1 478/9 478/12	360/6 360/15 360/22	344/21 524/23 560/20	410/2 431/5 523/9	428/1 429/16 493/9
	512/21 553/8 596/4	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR TOTAL DESCRIPTION	Manager Carlo Control of the William Control of the	TERM CARAGO A SERVICIO EE PROCESA DE MONDO PERSONE DE SACREDA DE S	
	Pourbaix [16] 437/18	361/8 361/11 422/14	572/2 572/3 575/16	propose [8] 341/20	506/17 510/16 538/23
	484/18 485/6 485/13	424/21 424/24 425/2	588/21 588/24 591/23	383/23 385/19 385/20	539/2 539/7 548/17
	486/1 486/11 487/22	425/18 425/20 492/24	problem [7] 368/17	558/9 587/18 588/2	prudent [1] 374/11
		493/16 528/16 531/23	451/8 488/7 488/15	588/9	prying [2] 606/13
	488/4 488/12 489/3	532/4 533/12 534/22	496/8 496/14 572/21	proposed [16] 321/11	610/22
	489/7 489/14 491/7	535/15 535/21 535/23	problems [1] 488/15	371/14 384/3 384/5	PTO [4] 397/23 417/24
	492/20 493/12 493/22	526/2 526/6	proceed [4] 398/19	384/12 415/11 431/2	467/6 475/20
	power [5] 383/11 436/2		[^ 대급입니다 [] 다니다 []		
	482/5 482/6 482/6	pressing [1] 571/3	443/22 455/12 557/4	439/20 455/5 505/7	public [20] 328/15
	PowerPoint [3] 330/10	pressure [8] 361/5	proceeding [1] 448/3	543/18 593/5 598/6	400/18 408/18 420/8
	332/17 393/4	362/15 364/24 420/8	Proceedings [1]	607/1 609/3 609/10	420/23 421/21 423/9
	practice [11] 355/12	420/24 535/16 556/13	615/12	proposing [8] 385/15	436/2 454/9 482/2
	378/2 378/6 409/20	556/18	process [24] 333/13	550/21 558/15 562/3	502/3 502/4 502/14
	310/2 310/0 408/20	presumably [1] 591/20	340/2 340/21 354/16	564/14 607/12 608/21	506/18 506/19 510/20
		(5. (5. (5. (5. (5. (5. (5. (5. (5. (5.			
		i			

P public... [4] 510/21 511/3 534/24 535/5 publicly [1] 423/17 published [1] 479/22 pull [8] 467/5 467/7 496/15 519/24 550/11 601/19 605/15 611/24 pulled [3] 415/13 415/20 550/5 punchline [2] 582/16 582/24 purchase [6] 327/11 406/4 406/9 440/1 464/18 577/12 purpose [11] 319/6 330/15 332/8 332/9 338/2 354/17 399/3 505/16 505/17 523/4 562/13 purposes [4] 460/7 499/9 548/8 561/3 pursuant [3] 504/10 509/7 536/14 pursue [7] 471/8 479/20 508/9 560/1 560/4 560/15 598/14 pursuing [7] 321/1 363/19 402/11 466/20 467/21 468/24 521/9 pursuit [2] 326/14 375/11 push [4] 353/4 362/22 420/10 421/3 pushing [1] 346/5 put [23] 333/3 364/6 364/23 393/10 398/8 426/6 435/18 460/5 464/6 482/10 482/11 511/1 521/8 523/14 535/10 535/16 550/6 595/13 596/22 606/18 609/24 610/1 611/3 puts [2] 420/8 420/24 putting [5] 392/7 393/15 405/8 407/19 524/11

0

qualify [2] 384/23 406/23 questioned [1] 568/15 questioning [1] 377/19 questions [27] 341/9 375/1 381/20 425/24 427/8 463/6 482/18 482/23 483/5 483/6 484/17 500/5 511/21 540/3 540/17 544/10 549/4 549/7 600/14 601/14 601/23 602/1 602/13 602/17 604/16 604/16 604/19 quibbling [1] 432/2 quick [7] 366/15 386/11 409/10 443/6 443/8 475/21 496/6 quickly [10] 351/23

364/12 368/3 382/15 387/18 393/8 397/21 420/14 541/2 555/14 **quite [6]** 448/3 502/13 521/6 537/21 546/18 598/20

quote [1] 563/7 quote/unquote [1] 563/7

quoted [1] 594/19 quotes [1] 586/24

RAE [1] 500/16 raised [2] 345/19 557/7 ramifications [1] 335/6 ran [1] 374/14 range [42] 321/3 321/5 321/11 321/18 322/4 385/8 406/13 437/22 441/1 441/13 441/16 441/20 441/24 444/14 455/17 466/21 467/22 469/1 469/13 469/14 525/9 525/12 525/18 564/17 564/22 565/1 565/1 573/23 577/10 581/8 581/13 584/14 585/9 585/15 586/13 586/24 587/23 588/2 588/9 589/5 590/20 591/9 rapidly [2] 350/19 352/23 rather [8] 384/6 384/16 409/17 426/11 443/19 471/7 522/10 550/4 rating [13] 439/20

rather [8] 384/6 384/1 409/17 426/11 443/19 471/7 522/10 550/4 rating [13] 439/20 440/5 440/6 440/11 440/13 440/18 440/20 442/9 444/12 444/23 445/5 445/5 452/21 ratings [1] 452/23 rationale [3] 364/12 364/14 372/5

rationale - I [1] 372/5 re [3] 316/3 336/3 471/10

re-engage [2] 336/3 471/10

reach [14] 350/22 351/4 351/15 353/10 353/12 365/24 368/6 368/11 372/10 399/18 427/11 428/22 560/22 594/15

reach-out [6] 365/24 368/6 368/11 399/18 427/11 428/22

reach-outs [4] 351/4 351/15 353/10 353/12 reached [17] 326/1 360/22 361/10 366/17 371/4 414/7 416/4 427/14 427/14 531/22 533/12 561/22 574/15 574/21 576/1 584/15 615/10

reaches [1] 428/19

reaching [8] 339/23 351/24 365/18 372/24 548/16 557/7 562/1 563/18

reaction [8] 351/14 443/2 481/8 484/9 484/16 491/19 497/11 522/7

read [62] 327/8 338/5 341/14 343/6 343/7 345/17 347/6 364/19 367/6 370/9 386/4 387/8 388/3 388/14 389/19 391/17 392/5 401/12 403/23 404/9 405/3 408/21 411/7 411/17 411/17 412/7 414/20 421/4 431/12 432/5 433/3 433/5 463/21 471/15 473/17 473/18 474/4 486/5 488/6 488/23 497/14 525/16 543/17 545/22 546/8 552/18 557/6 567/10 567/18 568/12 569/19 569/21 569/23 570/22 591/23 591/23 592/5 592/6 594/5 594/11 602/12 606/10 readable [1] 490/7 reading [14] 364/18 408/8 409/14 423/1 432/22 453/21 459/11 473/13 562/7 572/4

608/3
reads [5] 333/1 389/13
430/22 478/2 504/8
ready [8] 318/3 326/3
348/3 370/17 377/3
452/4 602/14 608/18
real [9] 368/17 406/12
406/23 436/5 443/6
443/8 462/12 475/21
615/5

580/16 594/4 594/7

reality [2] 478/10 495/15

realtime [2] 415/10 433/7

reask [1] 405/16 reason [10] 416/7 465/5 469/11 476/7 482/10 482/11 494/4 538/12 558/10 584/7 reasonable [1] 449/11 reasonably [2] 449/7 457/5

reasons [4] 437/3 456/7 567/4 602/21 recall [115] 323/9 323/11 324/14 330/10 331/9 331/24 333/9 334/15 342/21 346/2 346/4 349/4 349/21 349/23 350/2 354/9 355/6 355/9 359/1 359/15 359/17 360/5 360/17 360/21 360/24 361/1 361/18 362/17

362/19 362/21 365/3 365/7 365/22 366/1 368/13 369/9 372/12 372/20 374/19 382/9 386/1 387/16 391/19 393/6 394/17 395/17 397/3 397/18 399/17 402/6 409/6 414/6 414/9 415/11 415/14 415/16 416/15 417/19 421/9 421/9 423/14 423/19 424/17 424/17 424/19 427/8 427/13 431/20 432/11 432/20 432/22 437/4 440/6 440/23 441/21 444/16 448/1 453/8 453/18 453/22 456/7 456/8 458/20 459/11 472/9 478/6 479/10 479/14 490/3 490/17 493/5 494/5 496/2 499/9 500/1 511/22 514/15 529/11 537/1 546/2 546/15 549/10 549/19 549/23 553/20 565/5 565/7 566/14 574/14 574/18 575/21 576/8 584/12 604/8 605/10 recalling [2] 433/4

524/8
recap [1] 447/18
recapped [1] 582/1
receipt [6] 327/10
369/12 406/3 406/9
456/9 577/12
receipts [13] 450/8
450/10 450/13 450/15
450/21 451/8 453/1

456/3 456/6 457/15 457/19 457/21 458/5 receive [8] 369/1 396/7 397/2 424/18 505/21 520/8 526/21 536/23 received [17] 321/21 364/7 408/6 412/18 419/11 424/14 424/16 424/21 429/7 504/10 508/15 513/13 517/5

604/15 receiving [2] 424/18 494/6

536/22 538/3 538/22

recent [5] 318/18 318/24 319/22 454/24 541/3

receptive [4] 325/14 411/1 487/7 518/15 receptiveness [1] 447/16

receptivity [1] 454/2 recess [6] 376/10 451/14 451/16 530/9 530/10 615/11 recipient [1] 554/20 recitation [1] 584/8 recites [1] 582/20 recognize [8] 504/1

508/4 512/3 516/20

517/9 517/22 525/24 531/14 recognizing [1] 440/8 recollect [3] 345/8 602/6 604/13 recollection [36] 336/7 339/21 342/17 342/19 343/14 346/14 348/8 348/18 355/11 359/12 362/1 365/9 365/22 366/16 374/13 400/1 416/3 433/6 441/5 446/5 447/22 456/1 461/4 462/3 462/12 472/6 473/21 491/12 492/1 493/7 494/15 499/3 522/1 533/3 541/3 592/16 recollections [2] 456/22 461/7 recommendation [13]

recommendation [13] 345/22 429/10 429/22 461/21 462/17 478/24 479/1 497/8 498/16 498/18 499/4 499/5 499/23

recommended [2] 335/3 497/21 recommending [2] 497/17 498/12 reconvene [1] 429/13 record [12] 342/13 352/5 369/2 378/14 390/17 392/2 392/14 397/22 417/22 431/22 532/23 534/24

records [1] 541/23 recruited [1] 501/11 reduce [1] 492/6 reducing [1] 457/22 reengage [3] 354/15 555/21 574/17

reengaged [1] 514/5 reengagement [4] 514/11 515/4 516/6 556/8

reengaging [4] 438/4 515/21 515/22 575/15 refer [9] 341/13 377/12 389/21 440/14 444/24 496/10 570/10 596/24 598/23

reference [10] 318/24 320/7 344/16 381/5 388/7 439/14 444/9 444/21 538/19 597/8 referenced [3] 503/10 512/23 514/24

referencing [2] 493/3 605/14

referred [6] 324/21 337/23 432/13 575/20 580/12 584/6 referring [3] 319/22

341/8 577/23 refers [2] 352/15 510/19

reflect [1] 364/3 reflected [1] 526/24

423/8 557/22 572/4 R relied [3] 409/23 require [10] 328/4 resume [3] 318/3 531/3 reflects [1] 541/3 408/2 409/17 460/5 465/23 502/22 615/11 reformatted [1] 330/7 rely [6] 374/22 469/24 510/21 578/14 578/18 resumed [5] 318/7 reframe [1] 533/6 502/16 525/2 532/15 579/12 586/7 587/19 376/9 377/1 452/2 refresh [18] 336/7 required [21] 334/11 531/1 615/1 342/16 343/13 346/13 relying [1] 615/1 337/17 355/13 360/14 retained [1] 440/14 348/7 348/16 348/18 retention [1] 597/22 remain [2] 448/24 409/2 409/16 419/12 357/11 359/12 362/1 423/17 424/5 424/8 509/4 retirement [2] 375/12 365/21 366/16 374/13 remainder [2] 347/10 424/12 504/9 504/12 434/21 400/1 415/24 416/2 522/23 528/19 531/19 return [10] 347/13 464/4 473/20 493/6 532/10 574/10 579/9 remained [2] 434/22 347/16 348/20 398/23 refreshed [1] 342/19 598/20 580/11 590/5 415/15 508/2 508/10 refreshment [1] 342/23 remember [14] 345/12 requirements [1] 502/4 508/20 509/5 509/6 regard [15] 381/21 return-or-destroy [5] 369/11 462/24 483/3 requires [1] 529/19 381/23 437/17 438/18 347/13 348/20 415/15 493/4 497/4 514/8 research [1] 498/9 440/1 445/3 448/2 514/18 527/20 537/21 resolution [1] 460/5 508/2 509/6 448/17 450/12 499/10 547/20 549/15 601/10 resolutions [1] 502/1 returned [1] 515/16 533/7 535/21 548/11 611/11 resolve [1] 361/17 returning [1] 395/7 562/6 584/16 remembered [1] 492/7 resources [1] 521/6 review [7] 378/22 regarding [15] 324/9 respect [21] 363/18 385/14 470/5 474/10 reminded [1] 557/8 331/1 331/21 341/6 rendering [1] 595/5 379/6 383/12 441/1 501/23 543/12 560/7 343/10 361/4 369/12 reviewed [11] 356/23 renegotiate [2] 483/3 446/6 447/21 456/4 381/21 412/7 422/3 456/5 462/18 484/3 491/20 368/24 379/1 382/1 495/6 495/13 544/1 renew [2] 349/20 503/17 524/2 528/13 390/7 424/24 447/8 564/22 575/4 533/5 533/15 534/6 455/4 473/23 474/17 365/14 regardless [3] 339/22 renewal [1] 479/12 540/17 543/16 592/24 543/8 352/6 580/4 renewed [1] 369/3 593/2 602/20 reviewed/approved [1] regrettable [1] 448/14 reorient [3] 452/8 respected [1] 498/6 368/24 regular [2] 319/11 492/18 531/7 respective [3] 340/21 reviewing [2] 357/1 423/21 repeat [6] 354/22 361/14 454/6 459/9 reinforced [1] 350/13 380/11 459/16 474/12 respond [9] 363/20 reviews [1] 541/16 **REIT [1]** 436/5 523/21 549/13 364/8 388/22 429/10 revised [1] 439/21 reiterating [1] 519/7 repeats [1] 509/2 443/15 511/2 532/24 **Revion [2]** 601/8 reject [1] 346/1 replace [1] 510/17 537/4 578/7 601/11 rejected [5] 345/9 reply [1] 341/16 responded [4] 405/22 rewind [1] 483/23 345/16 345/22 346/7 report [1] 583/13 489/14 511/21 577/6 **RICKERT [1]** 317/12 447/14 reported [3] 334/8 responding [4] 364/8 right-hand [2] 545/13 related [1] 439/24 421/16 469/3 430/11 431/4 580/22 546/20 relates [1] 545/9 REPORTERS [1] responds [9] 404/21 Rings [1] 344/17 relating [3] 459/1 316/20 480/21 480/23 485/19 **RioCan [1]** 436/5 536/21 536/24 rise [1] 532/7 reporting [3] 348/22 486/1 488/4 488/10 relations [2] 535/7 risk [9] 450/9 450/12 488/20 559/7 367/18 599/1 536/11 response [33] 327/9 454/24 455/7 461/2 **Reports [1]** 459/20 relationship [1] 362/16 337/13 339/7 341/12 461/6 461/16 476/17 represent [4] 377/9 relationships [1] 341/13 343/10 351/14 466/14 479/17 480/9 604/5 555/15 risks [5] 443/1 445/3 351/16 362/22 364/7 representation [4] relative [1] 364/3 392/21 506/16 561/15 364/22 367/9 371/4 450/6 450/18 451/6 relatively [2] 541/3 612/10 373/23 381/24 405/1 road [1] 579/6 542/3 representative [3] 405/7 406/1 407/23 **ROBERT [4]** 318/6 relay [1] 511/17 440/12 483/21 490/21 366/5 370/13 574/20 389/9 389/13 431/3 relayed [4] 371/9 519/22 520/6 522/19 role [6] 438/14 438/15 representatives [1] 457/12 471/9 480/16 524/20 537/11 538/11 465/21 501/18 542/20 557/21 relaying [1] 364/14 566/7 571/5 577/9 544/14 representing [2] release [39] 354/3 581/18 581/21 593/13 603/17 Roman [1] 550/19 354/7 359/18 360/1 reput [1] 483/10 responses [2] 373/11 room [5] 515/18 517/6 360/6 360/15 360/22 request [17] 318/19 439/20 517/16 538/1 588/11 361/8 361/11 416/21 399/15 410/14 410/15 responsibilities [2] Ross [5] 527/24 528/1 417/2 417/6 422/14 411/11 411/15 411/18 361/14 503/1 528/2 528/9 528/15 424/21 425/1 425/2 411/21 427/19 508/3 responsible [2] 374/19 Ross Bentley [1] 425/4 425/7 425/18 518/21 571/6 574/16 502/3 527/24 425/20 492/24 493/16 rough [1] 569/24 582/12 598/13 599/2 responsive [2] 482/24 511/2 528/16 529/24 599/2 571/17 round [1] 524/4 531/18 531/23 532/4 **Saturday [4]** 418/14 requested [6] 341/18 rest [4] 342/3 347/10 rounds [1] 612/18 533/13 534/23 535/3 537/21 585/10 585/16 349/20 429/9 444/9 388/22 436/13 rubber [1] 335/3 535/7 535/15 535/21 587/2 595/23 restart [1] 483/24 save [3] 328/23 559/12 rubber-stamp [1] 535/23 536/2 536/6 600/20 requesting [3] 387/5 result [8] 337/14 335/3 536/10 536/15 saw [13] 342/24 444/16 519/13 343/19 345/18 389/14 Rule [1] 532/9 released [2] 421/21 requests [2] 383/8 478/8 522/21 537/19 rules [11] 419/14 372/16 394/9 447/19

423/13 424/5 504/14 504/23 504/24 505/4 529/4 532/9 532/17 574/11 rumors [1] 363/18 run [4] 449/13 546/6 546/23 547/3 running [2] 373/16 542/22 Russ [22] 435/9 435/15 437/15 441/22 442/5 442/20 445/9 453/14 453/24 453/24 457/4 485/14 486/2 488/2 488/12 488/22 489/15 490/11 525/9 559/22 585/9 585/10 Russ's [1] 512/11 Sachs [7] 420/6 420/17 428/15 429/3 429/7 516/24 517/15 Sachs' [1] 336/1 said [46] 319/21 324/20 324/22 347/6 356/17 358/19 367/6 369/20 370/18 370/19 370/19 372/10 382/7 404/4 406/15 411/22 413/8 421/13 422/17 422/22 424/19 426/16 426/19 431/21 448/2 448/10 462/10 462/13 481/22 483/4 487/5 487/7 494/11 497/21 507/17 516/12 529/18 534/9 534/11 560/24 579/15 580/2 580/2 580/7 589/14 610/11 sale [7] 379/15 398/11 398/13 420/7 420/23 451/7 555/10 sales [6] 440/17 442/11 442/23 445/4 450/8 465/16 same [32] 327/2 327/4 349/23 370/1 389/4 397/9 399/21 403/3 405/23 409/11 411/19 415/16 421/23 441/21 442/5 455/22 483/19 489/19 535/12 535/12 539/4 540/15 553/9 558/13 574/19 588/22 595/19 595/19 597/24 600/16 604/20 612/3 Sampas [7] 342/14 342/15 343/4 343/15 344/6 344/12 355/6 **SANBORN [1]** 317/7 SANBORN-LOWING [1] 317/7

S	538/11	407/23 592/9 604/18	session [10] 331/1	shift [2] 398/10 542/19
saw [9] 473/1 473/2	scripts [1] 426/1	seen [6] 319/7 333/10	376/1 378/9 387/14	shifting [2] 457/18
539/7 545/20 546/20	scroll [2] 354/2 568/3	420/11 485/8 602/7	387/19 388/1 392/20	457/21
549/11 553/16 557/15	se [1] 504/21	613/5	394/14 394/16 452/1	shop [1] 416/9
562/15	search [1] 594/14 seated [3] 377/3 452/4	sees [1] 541/15 sell [2] 353/2 449/9	set [10] 340/6 354/23 378/21 453/12 566/18	short [7] 348/11 366/13 374/4 429/8
saying [23] 328/12	531/3	semantics [2] 564/2	574/22 603/2 603/5	496/9 572/2 586/1
328/18 339/7 344/17	second [37] 320/20	573/18	603/7 603/23	short-circuiting [1]
351/10 352/16 361/10 367/17 368/16 374/9	324/4 327/3 331/18	send [12] 343/10	sets [3] 415/22 552/21	496/9
392/24 408/5 421/9	337/6 338/14 340/14	362/24 387/5 431/2	552/22	shorthand [4] 570/19
421/10 424/1 458/13	340/15 341/13 346/22	499/12 508/7 508/19	setting [2] 357/24 553/22	572/3 577/23 583/8
487/10 513/4 579/2	351/20 359/5 394/23 397/22 403/14 410/19	518/4 528/8 541/13 560/6 586/16	settle [1] 440/24	shortly [4] 404/5 511/4 520/7 521/2
587/22 588/21 590/11	422/21 428/11 429/21	sending [5] 367/19	seven [4] 335/20	should [31] 332/13
610/4 says [79] 318/17	455/9 464/3 498/8	368/19 399/3 426/12	371/19 522/17 546/16	336/3 339/11 340/21
320/23 322/19 324/2	510/10 524/4 553/19	556/24	several [2] 426/21	352/17 377/11 377/15
324/5 325/12 330/6	554/3 554/7 556/1	senior [10] 465/23	511/8	377/17 382/16 406/1
334/8 335/22 336/12	558/18 570/7 582/3 585/8 606/24 608/4	481/7 484/7 484/24 491/6 541/7 544/5	shakes [2] 488/18 489/8	416/21 417/3 417/12 418/3 444/13 448/12
339/9 341/15 345/18	611/10 611/22 612/3	554/20 556/24 592/13	shape [1] 374/11	451/11 457/7 462/20
346/23 348/11 349/1	seconded [1] 460/6	sense [11] 323/15	share [64] 321/2 323/1	475/10 494/2 517/5
352/16 353/7 353/19 353/23 354/3 359/4	secondly [1] 452/21	371/12 441/6 453/16	345/15 345/19 400/7	555/12 555/21 556/3
366/11 370/12 370/16	seconds [1] 500/14	454/1 488/14 505/5	401/6 402/12 402/17	556/4 556/11 556/17
372/1 373/17 385/19	secretarial [1] 501/13	554/18 558/13 572/13	447/8 447/13 447/17	571/8 585/6 585/19
388/11 388/21 397/23	secretary [9] 469/17 470/1 470/17 501/15	586/3 sensitivities [2] 444/12	448/21 449/4 450/3 450/4 451/5 451/5	show [15] 342/13 443/24 454/14 458/24
405/1 407/17 407/17	501/16 501/19 540/18	444/22	452/11 453/6 454/6	485/3 490/4 490/6
407/23 408/15 415/4 429/6 429/21 430/8	540/21 542/13	sent [57] 318/18	455/5 455/5 455/15	509/10 517/18 521/20
439/19 454/22 459/20	section [16] 374/8	319/20 324/17 328/8	455/19 455/20 456/1	522/13 523/15 531/11
461/2 461/20 471/4	439/7 461/22 471/3	328/11 329/3 337/3	457/19 462/1 466/22	611/13 612/6
474/20 475/14 475/23	504/8 504/10 506/8 518/22 538/23 539/2	344/6 344/8 344/9 347/9 348/19 351/6	467/23 469/1 472/18 476/12 476/20 477/7	showed [5] 414/11 432/9 481/22 489/19
476/16 478/23 485/14	550/12 552/10 552/19	351/20 358/3 370/20	477/17 477/19 478/3	490/24
493/11 493/14 495/3 497/8 510/10 512/9	553/13 576/24 577/2	371/3 373/6 378/21	478/5 478/7 478/11	showing [11] 336/16
512/23 517/4 522/19	sector [1] 440/10	378/22 379/2 379/5	478/13 479/6 479/11	438/22 439/2 445/7
536/3 545/14 559/22	secure [1] 459/6	390/7 391/4 397/5	479/20 481/21 481/24	453/11 503/20 506/5
559/24 568/22 575/3	securities [24] 324/11 327/11 341/20 403/20	398/23 415/15 453/19 453/22 453/24 480/9	486/16 487/4 492/23 495/7 495/13 531/22	511/23 516/16 526/18 536/19
577/20 578/20 582/5	406/4 406/10 419/14	485/5 489/18 489/23	566/4 570/11 571/23	shown [3] 393/4
582/24 586/1 597/12 597/13 599/4 600/2	423/12 501/9 502/24	503/24 531/18 554/23	577/10 577/16 581/9	393/14 489/22
601/23 614/10 614/14	504/13 504/17 504/18	554/24 557/11 567/19	583/1 594/3 598/22	shows [1] 473/22
scale [1] 443/4	504/21 504/21 504/24	567/21 568/14 568/15	599/4 613/21	shut [3] 399/3 399/10
Schedule [1] 320/5	505/2 506/14 510/2 528/4 558/1 563/1	569/5 570/22 571/4 586/21 587/4 587/10	shared [3] 576/9 602/21 604/11	508/10 sic [2] 367/24 428/2
Schedule 14A [1]	577/13 587/19	587/22 589/16 589/20	shareholder [3] 350/18	
320/5	Sedimentary [1]	590/18 590/19 591/7	354/17 461/3	457/3 463/13 475/5
scheduled [2] 396/2 599/2	465/13	597/21 605/21	shareholders [9] 353/2	
school [3] 434/10	seeing [3] 401/24	sentence [24] 334/6	442/3 449/20 451/5	545/19 608/20 609/3
501/2 501/4	432/11 435/6 seek [18] 321/14	335/22 349/15 359/7 362/10 368/22 386/24	457/20 548/16 562/16 602/22 604/12	609/12 sides [2] 481/17 598/4
schooled [1] 569/15	341/19 506/16 510/11	389/12 391/18 391/22	shareholders' [1]	sight [1] 553/9
Schulich [1] 434/9	510/16 520/24 523/10	391/23 428/11 428/13	413/6	Sigmund [2] 380/10
scienter [1] 532/16 screen [22] 338/7	543/11 558/9 560/2	429/2 429/21 430/5	shares [11] 442/13	380/12
338/8 338/11 338/16	593/8 593/9 593/12	430/22 478/2 566/1	448/22 450/17 452/20	sign [3] 360/4 431/12
339/3 359/10 359/10	594/13 594/13 594/17 596/8 597/19	572/15 606/24 608/4 611/18 612/2	457/16 472/19 482/3 482/8 482/13 510/6	541/22 sign-off [1] 431/12
370/10 393/11 393/15	seeker [1] 594/15	sentences [1] 493/14	529/15	signal [2] 347/22
393/16 414/12 414/14	seeking [26] 407/14	sequence [3] 416/18	she [22] 325/12 325/20	
426/6 438/24 464/7 475/22 490/9 493/2	407/20 521/11 532/15	430/15 431/11	326/19 327/20 341/9	signals [2] 553/5 553/7
550/15 589/21 597/11	550/20 558/15 564/13	series [6] 414/13	341/15 341/22 367/21	signed [14] 333/3
script [17] 369/8	577/3 593/5 593/5 593/14 594/14 595/24	414/17 485/5 545/19 600/13 601/24	407/17 407/23 407/23 408/6 410/23 411/22	337/3 360/11 372/11 398/14 471/11 471/23
369/14 371/4 372/19	596/5 596/21 597/4	serious [3] 344/17	411/23 424/7 424/15	494/20 506/24 539/5
373/1 373/23 426/12	597/16 597/18 598/9	363/20 373/18	424/19 424/19 533/4	539/16 544/20 545/23
430/9 430/18 430/23 431/4 431/12 431/18	598/15 598/16 599/8	seriously [1] 375/6	533/4 533/22	599/24
432/5 432/19 433/2	599/9 599/17 600/4	serve [1] 434/24	she's [2] 408/5 469/16	significance [1] 460/1
433/3	600/10 seemed [1] 370/19	service [1] 444/13 services [2] 440/15	sheet [1] 461/13 sheets [1] 461/12	significant [7] 442/13 456/23 481/14 482/7
scripted [2] 537/11	seems [4] 338/19	444/23	SHI [1] 317/15	492/8 495/16 517/3

S 514/24 515/3 515/3 specifically [19] 417/2 417/11 471/12 state [6] 316/1 346/8 515/6 516/5 516/9 341/18 383/8 386/13 471/13 471/24 472/1 407/6 407/9 446/6 signing [2] 355/8 472/7 505/10 505/13 517/20 520/14 523/18 417/19 428/1 432/11 495/12 466/18 523/24 524/14 525/23 459/11 500/1 518/20 505/16 505/17 506/2 stated [4] 406/15 Siim [2] 433/20 434/1 526/19 531/13 536/21 519/18 543/16 546/15 506/9 506/11 506/21 422/18 472/5 491/11 similar [5] 361/15 549/23 557/22 566/12 536/24 537/2 539/21 507/2 507/13 507/21 statement [10] 320/15 563/14 587/5 593/9 508/23 509/7 509/19 543/6 543/19 561/22 568/4 586/6 602/6 325/5 421/14 421/21 593/16 574/16 574/20 574/20 603/9 509/22 511/6 511/13 422/1 476/8 476/8 simple [7] 468/21 specified [1] 552/4 575/5 575/14 575/18 515/20 515/21 515/23 480/11 493/9 539/8 473/9 480/24 484/6 576/2 577/6 579/11 spectra [20] 336/5 516/4 516/7 518/9 statements [2] 356/17 495/11 536/10 536/14 339/19 339/23 365/23 518/11 518/19 519/8 587/5 587/10 587/22 423/9 simpler [1] 415/24 598/13 598/20 599/12 366/12 366/17 368/7 519/13 519/17 519/20 states [6] 391/24 simply [3] 415/24 603/12 368/11 369/8 369/20 520/20 524/10 525/17 420/22 467/10 467/19 416/2 483/1 369/23 427/8 427/11 525/21 526/10 526/16 **Smith's [3]** 519/21 480/10 488/12 simultaneous [1] 577/9 591/20 427/18 428/17 428/19 527/3 527/8 527/11 stating [1] 476/17 456/11 428/22 431/11 432/4 sniff [1] 370/20 527/16 539/10 539/17 status [1] 447/6 simultaneously [1] soft [2] 340/1 365/23 433/3 543/17 543/23 544/2 stay [2] 461/19 478/18 350/5 staying [1] 476/15 softly [1] 427/20 Spectra's [1] 430/16 544/7 544/17 546/6 since [10] 318/18 546/12 547/12 547/17 software [1] 569/7 speculate [1] 453/23 step [3] 335/8 413/7 319/21 373/16 389/24 solicit [1] 336/3 speculated [2] 481/23 547/19 548/14 548/21 553/18 434/21 447/7 534/24 549/24 550/2 550/8 solicitation [2] 506/17 539/11 **STEPHEN [3]** 317/9 565/1 602/6 602/9 speculation [1] 363/18 550/9 550/17 551/11 548/17 574/20 599/12 sincere [1] 555/13 somebody [12] 339/12 551/13 551/14 551/18 steps [2] 373/19 speeches [2] 483/7 singles [1] 483/21 343/15 533/10 536/13 483/7 551/21 552/15 552/18 525/14 sit [2] 342/22 584/3 536/13 571/15 582/4 spend [6] 461/14 552/19 552/21 552/22 Steve [16] 318/19 sitting [3] 381/17 388/7 603/18 609/3 609/9 544/16 556/12 556/17 553/10 554/14 557/10 372/3 372/12 373/3 571/16 609/10 614/10 565/21 596/20 557/12 558/8 558/16 374/12 399/14 414/22 situation [6] 350/20 somehow [1] 492/5 spent [2] 460/8 460/9 559/4 560/10 562/4 420/18 420/19 438/3 361/6 400/15 445/13 someone [4] 362/23 Sperber [3] 502/21 562/9 562/9 562/13 514/19 574/16 575/5 445/23 447/18 524/19 605/22 562/20 563/2 564/15 575/12 575/23 598/13 363/3 584/6 598/19 six [4] 335/20 343/22 something [30] 357/5 564/20 567/1 568/11 Stick [1] 545/23 spoke [9] 366/12 395/16 430/4 361/19 373/21 409/3 369/10 369/20 370/18 572/5 572/7 572/9 sticking [1] 410/18 sizable [1] 442/1 443/3 457/6 457/15 416/13 476/19 485/17 572/22 573/11 573/12 still [17] 351/20 390/13 Skaggs [39] 318/16 417/15 427/3 457/5 468/5 505/4 511/1 574/20 575/18 573/20 574/1 574/6 318/17 319/7 319/9 528/18 536/3 542/16 spoken [1] 513/14 575/16 576/5 576/9 488/14 512/21 513/6 320/24 321/4 322/21 563/7 563/12 564/6 stage [2] 552/21 576/12 576/24 577/15 515/10 515/12 515/14 334/6 334/8 345/21 577/19 578/3 578/13 566/15 571/3 573/17 552/22 562/2 572/23 588/17 349/17 353/17 357/8 585/19 587/4 593/10 579/9 579/13 580/11 596/2 596/3 613/24 **stages [1]** 507/15 357/24 362/8 364/13 593/16 594/20 606/14 stakeholders [3] 454/4 580/13 580/18 580/24 stipulate [2] 388/13 366/5 375/10 375/16 606/17 608/10 608/17 454/5 454/13 585/4 586/7 586/24 413/22 375/22 402/8 402/16 609/17 609/21 stamp [2] 335/3 356/19 587/24 589/2 590/1 stipulated [3] 398/2 403/8 403/18 407/19 stand [4] 433/20 Sometimes [1] 525/18 590/5 590/9 590/12 464/4 467/9 414/24 415/1 426/7 somewhat [1] 609/19 451/14 500/13 530/9 590/22 591/13 592/14 stipulation [5] 468/4 428/11 428/21 429/22 standing [1] 346/24 592/18 592/22 594/20 540/9 598/3 598/24 somewhere [2] 525/19 466/19 467/20 468/15 594/23 598/17 600/19 606/21 standstill [209] 321/12 600/2 556/5 576/2 597/8 sort [9] 405/10 413/10 321/19 321/22 322/2 611/5 stipulations [2] 596/23 597/13 598/19 324/13 325/2 325/7 standstill's [1] 560/19 597/1 414/21 449/18 451/1 ski [1] 537/22 455/16 494/11 600/1 325/19 326/2 327/13 standstills [23] 333/2 stir [1] 352/24 skip [3] 322/22 555/18 613/10 328/3 329/10 329/14 333/4 333/7 354/12 stock [30] 321/3 323/1 584/11 sought [8] 412/5 527/9 329/21 333/2 333/14 354/20 355/2 355/3 359/14 359/15 360/2 skis [1] 537/23 355/7 357/7 382/22 565/6 565/7 565/8 333/14 351/8 354/3 361/3 361/16 419/14 slate [1] 435/18 587/18 594/13 596/1 354/7 355/22 356/10 383/6 399/8 409/20 421/20 421/21 421/24 Slide [2] 445/12 445/19 423/13 424/5 424/11 Sound [2] 458/22 357/20 357/20 374/10 416/22 417/7 418/4 slides [1] 445/10 381/9 381/24 382/6 450/3 452/12 452/15 464/8 418/6 418/9 507/8 slightly [2] 440/7 Sounds [1] 389/3 382/11 382/13 383/11 539/22 552/2 612/14 456/12 476/13 504/13 457/22 speak [8] 362/24 388/4 383/15 383/21 384/21 612/21 504/24 505/4 510/6 small [1] 449/19 410/2 512/19 576/3 385/3 385/8 385/12 Stargatt [1] 317/13 529/4 529/9 529/13 smaller [1] 502/14 580/20 590/10 590/14 388/1 388/8 390/18 start [9] 323/24 340/11 529/24 530/2 531/9 **SMITH [68]** 318/6 speaking [4] 506/12 392/3 392/9 392/16 347/19 484/2 485/11 574/10 344/12 367/24 370/13 514/15 514/15 583/18 392/22 393/24 394/8 517/24 523/22 540/16 stockholder [3] 390/19 372/3 372/12 373/3 special [3] 439/4 444/2 395/1 400/10 400/12 597/6 392/4 392/16 375/10 375/17 375/22 593/23 401/11 403/2 403/22 started [1] 607/3 stocks [1] 442/14 377/8 378/1 380/10 specific [19] 333/9 404/7 404/12 404/13 starting [8] 389/8 stop [1] 566/4 399/14 401/17 401/21 342/21 343/20 360/17 404/14 406/6 406/11 391/23 403/15 410/20 story [1] 454/23 402/3 414/15 414/22 361/18 409/6 423/19 406/23 407/13 408/1 428/5 429/3 430/5 Straight [1] 349/2 414/22 414/23 420/18 429/24 431/21 456/7 408/24 409/2 409/14 522/17 strange [1] 610/5 420/19 430/23 433/13 strategic [8] 319/1 472/6 492/1 511/21 410/2 410/5 410/14 starts [7] 327/5 334/5 438/3 503/22 508/1 513/15 513/20 536/7 410/15 411/6 411/9 383/16 386/18 388/18 319/12 330/24 336/1 508/7 514/16 514/19 544/10 590/4 596/24 411/10 413/1 416/14 391/16 419/24 394/18 436/14 457/9

S	35
	37
strategic [1] 464/22	37
strategy [4] 460/13 460/20 497/3 497/17	38
	38
Street [13] 316/10	(A-5) (A-5)
316/21 350/1 350/4	38
421/16 428/12 453/9	39
479/21 481/8 481/20	39
484/8 527/19 528/11	39
	40
stretch [2] 448/10	41
457/10	60
strike [1] 361/19	60
strong [2] 449/16	60
555/14	
strongest [2] 461/12	su
461/12	su
strongly [1] 448/3	su
structured [1] 553/12	55
	su
struggling [2] 350/16	su
350/18	38
studied [1] 495/10	su
stuff [2] 499/12 595/14	44
subject [8] 351/7 351/8	46
444/10 446/12 448/24	6336
452/15 468/18 504/14	55
subscription [14]	55
450/8 450/10 450/13	56
450/15 450/21 451/8	56
[- 김 영영, 12 (1) 전략, 그리아 김영영, 12 전략 12 (1) TO	56
453/1 456/2 456/6	56
456/9 457/14 457/19	Su
457/21 458/5	su
subsequent [2] 382/20	46
447/14	
subsequently [1]	su
345/19	43
substance [3] 319/15	55
413/14 432/2	su
substances [1] 405/11	45
	46
substantially [2] 587/5	su
588/5	60
substantively [1]	su
588/19	46
successful [2] 441/24	46
475/4	520
such [14] 339/2 347/20	su
419/6 419/12 427/5	su
430/23 431/4 442/12	48
504/12 534/22 563/2	su
567/3 594/9 594/9	Su
Sucharow [1] 317/3	53
suggest [3] 353/20	su
	31
555/20 586/15	34
suggested [4] 522/2	37
526/4 602/17 605/4	38
suggesting [1] 405/6	39
suggests [3] 555/12	
556/2 556/11	40
Suite [1] 316/21	41
suitors [1] 521/11	43
SULLIVAN [65] 317/2	46
321/10 326/8 327/17	51
	51
329/8 330/10 330/14	54
331/17 331/19 331/24	55
335/13 336/22 336/23	58
340/7 342/3 342/8	58
343/15 344/10 351/21	1633/2-
352/10 355/21 355/24	59
356/13 356/17 356/22	61
	su

```
58/23 360/16 367/14
                        surplusage [1] 595/6
  74/5 374/14 378/17
                        surprise [2] 361/12
  78/20 379/18 379/22
                        424/13
  82/12 382/21 383/2
                        surprised [3] 350/11
  83/5 385/7 385/13
  89/9 389/14 390/6
  90/16 390/24 391/4
  92/8 392/13 393/5
  95/4 397/10 400/11
  04/2 412/13 416/20
  16/24 430/10 430/20
  06/15 607/1 607/5
  07/6 607/8 609/10
  09/13
  m [1] 573/10
  mmarize [1] 364/19
  mmarized [1]
  50/23
  mmarizes [1] 558/19
  mmarizing [2]
  82/22 582/16
  mmary [24] 367/20
  45/14 447/19 461/2
  61/20 497/7 557/11
  57/14 557/19 558/7
  58/11 558/22 560/13
  60/21 567/21 568/1
  68/4 568/9 568/14
  68/16 569/20 569/21
  69/24 571/4
  unday [1] 348/17
  perior [3] 459/6
  62/7 568/10
  pport [5] 390/1
  36/7 495/3 551/14
  55/10
  pported [5] 436/12
  55/3 464/18 465/5
  35/10
  pporting [2] 466/3
  02/22
  pportive [5] 453/6
  66/20 467/21 468/16
  68/23
  pports [1] 497/13
  pposed [3] 482/18
  32/19 484/11
  pposition [1] 325/1
  ipreme [2] 532/11
  32/13
  re [50] 319/14
  19/19 332/10 335/7
  43/20 356/21 358/7
  71/9 371/11 380/12
  88/16 395/17 398/3
  99/8 399/20 405/8
  05/17 409/11 416/17
  19/22 427/21 431/10
  35/11 443/16 467/3
  67/5 486/2 495/21
  12/11 513/14 514/13
  15/9 523/22 532/22
  42/12 544/13 551/7
  52/11 570/16 579/1
  83/20 588/11 588/13
  89/1 589/12 591/9
  91/22 594/4 596/7
613/2
surmise [1] 607/14
```

```
361/20 516/13
survives [2] 471/13
472/2
suspect [1] 348/8
sustain [4] 533/19
534/8 534/10 534/11
SV [1] 473/22
sworn [1] 381/18
synergies [1] 485/24
tab [7] 320/8 397/22
406/1 417/24 464/2
464/3 467/6
table [2] 354/23 364/24
tabs [3] 377/13 377/13
377/14
tactic [1] 422/23
tactics [1] 350/9
take [72] 324/7 327/21
333/13 339/4 340/14
340/21 343/5 351/1
353/22 356/7 358/5
360/11 367/5 375/6
383/19 386/11 386/13
388/12 390/24 393/8
393/22 394/19 394/23
395/14 395/22 406/19
414/10 419/2 420/8
442/1 449/20 470/1
474/3 485/10 490/5
501/24 507/11 509/19
510/20 524/8 524/9
525/14 536/12 540/22
546/23 547/18 548/3
548/4 550/1 552/1
554/12 557/14 560/21
565/18 565/18 575/1
577/24 578/11 580/7
581/10 582/19 584/19
592/20 602/11 603/11
604/23 611/13 611/18
612/2 612/24 613/1
614/1
taken [11] 327/23
376/10 419/3 437/15
451/16 473/3 526/3
526/4 530/10 582/13
613/8
takes [1] 328/7
takes' [1] 480/16
taking [5] 378/18 419/2
518/8 539/1 579/4
talk [23] 320/13 321/6
325/24 345/4 350/22
364/9 371/20 372/1
383/14 398/11 465/15
472/22 515/3 542/20
545/6 553/19 561/20
591/8 600/21 603/3
603/24 604/22 614/21
talked [19] 321/18
335/10 342/14 345/2
345/3 352/16 365/16
365/17 371/6 485/20
```

487/2 487/3 511/8 549/24 567/14 567/16 577/4 587/9 593/4 talking [17] 318/13 333/12 360/15 396/18 406/16 425/4 426/11 443/17 452/9 461/15 464/7 502/15 514/19 531/7 546/3 572/14 586/12 talks [5] 326/2 421/17 429/17 432/18 558/22 target [7] 392/1 471/5 505/22 506/3 506/15 508/20 512/20 targeted [3] 482/23 483/1 533/7 Taurus [20] 325/16 325/17 337/17 341/19 341/21 351/21 354/7 359/7 362/16 411/4 411/4 445/15 445/21 480/17 480/22 518/17 518/18 522/23 566/2 568/9 Taurus' [1] 324/6 **Taylor [1]** 317/13 TC [6] 317/16 434/23 434/24 435/3 478/13 501/11 TC Energy's [1] 478/13 team [33] 331/17 342/3 342/8 342/11 344/10 347/10 352/15 355/22 355/24 373/2 437/14 438/16 465/24 466/20 480/15 480/16 481/7 484/7 485/1 491/7 499/11 503/8 503/9 503/17 517/11 527/8 536/12 538/5 538/7 544/21 553/22 556/23 571/17 team's [1] 420/13 teams [2] 517/14 524/5 technical [1] 437/1 telephone [2] 435/16 592/6 telephonic [2] 386/19 428/8 tell [34] 332/22 338/2 343/8 354/18 369/7 371/2 402/15 434/6 439/22 453/18 456/18 467/1 483/17 483/19 500/24 501/6 516/9 523/19 524/1 527/14 531/21 537/2 537/14 537/18 547/1 547/24 562/2 571/3 576/2 578/9 578/20 584/17 607/3 613/16 telling [4] 469/8 535/14 535/18 578/17 tells [1] 428/11 ten [1] 501/17 tender [1] 550/21 452/4 452/5 458/7 tense [1] 552/9 term [11] 384/3 384/5 459/18 464/9 470/7

384/11 384/23 388/8 413/13 448/13 505/2 551/16 583/7 598/17 terminate [3] 398/19 399/4 536/1 terminated [10] 400/23 415/20 422/16 425/19 493/1 493/18 531/24 533/13 535/9 535/16 termination [3] 423/17 494/8 536/4 terminology [3] 570/20 570/21 570/24 terms [24] 321/22 327/12 369/21 381/13 384/22 404/13 406/5 410/2 422/20 438/21 449/1 458/14 472/6 508/22 520/5 524/7 525/20 526/16 527/8 529/3 552/8 577/14 585/3 590/9 terrific [1] 544/11 test [1] 512/13 tested [1] 542/4 testified [20] 318/7 378/1 385/5 385/6 386/3 390/6 398/12 399/7 402/7 403/5 421/7 434/2 481/11 481/21 482/5 490/17 499/7 500/17 549/11 549/21 testimony [26] 379/13 381/18 382/9 384/4 390/9 394/14 406/15 406/21 407/16 411/14 412/16 412/22 413/2 418/3 425/1 425/24 426/15 432/8 432/19 432/23 475/4 480/8 532/15 534/19 552/15 552/20 text [32] 370/12 370/23 371/23 371/23 414/13 415/3 419/18 453/12 453/13 453/20 453/21 453/23 485/5 485/13 487/24 488/23 489/3 489/18 489/23 490/11 490/15 490/20 490/23 491/3 491/4 491/13 491/17 491/23 492/4 492/21 526/4 551/13 texted [3] 370/16 486/10 489/7 texts [3] 414/17 415/22 486/6 thank [48] 318/2 318/2 318/4 320/10 338/16 338/24 343/3 358/9 362/5 362/11 377/3 377/22 385/21 387/23 395/21 398/8 400/4 400/20 415/23 425/22 426/24 433/13 433/15 440/21 441/10 451/10

Ĭ	F74 /4 4 F70 /00 F00 /0	t FOT 000/0
T	571/14 579/20 589/3	tomorrow [6] 328/6
thank [16] 483/11	591/8 591/15	352/18 353/8 485/21
484/1 484/4 496/23	thoughts [4] 415/8	603/14 615/11
500/5 500/8 500/10	553/22 554/4 557/3	tone [1] 368/18
514/3 528/7 530/7	threat [2] 361/21 573/3	too [2] 457/17 490/9
	threatening [4] 565/15	took [15] 438/2 443/17
531/4 540/4 544/11	566/3 566/21 572/19	452/22 459/2 463/20
575/24 581/5 594/8	three [20] 331/7 331/9	469/19 493/9 495/21
Thanks [3] 327/9	331/10 334/4 346/16	514/8 522/9 529/12
578/10 603/18	346/16 364/14 389/8	
Thanks I [1] 603/18		532/2 575/19 592/8
their [44] 319/14	393/19 418/9 448/12	613/5
319/15 332/11 332/14	457/1 471/2 501/10	top [25] 321/5 332/22
335/9 348/1 367/22	509/21 539/5 567/21	334/2 334/20 340/6
372/11 375/12 381/22	594/15 595/19 600/14	341/24 344/10 349/24
406/16 409/23 416/21	three-page [1] 393/19	352/13 355/21 366/3
1997-1997 (1997-1997)	threshold [2] 512/10	366/24 370/11 370/12
417/6 423/12 435/5	512/24	374/8 391/16 404/22
435/6 437/11 480/13	through [35] 342/12	459/20 461/1 485/11
487/7 488/14 501/12	353/10 358/2 358/6	521/24 527/5 559/10
503/17 508/9 510/6	361/13 370/1 381/6	605/16 612/3
510/17 519/10 524/15		
524/20 525/10 531/21	388/14 393/18 393/23	topic [1] 416/12
537/5 538/11 541/7	396/15 415/6 415/7	topics [2] 335/18
568/10 572/2 585/5	437/8 472/14 473/22	542/19
585/10 585/20 606/7	474/20 486/3 488/21	Toronto [9] 359/3
	506/17 508/18 508/19	421/20 424/4 434/16
608/6 609/1 609/5	521/18 528/11 548/9	488/5 529/4 529/8
610/1	548/16 553/10 554/17	529/12 531/8
themselves [1] 539/18	557/20 561/3 572/7	touch [2] 341/6 575/4
then-trading [1]	584/1 597/3 606/1	toward [1] 375/11
450/17	611/9	
thereabouts [1] 492/17		towards [3] 391/16
therefore [2] 448/6	throughout [6] 329/7	555/7 556/7
451/3	458/11 465/17 502/17	tracks [1] 415/17
thesis [1] 325/1	511/9 544/3	trade [2] 452/20 455/16
they'd [1] 595/18	thus [1] 528/20	traded [1] 537/9
they u [1] 393/16	tightly [1] 368/14	trading [11] 359/7
they'll [1] 349/2	tilde [1] 599/6	359/13 359/16 359/18
they're [3] 352/4 513/5	tilt [1] 375/10	421/20 450/17 455/15
541/21	Tim [3] 348/10 349/1	529/14 529/21 530/2
thing [11] 322/17	421/13	536/6
355/14 355/15 360/19		TO SERVICE A COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR
396/22 493/10 550/11	timeline [10] 415/13	transact [4] 535/1
578/2 588/22 591/23	416/18 422/7 428/22	564/23 573/24 584/15
595/20	432/3 432/9 472/10	transacting [3] 512/21
things [16] 350/3 357/9	479/16 555/6 555/7	513/6 588/17
383/21 401/15 415/17	timelines [1] 553/19	transaction [68] 320/6
	times [3] 508/18 511/9	321/4 328/16 334/12
501/20 502/2 510/18	511/9	336/17 337/12 337/14
515/7 515/8 520/21	timing [11] 343/20	339/11 363/19 408/19
540/20 571/2 577/4	346/11 357/5 367/24	410/7 419/3 422/4
589/6 610/7	369/11 399/17 399/21	422/20 437/6 437/9
thinking [6] 361/13	427/22 428/6 432/18	437/14 437/17 438/5
485/17 521/7 556/12		
556/18 561/19	492/9	438/18 438/19 440/20
thinks [1] 570/7	tires [1] 588/16	442/3 442/24 448/11
third [15] 325/9 333/1	today [8] 324/8 381/17	448/16 449/6 454/8
334/19 334/20 356/3	388/8 445/2 485/8	454/12 456/24 457/2
373/13 391/17 422/4	488/2 543/7 575/6	457/4 458/21 459/4
	together [6] 348/21	461/10 462/14 471/8
428/4 428/13 429/2	397/19 427/4 466/2	475/5 480/2 481/13
452/23 471/8 518/12	548/5 553/8	481/15 481/18 482/10
612/6	told [27] 322/1 343/15	492/6 494/12 502/15
this [473]	352/17 371/19 372/7	502/17 503/9 504/9
THOMAS [1] 317/6	401/21 422/12 423/15	505/10 511/10 517/12
though [4] 323/15	[2] 2 시간 사람이 있었다는데 이익 등 사람이 되었다. 그런 바다 이번 모든 사람이 되는 사람이 있다면 하지만 하다.	
333/2 586/12 595/1	424/3 424/15 425/15	522/20 522/24 523/1
thought [21] 358/2	430/16 480/14 533/4	536/3 536/17 543/1
364/6 373/22 380/15	533/4 533/10 533/11	544/3 549/18 551/23
417/5 424/24 451/2	533/15 534/21 551/10	552/7 552/17 553/5
474/2 487/6 492/4	559/3 565/9 572/2	560/1 560/4 560/16
- MAR. HART MARKANAN - MARAMAN. M	573/7 579/12 598/19	596/6
516/6 534/9 534/14	615/4	transactions [7]
539/23 539/24 570/17	Tom [1] 377/9	441/15 441/19 459/9

553/1 TransCanada [268] 318/18 321/1 321/8 321/11 322/20 329/8 329/9 329/13 329/20 333/23 334/9 334/13 334/22 336/17 340/24 345/2 345/18 345/20 346/6 346/9 347/15 347/23 349/7 349/19 350/10 354/13 354/21 355/9 356/20 359/13 359/17 360/5 360/14 360/21 361/10 364/24 365/5 368/12 369/14 373/1 375/11 379/14 380/3 381/11 382/2 382/23 383/22 384/16 385/15 387/2 387/2 387/5 387/6 389/16 389/17 391/15 392/10 397/17 398/15 400/6 400/22 401/5 401/21 402/3 402/8 402/11 402/16 403/7 404/14 407/14 410/22 411/10 412/14 412/20 412/24 413/17 414/7 416/4 416/8 417/8 417/15 419/5 419/10 419/17 421/16 421/20 421/21 5/16 422/2 422/8 422/12 422/14 423/8 423/15 423/15 424/22 425/8 425/15 425/17 426/18 427/11 431/3 431/13 432/6 435/4 435/8 436/8 436/11 436/15 436/17 436/24 438/12 439/4 440/3 440/24 442/7 442/12 443/8 444/3 445/16 445/22 446/2 446/15 446/21 448/22 450/2 450/10 450/17 452/20 454/16 455/11 455/14 455/16 455/19 457/16 459/6 461/21 462/6 462/19 463/2 464/12 464/17 464/22 465/2 465/12 465/24 466/8 468/7 468/23 469/6 469/9 470/1 471/10 471/11 471/21 471/22 471/23 471/24 472/8 472/16 472/18 475/9 475/10 476/6 476/9 476/11 477/2 477/5 478/20 479/4 479/10 479/19 480/2 480/9 481/9 482/14 483/1 484/9 484/18 485/1 486/16 486/22 487/4 487/13 487/18 487/22 490/11 491/18 491/20 492/12 492/23 493/15 497/1 497/9 497/19 498/13 498/17 499/5 499/23

482/2 502/6 535/9

501/11 501/15 502/11 503/2 503/17 503/24 505/13 505/21 506/13 507/3 507/11 508/14 514/5 517/3 517/13 518/7 520/8 520/24 524/9 527/3 527/14 527/15 529/16 530/2 531/20 531/22 532/3 533/10 533/12 535/22 537/19 540/19 542/23 544/2 544/6 544/21 547/4 555/12 555/14 555/21 557/4 557/20 562/2 564/18 564/23 565/14 566/9 567/2 572/18 574/7 574/8 574/12 575/15 579/8 584/14 587/17 587/18 590/6 591/10 595/24 597/21 597/23 598/20 599/3 599/13 604/23 605/3 606/20 612/13 612/21 TransCanada's [42]

318/21 335/24 346/1 351/2 356/20 414/11 424/3 432/14 436/18 437/6 439/11 442/20 445/24 452/22 453/3 453/5 454/3 454/23 456/24 461/5 464/18 466/19 467/20 468/15 469/16 469/20 469/24 477/18 481/1 481/7 481/21 481/24 484/7 484/22 496/21 522/7 543/3 544/6 592/22 598/14 599/2 612/15 transcanada.com [1] 517/10

transferring [1] 596/4 transmission [2] 352/22 436/16 transmittal [1] 355/23 transparent [1] 389/1

transcript [3] 316/16

377/15 381/6

transpired [2] 449/17 495/22 TRAVIS [1] 316/13 treasurer [1] 437/21 treat [1] 443/14

treat [1] 443/14 Trends [1] 470/22 trial [11] 316/16 401/9 402/24 438/2 443/9 443/11 459/10 483/20 543/7 545/20 613/5 tried [2] 412/6 550/11

tried [2] 412/6 550 trigger [2] 413/1 547/17

triggered [1] 523/23 trillions [2] 342/11 409/22

true [46] 379/9 381/9 382/14 383/20 384/15 387/24 395/9 395/13 399/2 399/13 400/5 400/14 401/3 402/1

Т true... [32] 406/22 409/1 410/1 413/16 413/24 416/19 423/7 427/1 427/18 472/4 475/16 479/4 479/9 481/6 484/7 484/17 486/15 486/22 487/2 491/16 492/20 494/5 497/16 546/19 574/4 574/5 574/9 577/7 591/11 591/21 593/18 600/22 Truly [1] 486/4 trust [1] 594/6 truth [3] 443/19 534/14 548/1 try [13] 334/3 353/6 474/21 475/10 481/18 483/5 506/15 506/18 510/17 555/21 569/24 594/14 595/14 trying [22] 340/19 350/17 350/18 352/24 353/3 363/4 371/9 384/16 395/20 407/5 420/9 421/2 422/24 425/11 425/12 432/2 432/20 481/15 532/22 590/12 592/2 610/7 TSX [3] 359/8 359/14 360/2 Tuesday [1] 316/11 Turmoil [1] 480/21 turned [4] 338/8 449/18 486/4 537/24 twice [1] 542/4 two-day [1] 396/21 type [4] 482/19 482/20 483/9 537/12 types [2] 507/8 539/14 typical [1] 594/24 typically [6] 333/3 396/6 437/12 563/12 564/6 609/21

U.S [1] 436/19 **Uh [1]** 567/11 **Uh-huh [1]** 567/11 ultimate [3] 343/19 538/10 559/20 ultimately [10] 379/9 442/2 442/23 448/8 456/17 471/6 473/18 522/11 538/6 608/15 Um [1] 441/3 unaware [1] 597/1 uncommon [1] 459/8 under [45] 321/12 322/2 325/18 328/22 331/21 349/14 363/9 364/12 404/13 411/5 431/6 439/19 447/3 460/13 470/22 471/13 472/1 475/24 503/18 504/4 508/22 509/3 509/19 516/15 518/19

562/14

580/10

unless [11] 334/12

383/7 509/19 519/11

519/18 524/12 557/21

573/12 573/20 574/10

unnamed [1] 605/6

524/10 529/2 529/3 unquote [1] 563/7 531/19 532/11 535/19 544/7 545/18 547/24 557/9 565/24 568/10 569/5 572/22 573/2 unusual [3] 529/14 574/13 579/9 580/10 590/9 600/19 undergoing [1] 596/2 undergrad [1] 501/3 unwilling [1] 450/4 underline [1] 578/14 understand [35] 332/11 356/6 370/23 update [4] 370/17 378/17 384/4 395/19 397/6 397/20 399/8 updated [1] 319/17 407/7 408/23 412/9 updates [2] 374/8 412/22 414/21 416/17 425/12 427/22 428/6 upper [2] 545/13 431/11 432/17 433/1 519/21 528/22 532/1 upset [1] 361/2 547/4 547/12 551/7 US\$25.50 [1] 495/6 552/11 552/14 553/2 usage [1] 597/19 573/16 603/22 604/3 608/18 612/20 understandable [1] 343/24 understanding [42] 321/17 324/8 329/4 371/8 465/9 498/11 505/15 506/10 507/3 507/12 507/21 508/6 508/21 509/8 512/16 513/9 513/22 514/2 515/13 518/10 523/3 using [11] 430/23 528/12 533/21 539/17 544/9 544/17 546/11 548/13 551/11 552/2 552/15 558/5 559/8 560/10 562/8 562/12 usually [2] 502/23 576/22 579/3 594/17 596/12 596/13 596/21 understands [2] 407/18 560/22 understood [34] 319/14 335/5 379/17 382/7 383/6 390/5 400/20 406/20 407/9 410/9 410/13 422/1 432/24 514/21 516/14 543/18 543/21 544/6 544/12 544/13 559/4 561/19 562/18 562/24 567/12 567/19 572/6 573/6 574/6 579/18 589/24 599/8 599/16 601/3 undertake [3] 334/10 450/11 457/7 underwriters [1] 452/23 unfriendly [8] 547/5 547/14 547/16 548/22 551/6 551/12 552/5

523/9

460/7

546/20

596/8

613/12

599/7

505/18

465/7

550/8 550/12 573/2

583/7 595/18 596/7

used [14] 369/22

393/10 505/3 552/9

563/4 566/13 572/3

572/7 572/9 577/23

579/15 583/7 595/4

570/19 574/7 574/8

609/17 609/19

507/11 510/18

vacation [1] 592/2 vague [1] 461/7 valuation [15] 324/9 335/11 350/16 441/1 441/6 441/12 441/14 441/18 441/23 443/21 447/21 455/6 497/12 505/22 596/3 valuations [2] 440/22 615/2 value [11] 346/23 350/18 353/3 354/17 375/12 390/19 392/4 392/16 407/19 448/13 612/12 van [1] 317/5 Vanaselja [11] 433/20 434/1 463/10 463/16 475/22 481/6 484/1 486/22 490/10 496/18 570/14 VARALLO [3] 317/4 473/7 615/9 Varallo's [1] 463/19

various [8] 379/19

380/2 382/23 399/9

437/23 466/2 507/15 unsolicited [5] 337/14 597/4 339/11 431/5 522/20 verbal [8] 322/21 325/15 411/3 512/10 513/1 518/16 565/10 574/3 unwelcome [3] 506/3 verbally [1] 537/8 verbatim [1] 587/12 versus [1] 460/2 unwritten [1] 607/15 vetted [1] 368/23 upcoming [1] 586/23 viable [1] 567/1 vice [3] 316/13 434/19 445/13 445/23 447/6 469/16 video [17] 381/7 381/15 473/24 474/8 478/1 478/14 496/22 498/4 498/21 499/19 500/3 548/10 549/2 561/5 561/10 563/21 564/8 view [40] 321/4 350/8 use [12] 353/20 413/13 350/13 369/17 369/23 426/1 430/10 496/13 384/14 390/3 411/21 420/13 420/13 431/23 436/12 440/18 441/22 442/1 456/8 457/5 461/8 462/23 471/9 481/13 483/2 491/22 507/21 515/9 518/9 527/5 535/14 548/19 549/11 562/1 562/6 564/17 564/22 564/24 576/11 577/10 581/13 431/4 496/21 551/16 591/4 597/15 viewed [9] 384/4 596/18 598/16 599/5 436/23 454/8 464/21 481/7 484/8 495/17 495/18 563/7 views [2] 437/17 Utica [3] 436/20 465/3 549/20 violate [26] 321/19 327/12 363/1 369/3 406/5 406/10 410/15 411/15 426/16 431/14 431/19 431/23 515/21 516/4 520/5 562/3 562/20 563/1 573/12 573/20 577/13 577/19 579/13 587/23 591/13 607/13 violated [7] 329/9 329/13 329/21 527/3 527/15 592/14 592/18 violates [1] 607/15 violating [6] 321/22 367/10 419/13 504/13 591/2 598/17 violation [6] 369/18 516/7 532/16 578/20 578/21 591/16 violative [5] 518/11 537/12 547/19 576/11 590/20 vividly [1] 537/21 voice [2] 479/5 499/22 voicemail [1] 351/13 volatility [1] 440/10 **VOLUME [1]** 316/16 vote [2] 461/3 541/20

voted [3] 460/6 541/21 541/22 wagons [1] 372/9 wait [3] 578/22 578/22 578/22 waive [26] 326/3 326/4 326/11 326/11 354/11 354/20 355/3 355/17 383/11 410/10 411/10 411/11 411/16 412/5 418/8 506/20 526/9 543/22 558/20 559/1 589/15 590/1 590/21 591/3 591/17 612/13 waived [7] 355/8 355/16 417/12 418/3 418/5 573/12 612/21 waiver [9] 326/19 333/14 355/22 410/14 412/5 519/13 526/17 558/24 588/1 waives [1] 356/9 waiving [5] 355/1 357/7 357/20 416/13 611/4 walk [3] 348/3 474/21 554/17 walked [1] 458/15 walking [1] 487/5 Wall [11] 350/1 350/4 421/16 428/12 453/9 479/21 481/8 481/20 484/8 527/19 528/11 wanted [35] 328/21 332/14 335/7 341/5 342/10 352/6 363/1 370/2 371/11 372/4 372/7 378/13 389/2 426/16 427/5 431/12 431/22 442/18 449/6 459/7 475/4 518/9 524/15 528/11 532/22 571/1 580/6 589/11 589/12 591/10 596/15 599/14 604/23 610/18 612/22 wanted ... due [1] 599/14 wanting [2] 362/21 488/17 wants [5] 328/17 407/18 408/20 496/10 613/1 warranted [1] 413/15 watched [1] 463/21 watching [1] 329/17 way [37] 320/4 322/12 325/24 329/7 329/21 339/19 344/19 353/3 362/22 363/3 365/16 369/7 369/13 396/6

548/17 569/15 570/3

582/3 590/20 596/16

407/11 420/18 449/7 476/14 483/19 483/22 491/17 492/2 507/10 511/2 526/17 547/10

W way... [5] 596/19 597/20 600/17 604/8 610/4 ways [1] 595/19 we'll [12] 320/13 340/3 349/24 394/4 451/14 451/14 492/19 554/17 603/9 612/24 615/11 615/11 we've [11] 354/24 354/24 361/10 392/21 395/8 398/23 448/10 566/19 566/20 613/5 615/10 Webster [3] 593/21 594/5 594/12 wed [2] 415/7 555/11 week [3] 318/22 351/22 352/4 weekend [3] 347/11 537/22 538/2 weeks [4] 352/6 535/9 567/22 571/4 weighed [1] 335/16 weighting [1] 457/18 WEINBERGER [1] 317/2 weirdness [1] 357/10 Welcome [4] 317/19 377/2 452/3 531/2 Wells [19] 348/15 370/18 371/4 432/9 432/14 432/14 432/18 433/1 480/1 489/19 490/24 517/3 517/14 597/21 599/20 599/24 613/21 614/5 614/8 went [13] 358/2 360/9 363/10 363/13 364/4 365/11 370/18 394/3 473/7 481/21 485/22 486/11 580/24 weren't [19] 347/24 350/5 371/10 381/12 446/10 519/6 520/16 521/10 524/8 558/9 578/4 583/2 583/18 583/23 584/3 588/13 588/15 591/3 591/17 West [1] 436/4 Western [1] 465/13 what's [25] 338/15 349/14 364/15 444/18 453/11 454/14 458/24 460/1 503/20 505/15 506/5 509/10 511/23 512/16 512/22 517/18 521/20 523/6 523/15 526/18 531/11 531/16 536/19 549/6 610/5 whatever [7] 357/1 358/19 369/21 376/3 537/10 541/12 612/24 whatsoever [2] 463/1 when [62] 318/12

319/20 326/1 329/3

330/24 332/22 335/19 353/7 353/22 358/1 367/17 368/14 372/16 374/18 382/17 383/17 384/21 390/15 391/11 400/22 403/12 407/16 407/17 411/20 415/20 417/7 461/17 476/10 477/9 477/13 481/20 482/9 482/17 487/3 490/20 499/12 506/21 506/24 511/22 514/8 519/8 520/11 547/23 552/24 554/10 557/6 565/20 566/17 579/15 587/21 589/14 589/20 591/21 591/24 592/8 592/10 595/2 595/23 596/1 598/13 602/14 605/3 whether [48] 321/11 321/23 326/14 326/14 328/16 328/24 336/2 336/9 360/14 365/14 383/11 384/6 390/2 406/13 408/19 418/24 420/23 423/14 462/5 462/12 463/1 491/17 494/7 513/5 513/18 513/19 513/21 539/9 539/19 549/10 549/20 565/5 566/2 566/12 566/20 568/15 570/14 572/4 572/18 583/21 597/3 601/15 602/21 604/9 604/10 613/20 613/24 614/22 whichever [1] 439/1 while [13] 323/14 324/8 361/2 392/19 429/8 457/16 457/22 501/8 540/5 591/8 593/4 602/6 606/4 whoever [1] 614/7 whole [5] 332/13 354/16 550/10 551/2 591/23 whose [1] 606/16 wife [1] 593/21 Williams [2] 316/9 316/20 willing [4] 334/10 450/2 458/12 584/15 willingness [1] 447/23 Wills [2] 464/6 545/4 Wilmington [2] 316/10 316/21 winded [1] 458/6 wish [3] 471/9 546/17 594/1 withdrew [1] 614/6 within [6] 329/18 422/14 425/18 449/11 493/16 544/19 without [19] 321/21 351/10 354/21 363/4 364/18 376/1 381/11 404/15 419/6 451/7

457/19 473/13 509/22

witness [17] 318/3 376/7 377/11 393/18 433/18 443/9 443/11 443/17 464/1 496/11 500/11 500/15 532/7 532/8 533/2 533/3 540/9 witness's [1] 338/16 **WITNESSES [1]** 616/2 won't [3] 373/17 384/20 488/6 wonderful [1] 569/6 word [25] 385/19 387/24 392/22 393/23 394/8 394/10 405/1 405/11 405/18 406/23 490/5 563/4 569/6 569/7 577/22 581/11 583/20 594/17 595/18 595/19 595/21 596/7 596/8 597/13 597/19 worded [1] 462/16 wording [1] 462/19 words [22] 328/3 334/21 360/15 364/2 364/3 369/22 383/10 408/1 408/8 446/9 495/19 497/23 536/5 552/16 558/12 558/13 560/12 560/16 578/12 580/20 580/23 593/22 work [15] 356/14 380/20 434/12 434/14 448/15 475/11 485/24 501/7 501/22 505/23 528/3 567/5 582/3 600/23 607/3 worked [12] 409/20 427/22 434/15 457/2 501/8 502/11 502/20 503/5 503/7 503/9 520/21 607/9 working [8] 338/8 347/19 359/10 362/12 430/18 448/11 516/23 553/8 world [1] 592/1 worried [1] 488/22 worries [1] 483/13 worry [1] 536/12 wouldn't [15] 379/4 387/11 393/17 395/15 424/18 431/14 431/19 516/4 547/17 562/3 569/22 577/18 591/5 607/20 608/16 Wow [2] 485/22 486/11 wrap [1] 379/8 write [7] 406/2 557/18 577/16 579/11 580/5 595/10 595/14 writes [5] 327/20 488/2 528/16 577/11 577/18 writing [15] 341/18 383/8 409/9 490/18 518/21 522/5 532/10 553/21 557/22 559/11

522/5 536/16 563/2

567/3 568/10 612/15

559/16 562/21 585/19 603/12 609/22 written [39] 325/16 337/13 339/7 339/11 363/21 383/22 386/24 387/5 388/7 401/4 402/2 402/15 404/15 404/19 409/17 411/3 419/7 419/11 423/16 424/15 424/16 491/23 504/11 509/19 509/22 518/17 519/2 520/8 520/14 522/2 522/10 522/20 576/22 585/5 586/8 587/17 590/6 605/8 610/23 wrong [9] 358/1 534/12 561/6 561/16 561/17 572/23 578/10 580/17 609/8 wrote [9] 490/15 490/20 490/23 491/3 491/12 491/17 492/4 580/24 608/2 X-6 [1] 490/8 years [6] 343/22 395/16 501/10 501/17 546/16 590/14 Yep [1] 614/13 yes-or-no [1] 483/4 yesterday [4] 318/12 475/15 476/17 592/9 yet [5] 327/24 349/19 365/8 371/10 537/9 yield [1] 441/17 YOCH [1] 317/12

York [4] 317/7 361/16 421/24 424/11 you'd [4] 555/18 574/23 600/15 611/15 you'll [12] 386/17 386/24 430/5 470/15 470/16 474/19 475/7 555/7 582/2 582/9 598/4 601/21 you-all [1] 351/1 Young [1] 317/13 yours [2] 496/13 498/6 yourself [3] 344/20 364/20 367/6

Zhang [1] 331/16 zone [1] 592/2 **Zoom [2]** 540/13 592/10