Seattle, WA 98104 TEL 206.621.6566 FAX 206.621.9686

### STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT

This Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement (the "Stipulation"), dated October 28, 2015, is made and entered into by and among the following parties, each by and through their respective undersigned counsel of record: (i) plaintiffs in the above-captioned consolidated shareholder derivative action (the "Action"), Kim Barovic ("Barovic") and Stephen DiPhilipo ("DiPhilipo") (together, the "Plaintiffs"), derivatively on behalf of nominal defendant Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft" or the "Company" or the "Nominal Defendant"); (ii) Nominal Defendant, Microsoft; and (iii) defendants Steven A. Ballmer ("Ballmer"), Dina D. Dublon ("Dublon"), William H. Gates III ("Gates"), Maria M. Klawe ("Klawe"), Stephen J. Luczo ("Luczo"), David F. Marquardt ("Marquardt"), Charles H. Noski ("Noski"), Helmut Panke ("Panke"), John W. Thompson ("Thompson"), Peter S. Klein ("Klein"), Brad Smith ("Smith"), and B. Kevin Turner ("Turner"), all of whom are current or former members of the Board of Directors (the "Board") of Microsoft and/or senior officers of Microsoft (collectively, the "Individual Defendants"; together with Microsoft, the "Defendants"; and together with Plaintiffs, the "Parties"). <sup>1</sup>

Subject to the approval of the Court, this Stipulation is intended by the Parties to fully, finally, and forever compromise, resolve, discharge, and settle the Plaintiffs' Released Claims upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein.

### I. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

### 1. Factual Background

Beginning around 2007, the European Commission ("EC") raised antitrust concerns regarding Microsoft's inclusion of Internet Explorer (and not other internet web browsers) with Microsoft's Windows operating system. In December 2009, Microsoft resolved these

27 | STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF 28 | SETTLEMENT

SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC

All terms with initial capitalization not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in Section IV.1 herein.

5

789

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC

antitrust concerns by agreeing to certain commitments to the EC (the "Commitments"), under which Microsoft agreed to deliver a Brower Choice Screen ("BCS") to personal computers operating Windows in the European Economic Area ("EEA") for a period of five years. Under the terms of the Commitments, Microsoft became directly responsible for reporting to the EC annually on the implementation of the Commitments over the next five years.

Microsoft failed to comply with the Commitments when in connection with its release of Windows 7 Service Pack 1 ("SP1") in February 2011, a technical error and miscommunication resulted in a failure to install the BCS on approximately 15.3 million computers in the EEA. In June 2012, the EC staff notified Microsoft that it had received reports from a third-party that the BCS was not being displayed on certain new Windows computers purchased in the EEA. Microsoft quickly remedied the failure. On March 6, 2013, the EC announced that it had fined Microsoft €61 million, or approximately \$732.2 million, for failing to comply with the Commitments.

### 2. Procedural Background

As a result of the above events, on March 21, 2013 and March 22, 2013, respectively, DiPhilipo and Barovic issued separate demands on the Board to investigate and commence an action against certain current and/or former directors and executive officers of the Company. Plaintiffs' demands were substantially identical and were reviewed by a Demand Review Committee (the "DRC") consisting of Individual Defendants Luczo and Dublon. Based on the recommendation of the DRC, assisted by independent counsel, the Board of Directors refused their respective demands by letters dated January 28, 2014.

Plaintiffs initiated the Action in this Court on behalf of Microsoft on April 11, 2014. Plaintiffs alleged that the Demands were wrongfully refused and asserted claims under Washington law on behalf of the Company against Defendants for breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, abuse of control, and gross mismanagement. The Company and the Individual Defendants subsequently moved to dismiss the Action, both under Fed. R. Civ. P.

BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC

23.1 ("Rule 23.1") and under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). On December 10, 2014, the Court issued an order denying Microsoft's and the Individual Defendants' motions to dismiss Plaintiffs' complaint.

On May 1, 2015 Microsoft filed a Motion for Summary Judgment (the "SJ Motion"). Plaintiffs have opposed the SJ Motion which remains pending before the Court.

# II. PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL'S INVESTIGATION AND RESEARCH, PLAINTIFFS' CLAIMS, AND THE BENEFITS OF SETTLEMENT

Plaintiffs' Counsel conducted an extensive investigation relating to the claims and the underlying events alleged in the Action including, but not limited to: (i) inspecting, analyzing, and reviewing Microsoft's public filings with the SEC, press releases, announcements, transcripts of investor conference calls, and news articles; (ii) drafting and filing the various complaints and other pleadings in the Action; (iii) researching the applicable law with respect to the claims asserted in the Action and the potential defenses thereto; (iv) researching corporate governance issues; (v) reviewing discovery; (vi) preparing a detailed settlement demand; and (vii) participating in extensive settlement discussions with counsel for the Defendants.

Plaintiffs' Counsel believes that the claims asserted in the Action have merit and that their investigation supports the claims asserted. Without conceding the merit of any of Defendants' defenses or the lack of merit of any of their own allegations, and solely in order to avoid the potentially protracted time, expense, and uncertainty associated with continued litigation, including potential trial(s) and appeal(s), Plaintiffs have concluded that it is desirable that the Action be fully and finally settled in the manner and upon the terms and conditions set forth in this Stipulation. Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel recognize the significant risk, expense, and length of continued proceedings necessary to prosecute the Action against the Individual Defendants through trial and through possible appeal. Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel also have taken into account the uncertain outcome and the risk of any

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC

1 | lit 2 | in 3 | de 4 | M

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

litigation, especially in complex cases such as the Action, as well as the difficulties and delays inherent in such litigation. Based on their evaluation, Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel have determined that the Settlement is in the best interests of Plaintiffs, Microsoft, and Current Microsoft Shareholders, and have agreed to settle the Action upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein.

### III. DEFENDANTS' DENIAL OF WRONGDOING AND LIABILITY

The Individual Defendants have denied and continue to deny that they have committed or attempted to commit any violations of law, any breach of fiduciary duty owed to Microsoft, or any wrongdoing whatsoever. Each Individual Defendant further asserts that at all material times, he/she has acted in good faith and in a manner he/she reasonably believed to be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. Without admitting the validity of any of the claims Plaintiffs have asserted in the Action, or any liability with respect thereto, Defendants have concluded that it is desirable that the claims be settled on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein. Defendants are entering into this Settlement because it will eliminate the uncertainty, distraction, disruption, burden, risk, and expense of further litigation. Further, Defendants acknowledge that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of Microsoft and Current Microsoft Shareholders.

Neither this Stipulation, nor any of its terms or provisions, nor entry of the Judgment, nor any document or exhibit referred or attached to this Stipulation, nor any action taken to carry out this Stipulation, is or may be construed or used as evidence of the validity of any of Plaintiffs' Released Claims, or as an admission by or against Defendants of any fault, wrongdoing, or concession of liability whatsoever.

### IV. TERMS OF STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT

Plaintiffs (derivatively on behalf of Microsoft), the Individual Defendants, and Nominal Defendant Microsoft, by and through their respective undersigned counsel or attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and agree that, subject to the approval of the Court

27

1	pursuant to Rule 23.1, the Action and the Released Claims shall be finally and fully			
2	compromised, settled, and released, and the Action shall be dismissed with prejudice, as to all			
3	Parties, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth herein as follows:			
4	1.	<u>Definitions</u>		
5	As use	ed in this Stipulation, the following terms have the meaning specified below:		
6	1.1	"Action" means, collectively, the above-captioned consolidated shareholder		
7	derivative acti	ions pending in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Washington,		
8	captioned Bar	vovic v. Ballmer, Lead Case No: 2:14-cv-00540-JCC.		
9	1.2	"Agreed Fee" means the negotiated fee and expense amount set forth in $\P 5.1$		
10	below for whi	ch Plaintiffs' Counsel will seek court approval and which Microsoft has agreed		
11	to pay to Plair	ntiffs' Counsel in full, subject to Court approval.		
12	1.3	"Board" means the Microsoft Board of Directors.		
13	1.4	"Complaint" means the Verified Consolidated Shareholder Derivative		
14	Complaint (D)	kt. No. 18).		
15	1.5	"Court" means the United States District Court for the Western District of		
16	Washington.			
17	1.6	"Current Microsoft Shareholder" means any Persons who owned Microsoft		
18	common stock	as of the Execution Date of this Stipulation.		
19	1.7	"Defendants" means, collectively, the Individual Defendants and Nominal		
20	Defendant Mi	crosoft.		
21	1.8	"Defendants' Counsel" means Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, 701 5th		
22	Avenue, Suite	e 5600, Seattle, WA 98104-7079 on behalf of Microsoft; and Davis Wright		
23	Tremaine LL	P, 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200, Seattle, WA 98101 on behalf of the		
24	Individual Des	fendants.		
25	1.9	"Defendants' Released Claims" means all claims and causes of action of every		
26	nature and de	scription whatsoever, including both known claims and Unknown Claims, that		
	Ī			

BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC Columbia Center 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4750

Seattle, WA 98104 TEL 206.621.6566 FAX 206.621.9686

27

arise out of, are based on, or relate to the institution, prosecution, pendency, or settlement of the claims asserted against Defendants in the Action (except for claims to enforce the Settlement).

- 1.10 "Defendants' Released Persons" means each of the Defendants and their respective present and former directors, officers, Immediate Family members, agents, advisors, attorneys, personal and legal representatives, employees, affiliates, predecessors, successors, parents, subsidiaries, divisions, heirs, beneficiaries, executors, estates, administrators, trusts, trustees, insurers and assigns.
- 1.11 "Effective Date" means the first date by which all of the events and conditions specified in ¶ 6.1 herein have been met and have occurred or have been waived.
- 1.12 "Execution Date" means the date this Stipulation has been signed by all the Parties through their respective undersigned counsel.
- 1.13 "Fee Award" means any sum awarded by the Court to Plaintiffs' Counsel for their attorneys' fees and expenses, as detailed in ¶¶ 5.1-5.2 below, in recognition of the substantial benefits conferred upon Microsoft and Current Microsoft Shareholders by the initiation, prosecution, pendency, and settlement of the Action, which Microsoft has agreed to pay in full, subject to Court approval.
- 1.14 "Final," with respect to the Judgment or any other court order means: (i) if no appeal is filed, the expiration date of the time provided for filing or noticing of any appeal under the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, *i.e.*, thirty (30) calendar days after entry of the Judgment or order; or (ii) if there is an appeal from the Judgment or order, (a) the date of final dismissal of all such appeals, or the final dismissal of any proceeding on certiorari or otherwise, or (b) the date the Judgment or order is finally affirmed on an appeal, the expiration of the time to file a petition for a writ of certiorari or other form of review, or the denial of a writ of certiorari or other form of review is granted, the date of final affirmance following review pursuant to that grant. However, any

appeal or proceeding seeking subsequent judicial review pertaining solely to an order issued with respect to attorneys' fees, costs or expenses shall not in any way delay or preclude the Judgment from becoming Final.

- 1.15 "Final Order and Judgment" or "Judgment" means the order and judgment to be rendered by the Court, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 1.16 "Immediate Family" means children, stepchildren, parents, stepparents, spouses, marital communities, siblings, mothers-in-law, fathers-in-law, sons-in-law, daughters-in-law, brothers-in-law, and sisters-in-law. As used in this paragraph, "spouse" shall mean a husband, a wife, or a partner in a state-recognized domestic relationship or civil union.
- 1.17 "Individual Defendants" means collectively Steven A. Ballmer, Dina D. Dublon, William H. Gates III, Maria M. Klawe, Stephen J. Luczo, David F. Marquardt, Charles H. Noski, Helmut Panke, John W. Thompson, Peter S. Klein, Brad Smith, and B. Kevin Turner.
- 1.18 "Microsoft," the "Company" or "Nominal Defendant" means Microsoft Corporation.
- 1.19 "Notice" means the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Shareholder Derivative Action, substantially in the form of Exhibit C attached hereto.
- 1.20 "Notice Costs" means any and all costs, fees and expenses incurred in connection with providing notice of the pendency of the Action and proposed Settlement.
- 1.21 "Parties" means, collectively, each of the Plaintiffs (derivatively on behalf of Microsoft), each of the Individual Defendants, and Nominal Defendant Microsoft.
- 1.22 "Person" or "Persons" means an individual, corporation, limited liability corporation, professional corporation, partnership, limited partnership, limited liability partnership, association, joint stock company, legal representative, trust, unincorporated

2627

28

association, government and any political subdivision and agency thereof, or any other business or legal entity.

- 1.23 "Plaintiffs" means, collectively, Kim Barovic and Stephen DiPhilipo.
- 1.24 "Plaintiffs' Counsel" means: (i) The Weiser Law Firm, P.C., 22 Cassatt Ave, Berwyn, PA 19312; (ii) Ryan & Maniskas, LLP, 995 Old Eagle School Road, Suite 311, Wayne, PA 19087; (iii) Bernstein Litowitz Berger & Grossmann LLP, 1285 Avenue of the Americas, 38th Fl., New York, NY, 10019; (iv) Badgley Mullins Turner, PLLC, 19929 Ballinger Way, Suite 200, Shoreline, WA 98155; and (v) Law Offices of Alfred G. Yates, Jr., P.C., 519 Allegheny Building, 429 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15219.
- 1.25 "Plaintiffs' Released Claims" means all actions and causes of action of every nature or description whatsoever, including both known claims and Unknown Claims, that were or could have been asserted in the Complaint or in any other forum by Microsoft directly or by Plaintiffs or any other Microsoft shareholder derivatively on behalf of Microsoft that arise out of, are based upon, or relate to the allegations, facts, matters, events, circumstances, disclosures, statements, acts, omissions or occurrences set forth in the Complaint or in any other filing by Plaintiffs in this Action (except for claims to enforce the Settlement). For the avoidance of doubt, the Plaintiffs' Released Claims do not include (i) any claims based on any conduct of the Defendants' Released Persons after October 28, 2015; or (ii) any direct claims belonging to Microsoft shareholders, including, without limitation, any claims arising under the federal securities laws.
- 1.26 "Plaintiffs' Released Persons" means each of the Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs' Counsel, and all other Current Microsoft Shareholders and each of their respective present and former directors, officers, Immediate Family members, agents, advisors, attorneys, personal and legal representatives, employees, affiliates, predecessors, successors, heirs, beneficiaries, executors, estates, trusts, administrators, trustees, insurers, and assigns.

	1.27	"Plaintiffs'	Settlement	Counsel"	means:	(i)	The	Weiser	Law	Firm,	P.C.,	22
Cassat	t Ave, E	Berwyn, PA	19312; and	(ii) Bernst	ein Lito	witz	Ber	ger & G	rossm	nann L	LP, 12	285
Avenu	e of the	Americas, 3	8th Fl., Nev	v York. N	Y. 10019	).						

- 1.28 "Preliminary Approval Order" means the Order to be entered by the Court, substantially in the form of Exhibit B attached hereto, *inter alia*, preliminarily approving the terms and conditions of the Settlement as set forth in this Stipulation, directing that notice of the pendency of the Action and the proposed Settlement be provided to Current Microsoft Shareholders, and scheduling a Settlement Hearing to consider whether the Settlement and the Agreed Fee should be approved by the Court.
- 1.29 "Released Persons" means, collectively, the Defendants' Released Persons and the Plaintiffs' Released Persons.
  - 1.30 "Releases" means the releases set forth in ¶¶ 4.2 and 4.3 of this Stipulation.
- 1.31 "Settlement" means the settlement between Plaintiff and Defendants on the terms and conditions set forth in this Stipulation.
- 1.32 "Settlement Hearing" means a hearing by the Court to consider final approval of the Settlement and determine, *inter alia*, (i) whether to enter the Final Order and Judgment; and (ii) all other matters properly before the Court, including approval of the Agreed Fee.
  - 1.33 "Stipulation" means this Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement.
- 1.34 "Summary Notice" means the Summary Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Shareholder Derivative Action, substantially in the form of Exhibit D attached hereto.
- 1.35 "Unknown Claims" means any of the Plaintiffs' Released Claims and Defendants' Released Claims that any Party does not know or suspect to exist in his, her, or its favor at the time of the release of each or any of the other Released Persons, which, if known, by him, her, or it might have affected his, her, or its decision with respect to the Settlement. The Parties expressly waive, relinquish, and release any and all provisions, rights,

27 | STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF

SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC

4

6

5

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC

and benefits conferred by or under California Civil Code Section 1542 ("§ 1542") or any other law of the United States or any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to § 1542, which provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor.

The Parties acknowledge that they may discover facts in addition to or different from those now known or believed to be true by them, with respect to Plaintiffs' Released Claims and Defendants' Released Claims, as the case may be, but it is the intention of the Parties to completely, fully, finally, and forever compromise, settle, release, discharge, and extinguish any and all Plaintiffs' Released Claims and Defendants' Released Claims, known or unknown, without regard to the subsequent discovery of additional or different facts.

### 2. <u>Terms of the Settlement</u>

2.1 Defendants acknowledge that as a direct result of the initiation, prosecution, pendency, and settlement of the Action, Microsoft has agreed to make the Corporate Governance Reforms attached hereto as Exhibit A, and that the Reforms will confer a substantial benefit to Microsoft and Current Microsoft Shareholders. Microsoft shall initiate the process of implementing the Corporate Governance Reforms within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date of the Settlement, and the Corporate Governance Reforms shall be fully implemented no later than ninety (90) calendar days after the Effective Date of the Settlement.

# 3. <u>Procedures for Approval of the Settlement</u>

3.1 Immediately after the Execution Date of this Stipulation, Plaintiffs shall submit the Stipulation together with its exhibits to the Court and shall apply for entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, substantially in the form of Exhibit B attached hereto, providing for, *inter alia*: (i) preliminary approval of the Settlement set forth in this Stipulation; (ii)

3

4 5

678

9

1112

13

1415

16

17

18

20

21

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC

Settlement to Current Microsoft Shareholders; (iii) approval of the form of Notice attached hereto as Exhibit C and the Summary Notice attached hereto as Exhibit D; and (iv) the scheduling of a date for the Settlement Hearing. Plaintiffs' application for entry of the Preliminary Approval Order shall be unopposed by Defendants.

3.2 In accordance with the terms of the Preliminary Approval Order to be entered

approval of the method of providing notice of pendency of the Action and the proposed

- 3.2 In accordance with the terms of the Preliminary Approval Order to be entered by the Court, within seven (7) business days of the date of entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, Microsoft shall: (i) cause the Notice to be filed with the SEC via a Current Report on Form 8-K; (ii) cause the Summary Notice to be published one time in *Investors' Business Daily*; and (iii) shall post the Notice and this Stipulation on Microsoft's corporate website. The Parties believe the content and manner of such procedure constitutes adequate and reasonable notice to Current Microsoft Shareholders under applicable law. Microsoft, on behalf of the Individual Defendants, shall pay any and all Notice Costs, regardless of whether the Court approves the Settlement or the Effective Date fails to occur, and in no event shall Plaintiffs or Plaintiffs' Counsel be responsible for the payment of any Notice Costs.
- 3.3 The Parties shall jointly request that the Court hold the Settlement Hearing within forty-five (45) calendar days after the date of filing of the Form 8-K referenced in ¶ 3.2 above. At the Settlement Hearing, Plaintiffs' Counsel shall request final approval of the Settlement and the Court's approval of the Agreed Fee referenced in Section 5 below.
- 3.4 The Parties shall request that any objections and papers filed in support of objections to the Settlement shall be considered by the Court at the hearing only if the objector, among other things, (i) files notice of an intention to appear that includes proof of current ownership of Microsoft common stock, (ii) files papers in support of the objection with the Clerk of the Court by at least fourteen (14) calendar days prior to the hearing, and (iii) ensures such notice and papers have been served on and received by counsel as identified in the Notice by at least fourteen (14) calendar days prior to the hearing.

1 | 2 | joi 3 | att 4 | Sti 5 | ter 6 | Pla 7 | Re

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# 3.5 If the Court approves the Settlement at the Settlement Hearing, the Parties will jointly request entry of the Judgment by the Court, substantially in the form of Exhibit E attached hereto, providing for, *inter alia*, (i) final approval of the Settlement set forth in this Stipulation as fair, adequate, and reasonable, and directing its consummation pursuant to its terms; (ii) dismissal with prejudice of the Action; and (iii) the settlement and release of all Plaintiffs' Released Claims as against the Defendants' Released Persons and all Defendants' Released Claims as against the Plaintiffs' Released Persons in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Stipulation.

### 4. Releases

- 4.1 The obligations incurred by the Parties pursuant to this Stipulation are in consideration of: (i) the full and final disposition of the Action as against Defendants; and (ii) the Releases provided for herein.
- 4.2 Upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, (i) Plaintiffs and all other Current Microsoft Shareholders shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the law and of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished and discharged their right to assert derivatively on behalf of the Company any and all of the Plaintiffs' Released Claims against the Defendants' Released Persons, and shall forever be barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, or prosecuting derivatively on behalf of the Company any and all of the Plaintiffs' Released Claims against the Defendants' Released Persons; (ii) Microsoft shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the law and of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged its right to assert directly any and all of the Plaintiffs' Released Claims against the Defendants' Released Persons, and shall forever be barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, or prosecuting directly any and all of the Plaintiffs' Released Claims against the Defendants' Released Persons. In addition, Plaintiffs hereby agree, on behalf of themselves only, that they shall not initiate, prosecute, assist in, or facilitate the prosecution of any direct claims belonging to Plaintiffs that could

27

28

4

5

3

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC

relating to the allegations, facts, matters, events, circumstances, disclosures, statements, acts, omissions or occurrences set forth in the Complaint or in any other filing by Plaintiffs in this Action, including without limitation, any such claims arising out of the federal securities laws.

have been asserted against the Defendants' Released Persons arising out of, based upon, or

- 4.3 Upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Defendants shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the law and of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished and discharged any and all of the Defendants' Released Claims against the Plaintiffs' Released Persons, and shall forever be barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, or prosecuting any and all of the Defendants' Released Claims against the Plaintiffs' Released Persons.
- 4.4 Notwithstanding  $\P$  4.2 and 4.3 above, nothing herein shall in any way impair or restrict the rights of any Party to enforce the terms of the Stipulation.

### 5. Plaintiffs' Counsel's Attorneys' Fees and Expenses

- After all material settlement terms were agreed upon, Plaintiffs' Counsel 5.1 engaged in arm's-length negotiations with Microsoft concerning an appropriate award of attorneys' fees and expenses for Plaintiffs' Counsel. Microsoft, with the approval of the current Board in the exercise of its independent business judgment, has agreed to pay to Plaintiffs' Counsel's attorneys' fees and expenses in the total amount of \$7,300,000 (the "Agreed Fee"), subject to Court approval.
- 5.2 Plaintiffs' Counsel shall seek Court approval of the Agreed Fee. Microsoft shall pay the full amount of any attorneys' fees and expenses awarded by the Court (the "Fee Award") to an account designated by Plaintiffs' Settlement Counsel within five (5) business days of entry of the Court's order awarding the Fee Award, notwithstanding the existence of any timely filed objections thereto, or potential for appeal therefrom, or collateral attack on the Settlement or any part thereof, subject to Plaintiffs' Settlement Counsel's obligation to make appropriate refunds or repayments to Microsoft if the Settlement is terminated pursuant

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC

to the terms of this Stipulation or if, as a result of any appeal or further proceedings on remand, or successful collateral attack, the Fee Award is reduced or reversed and such order reducing or reversing the award has become Final. Plaintiffs' Settlement Counsel shall make the appropriate refund or repayment in full no later than ten (10) business days after: (i) receiving from Defendants' Counsel notice of the termination of the Settlement; or (ii) any order reducing or reversing the Fee and Expense Award has become Final.

- Plaintiffs' Counsel's attorneys' fees and expenses that have been incurred or will be incurred in connection with the initiation, prosecution, pendency, and settlement of the Action. Plaintiffs' Settlement Counsel shall be have the sole authority for the allocation and distribution of the Fee Award to Plaintiffs' Counsel. Defendants and Defendants' Counsel shall have no responsibility for the allocation or distribution of the Fee and Expense Award amongst Plaintiffs' Counsel. Defendants or Defendants' Counsel shall have no obligation to make any payment to Plaintiffs or Plaintiffs' Counsel other than as provided in this Stipulation.
- 5.4 In light of the substantial benefits they have helped to create for Microsoft and Current Microsoft Shareholders, Plaintiffs may apply to the Court for approval of incentive awards in the amount of \$5,000 each (the "Incentive Awards"). The Incentive Awards shall be funded from the Fee Award.

# **6.** Conditions of Settlement; Termination and Effect of Termination

- 6.1 The Effective Date of the Settlement shall be deemed to occur on the occurrence or waiver of all of the following events:
- (i) the Court has entered the Preliminary Approval Order, substantially in the form set forth in Exhibit B attached hereto;
- (ii) Plaintiffs have not exercised their option to terminate the Settlement pursuant to ¶ 6.2 below;

BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT

LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC

(iii) Defendants have not exercised their option to terminate the Settlement pursuant to ¶ 6.2 below; and

(iv) the Court has approved the Settlement as described herein, following notice to Current Microsoft Stockholders and a hearing, and entered the Judgment, substantially in the form set forth in Exhibit E attached hereto, and the Judgment has become Final.

Defendants (provided Defendants unanimously agree amongst themselves) and Defendants (provided Defendants unanimously agree amongst themselves) shall each have the right to terminate the Settlement and this Stipulation, by providing written notice of their election to do so ("Termination Notice") to the other Parties within thirty (30) calendar days of: (i) the Court's final refusal to enter the Preliminary Approval Order in any material respect; (ii) the Court's final refusal to approve the Settlement or any material part thereof; (ii) the Court's final refusal to enter the Judgment in any material respect as to the Settlement; or (iv) the date upon which an order vacating, modifying, revising, or reversing the Settlement becomes Final, and the provisions of ¶ 6.3 below shall apply. However, any decision or proceeding, whether in this Court or any appellate court, solely with respect to the Fee Award shall not be considered material to the Settlement, shall not affect the finality of the Judgment, and shall not be grounds for termination of the Settlement.

6.3 In the event that the Settlement is terminated pursuant to  $\P$  6.2 above or the Effective Date otherwise fails to occur, the Parties shall be restored to their respective positions in the Action as of immediately prior to the Execution Date of this Stipulation, and the terms and provisions of this Stipulation, with the exception of this  $\P$  6.3 and 7.1 below, shall have no further force and effect with respect to the Parties and shall not be used in the Action or in any other proceeding for any purpose, and any judgment or orders entered by the Court in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation shall be treated as vacated, *nunc pro tunc*.

BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC

### 7. No Admission of Wrongdoing

7.1 Neither this Stipulation (whether or not consummated), including the exhibits hereto, the negotiations leading to the execution of this Stipulation, nor any proceedings taken pursuant to or in connection with this Stipulation and/or approval of the Settlement (including any arguments proffered in connection therewith): (i) shall be offered against any of the Defendants' Released Persons as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession, or admission by any of the Defendants' Released Persons with respect to the truth of any fact alleged by Plaintiffs or the validity of any claim that was or could have been asserted or the deficiency of any defense that has been or could have been asserted in the Action or in any other litigation, or of any liability, negligence, fault, or other wrongdoing of any kind of any of the Defendants' Released Persons or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Defendants' Released Persons, in any civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the Settlement; or (ii) shall be offered against any of the Plaintiffs' Released Persons as evidence of, or construed as, or deemed to be evidence of any presumption, concession or admission by any of the Plaintiffs' Released Persons that any of Plaintiffs' claims are without merit, that any of the Defendants had meritorious defenses, or that damages recoverable under the Complaint would not have exceeded the Settlement consideration or with respect to any liability, negligence, fault or wrongdoing of any kind, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against any of the Plaintiffs' Released Persons, in any civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the Settlement; provided, however, that the Parties, the Released Persons, and their respective counsel may file the Stipulation and/or the Judgment in any action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good-faith settlement,

26

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.

### 8. **Miscellaneous Provisions**

- 8.1 All of the exhibits attached hereto are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event that there exists a conflict or inconsistency between the terms of this Stipulation and the terms of any exhibit attached hereto, the terms of the Stipulation shall prevail.
- 8.2 Plaintiffs and their counsel and Defendants and their counsel agree not to assert in any forum that this Action was brought by Plaintiffs or defended by Defendants in bad faith or without a reasonable basis. No Party shall assert any claims of any violation of Fed. R. Civ. P. 11 relating to the institution, prosecution, defense, or settlement of this Action. The Parties agree that the Settlement consideration and the other terms of the Settlement were negotiated at arm's-length and in good faith by the Parties, and reflect the Settlement that was reached voluntarily after extensive negotiations and consultation with experienced legal counsel, who were fully competent to assess the strengths and weaknesses of their respective clients' claims or defenses.
- 8.3 Defendants and their counsel, in any statement made to any media representative (whether or not for attribution) will not assert that the Action was commenced or prosecuted in bad faith nor will they deny that the Action was commenced and prosecuted in good faith and is being settled voluntarily after consultation with competent legal counsel. In all events, Plaintiffs and their counsel and Defendants and their counsel shall not make any accusations of wrongful or actionable conduct by either Party concerning the institution, prosecution, defense, or settlement of the Action, and shall not otherwise suggest that the Settlement constitutes an admission of any claim or defense alleged.

25

26

27

8.4 The terms of the Settlement, as reflected in this Stipulation, may not be modified or amended, nor may any of its provisions be waived except by a writing signed on behalf of each of the Parties (or their successors-in-interest).

- 8.5 The headings herein are used for the purpose of convenience only and are not meant to have legal effect.
- 8.6 The administration and consummation of the Settlement as embodied in this Stipulation shall be under the authority of the Court, and the Court shall retain jurisdiction for the purpose of entering orders providing for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses to Plaintiffs' Counsel and enforcing the terms of this Stipulation.
- 8.7 The waiver by one Party of any breach of this Stipulation by any other Party shall not be deemed a waiver of any other prior or subsequent breach of this Stipulation.
- 8.8 This Stipulation and its exhibits constitute the entire agreement among Plaintiffs and Defendants concerning the Settlement and this Stipulation and its exhibits. All Parties acknowledge that no other agreements, representations, warranties, or inducements have been made by any Party hereto concerning this Stipulation or its exhibits other than those contained and memorialized in such documents.
- 8.9 This Stipulation may be executed in one or more counterparts, including by signature transmitted via facsimile, or by a .pdf/.tif image of the signature transmitted via email. All executed counterparts and each of them shall be deemed to be one and the same instrument.
- 8.10 This Stipulation shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the successors and assigns of the Parties, including any and all Released Persons and any corporation, partnership, or other entity into or with which any Party hereto may merge, consolidate or reorganize.
- 8.11 The construction, interpretation, operation, effect and validity of this Stipulation and all documents necessary to effectuate it shall be governed by the internal laws

27 STIPULA

24

25

26

28

of the State of Washington without regard to conflicts of laws, except to the extent that federal law requires that federal law govern.

- 8.12 Any action arising under or to enforce this Stipulation or any portion thereof, shall be commenced and maintained only in the Court.
- 8.13 This Stipulation shall not be construed more strictly against one Party than another merely by virtue of the fact that it, or any part of it, may have been prepared by counsel for one of the Parties, it being recognized that the Stipulation is the result of arm's-length negotiations between the Parties and all Parties have contributed substantially and materially to the preparation of this Stipulation.
- 8.14 All counsel and any other person executing this Stipulation and any of the exhibits hereto, or any related Settlement documents, warrant and represent that they have the full authority to do so and that they have the authority to take appropriate action required or permitted to be taken pursuant to the Stipulation to effectuate its terms.
- 8.15 Plaintiffs' Counsel and Defendants' Counsel agree to cooperate fully with one another in seeking Court approval of the Preliminary Approval Order and the Settlement, as embodied in this Stipulation, and to use best efforts to promptly agree upon and execute all such other documentation as may be reasonably required to obtain final approval by the Court of the Settlement.
- 8.16 If any Party is required to give notice to another Party under this Stipulation, such notice shall be in writing and shall be deemed to have been duly given upon receipt of hand delivery or facsimile or email transmission, with confirmation of receipt. Notice shall be provided as follows:

If to Plaintiffs or Plaintiffs' Counsel: THE WEISER LAW FIRM, P.C.

Robert B. Weiser

22 Cassatt Avenue, First Floor

Berwyn, PA 19312

Email: rw@weiserlawfirm.com

Phone: (610) 225-2677 Fax: (610) 408-8062

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC

1 2 3 4	BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP Mark Lebovitch 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019 Email: markl@blbglaw.com Phone: (212) 554-1400
5	Fax: (212) 554-1444
6	If to the Individual Defendants: DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP Stephen M. Rummage
7 8	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, Washington 98101 Email: stephenrummage@dwt.com
9	Phone: (206) 622-3150 Fax: (206) 757 7700
10	If to Microsoft:  ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
11	Daniel J. Dunne 701 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 5600
12	Seattle, Washington 98104-7079 Email: ddunne@orrick.com
13	Phone: (206) 839-4300 Fax: (206) 839-4301
14	8.17 Except as otherwise provided herein, each Party shall bear its own costs.
15	8.18 Whether or not the Stipulation is approved by the Court and whether or not the
16	Stipulation is consummated, or the Effective Date occurs, the Parties and their counsel shall
17	use their best efforts to keep all negotiations, discussions, acts performed, agreements, drafts,
18	documents signed and proceedings in connection with the Stipulation confidential.
19	8.19 All agreements made and orders entered during the course of this Action
20	relating to the confidentiality of information shall survive this Settlement.
21	
22	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused the Stipulation to be
23	executed, by their duly authorized attorneys, dated as of October 28, 2015.
24	
25	Dated: October, 2015 THE WEISER LAW FIRM, P.C.
26	By:
27	
28	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF  SETTLEMENT  LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC  BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC  Columbia Center 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4750 Seattle, WA 98104

TEL 206.621.6566 FAX 206.621.9686

1 2	BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP Mark Lebovitch				
3	1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10019				
4	Email: markl@blbglaw.com Phone: (212) 554-1400 Fax: (212) 554-1444				
5	If to the Individual Defendants:  DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP				
6 7	Stephen M. Rummage 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, Washington 98101				
8	Email: stephenrummage@dwt.com Phone: (206) 622-3150 Fax: (206) 757 7700				
9	If to Microsoft: ORRICK, HERRINGTON &				
10	SUTCLIFFE LLP Daniel J. Dunne				
11	701 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, Suite 5600 Seattle, Washington 98104-7079				
12	Email: ddunne@orrick.com Phone: (206) 839-4300				
13	Fax: (206) 839-4301				
14	8.17 Except as otherwise provided herein, each Party shall bear its own costs.				
15	8.18 Whether or not the Stipulation is approved by the Court and whether or not the				
16	Supplied to the second of th				
17	use their best efforts to keep all negotiations, discussions, acts performed, agreements, drafts,				
18	documents signed and proceedings in connection with the Stipulation confidential.				
19	8.19 All agreements made and orders entered during the course of this Action				
20	relating to the confidentiality of information shall survive this Settlement.				
21					
22	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused the Stipulation to be				
23	executed, by their duly authorized attorneys, dated as of October 28, 2015.				
24					
25	Dated: October 18, 2015 THE WEISER LAW FIRM, P.C.				
26	By:				
27	CONTRIBUTION AND A CREEMENT OF PARCIEV MULLING TURNER SUG				

-20-

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC

28

BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC

1 2 3 4		Robert B. Weiser (pro hac vice) Brett D. Stecker (pro hac vice) James M. Ficaro (pro hac vice) 22 Cassatt Avenue, First Floor Berwyn, PA 19312 Telephone: (610) 225-2677 Facsimile: (610) 408-8062 Email: rw@weiserlawfirm.com bds@weiserlawfirm.com
5		jmf@weiserlawfirm.com
6		Kathleen A. Herkenhoff (pro hac vice) 12707 High Bluff Drive, Suite 200
7		San Diego, CA 92130 Telephone Phone: (858) 794-1441
8		Facsimile: (858) 794-1450 Email: kah@weiserlawfirm.com
9		
10	Dated: October 26, 2015	RYAN & MANISKAS, LLP
12		By: Richard A. Maniskas (pro hac vice)
13		Katharine M. Ryan ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) 995 Old Eagle School Road, Suite 311
14		Wayne, PA 19087 Telephone: (484) 588-5516
15		Facsimile: (484) 450-2582 Email: rmaniskas@rmclasslaw.com
16		kryan@rmclasslaw.com
17	2015	BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER &
18	Dated: October, 2015	GROSSMANN LLP
19		
20		By: Mark Lebovitch (pro hac vice)
21		David Wales (pro hac vice) Christopher J. Orrico (pro hac vice)
22		1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019
23		Telephone: (212) 554-1400
24		Facsimile: (212) 554-1444 Email: markl@blbglaw.com
25		
26	Dated: October, 2015	BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC
27	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF	BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC
28	SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC	Columbia Center 701 Flfth Avenue, Suite 4750 -21- Seattle, WA 98104 75L 206.621.6566 FAX 206.621.9686

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Robert B. Weiser (pro hac vice) Brett D. Stecker (pro hac vice) James M. Ficaro (pro hac vice) 22 Cassatt Avenue, First Floor Berwyn, PA 19312 Telephone: (610) 225-2677 Facsimile: (610) 408-8062 Email: rw@weiserlawfirm.com
10	D-4-1- O-4-1 2015	DAVARI O. REARITOUZAC I I D
11	Dated: October, 2015	RYAN & MANISKAS, LLP
12		By:
13		Katharine M. Ryan ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) 995 Old Eagle School Road, Suite 311 Wayne, PA 19087
14		Telephone: (484) 588-5516 Facsimile: (484) 450-2582
15 16		Email: rmaniskas@rmclasslaw.com kryan@rmclasslaw.com
17 18	Dated: October <u>28</u> , 2015	BERNSTEIN LITOWITZ BERGER & GROSSMANN LLP
19		M. D. Day 1
20		By: Mark Lebovitch (pro hae vice)
21		David Wales (pro hac vice)
22	3-	Christopher J. Orrico ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) 1285 Avenue of the Americas
23		New York, NY 10019 Telephone: (212) 554-1400
24		Facsimile: (212) 554-1444 Email: markl@blbglaw.com
25		
26	Dated: October, 2015	BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC
27	_	
28	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC	BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC  Columbia Center  701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4750  Seattle, WA 98104  TEL 206.621.6566  PAX 206.621.9686

- 1		()
1	By:	Duncan Julie
		Duncan C. Turner, WSBA #20597
2		19929 Ballinger Way, Suite 200 Shoreline, WA 98155
3		Telephone: (206) 621-6566
		Facsimile: (206) 621-9686
4		Email: duncanturner@badgleymullins.com
5		
	ESS PRINCIPLE CONTRACTOR	
6	Dated: October, 2015 ORRI	CK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
7		
	By:	George E. Greer (WSBA No. 11050)
8	*	Daniel J. Dunne (WSBA No. 16999)
9		Charles J. Ha (WSBA No. 34430)
-	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	701 5th Avenue, Suite 5600
10		Seattle, WA 98104-7079
,,	**	Telephone: (206) 839-4300
11		Facsimile: (206) 839-4301
12		Email: ggreer@orrick.com
-		ddunne@orrick.com
13		
14	D 1 0 - 1 - 2015	VIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
	Dated: October, 2015 DA	VIS WRIGHT TREATMENT DEL
15	By:	
16		Stephen M. Rummage, WSBA #11168
		Brendan T. Mangan, WSBA #17231
17		Candice M. Tewell, WSBA #41131
18		1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200
10		Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 757-8260
19		Facsimile: (206) 757-8260
20		Email: steverummage@dwt.com
20		brendanmangan@dwt.com
21		candicetewell@dwt.com
22	7. 5.	#-
44	- A	
23		
0.4	V	
24		
25		
26		
26		
27		
0.0	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF	BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC Columbia Center
28	SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC	701 Fifth Avenue, Sulta 4750 -22- Seattle, WA 98104

Fifth Avenue, Sulte 4 Seattle, WA 98104 TEL 206.621.6566 FAX 206.621.9686

ı		
1		Ву:
2		Duncan C. Turner, WSBA #20597 19929 Ballinger Way, Suite 200
3		Shoreline, WA 98155 Telephone: (206) 621-6566
4		Facsimile: (206) 621-9686 Email: duncanturner@badgleymullins.com
5		
6	Dated: October 282015	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
7	<u>2==</u> 2	By: My Elm
8		George E. Greer (WSBA No. 11050) Deniel J. Dunne (WSBA No. 16999)
9		Charles J. Ha (WSBA No. 34430)
10		701 5th Avenue, Suite 5600 Seattle, WA 98104-7079
11		Telephone: (206) 839-4300 Facsimile: (206) 839-4301
12		Email: ggreer@orrick.com ddunne@orrick.com
13		dduine@orren.com
14	Dated: October, 2015	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
15		Ву:
16		Stephen M. Rummage, WSBA #11168 Brendan T. Mangan, WSBA #17231
17		Candice M. Tewell, WSBA #41131 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200
18		Seattle, WA 98101
19		Telephone: (206) 757-8260 Facsimile: (206) 757-7260
20		Email: steverummage@dwt.com brendanmangan@dwt.com
21		candicetewell@dwt.com
22	#928206	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF	BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC
28	SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC	Columbia Center 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4750 -22- Seattle, WA 98104 TEL 206 621 6566 FAX 206 621 9686

1		D
- 1		By: Duncan C. Turner, WSBA #20597
2		19929 Ballinger Way, Suite 200 Shoreline, WA 98155
3		Telephone: (206) 621-6566 Facsimile: (206) 621-9686
4		Email: duncanturner@badgleymullins.com
5		
6	Dated: October, 2015	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
7		By:
8		George E. Greer (WSBA No. 11050) Daniel J. Dunne (WSBA No. 16999)
9		Charles J. Ha (WSBA No. 34430)
10		701 5th Avenue, Suite 5600
- 1		Seattle, WA 98104-7079 Telephone: (206) 839-4300
11		Facsimile: (206) 839-4301
12		Email: ggreer@orrick.com ddunne@orrick.com
13		
14	Dated: October 27,2015	DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP
15	Dated. October 52, 2013	The
16		Stephen M. Rummage, WSBA #11168
		Brendan T. Mangan, WSBA #17231
17		Candice M. Tewell, WSBA #41131 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200
18		Seattle, WA 98101
19		Telephone: (206) 757-8260 Facsimile: (206) 757-7260
20		Email: steverummage@dwt.com
21		brendanmangan@dwt.com
		candicetewell@dwt.com
22	#928206	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT OF SETTLEMENT LEAD CASE NO: 2:14-CV-00540-JCC	BADGLEY MULLINS TURNER PLLC Columbia Center 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4750 -22- Seattle, WA 98104 TEL 206 621.6566
	<u> </u>	FAX 206 621 9686